Effects of Implant-Abutment Connection Design on Peri-Implant Bone Level



Ming-I Lin; Heng-Li Huang; Jui-Ting Hsu; Yen-Wen Shen; Lih-Jyh Fuh

School of Dentistry, China Medical University, Taichung, Taiwan. 91 Hsueh-Shih Road, Taichung 40402, Taiwan, R.O.C.

п

C M U BIOMECH

🕼 Purpose 🄊

♦ To investigate the effects of external, internal, and Mores taper implant-abutment connections on periimplant bone levels prior to and after loading of dental implant, by conducting a clinical analysis and comparison.

🛯 Materials & Methods 🔊

♦ Digitized, standardized, and classified the periapical x-ray data were collected from China Medical University Hospital Dental Department during the period of 2002 to 2010. The software of Image J (NIH, Bethesda, MD, USA) were used to measure the bone loss (bone level change;difference of vertical bone defect; △VBD) prior to and after the loading of dental implants.

Implants used in this study:

Three types of implant systems were placed at the bone level..

1.External hex connection: Branemark (**Brånemark System** [™]MK IV TiUnite[®], Nobel Biocare, Sweden)

2.Internal octagon connection: Cowellmedi (Sub. Atlas Cowellmedi Co., Busan, South Korea)

3.Morse taper connection: ANKYLOS (Ánkylos® plus Implant, Friadent, Mannheim, Germany)

	1	implant type(Mean ± SD)	
	Branemark(n=22)	Ankylos(n=17)	Cowell(n=19)
龄	47.09 ± 10.09	42.72 ± 13.51	50.73 ± 9.40

Table 2. Time schedule of the study T_0 T_1 T_2 T_3 (months)

المركز <u>Loading I</u> I Loading II I T0-T1: biological phase

T1-T2 : Loading phase I T1-T3 : Loading phase II

- TI-TS. Educing phase in
- a. Observe the bone loss of these three types of implant systems during the period of biological phase(4 months after surgery T0-T1).
- b. Observe the bone loss of these three types of implant systems during the periods of loading phase I (3 months after loading T1-T2) and loading phase II (6 months after loading T1-T3).

MG : micro-gap BICP : Bone to implant contact point

- PBL : peri bone level VBD : the distance between MG and BICP
- MG and BICP ΔVBD : bone loss(bone level change)

Fig 1. Definition of reference point for measureing bone loss

The study protocol was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of China Medical University Hospital (CMUH).CMUH IRB No.:DMR101-IRB-1-078.

ඏ <u>Results</u>æ



Figure 2. Comparing of peri-implant bone loss between different implant systems and between various time phase intervals

Table 3. Peri–implant bone loss at different time phase intervals on three implant systems

	T0-T1			T1-T2			T1-T3		
	n	mean	sd	n	mean	sd	n	mean	sd
ranemark	27	-0.45	0.19	22	-0.21	0.13	16	-0.32	0.19
nkylos	36	-0.38	0.14	25	-0.19	0.11	26	-0.32	0.14
owell	33	-0.44	0.15	29	-0.18	0.12	24	-0.38	0.22

S. Onitonio or anous

able 4.	Model	effect	test f	or p	eri–i	mplai	nt	bone	loss

	Wald test	df	p-value
Implant type	2.12	2	0.350
Time phase	180.83	2	<0.001

Table 5. Post hoc for comparing peri-implant bone loss

(I) Implant type	(J) Implant type	mean difference(I-J)	SE	P-value
Branemark	Ankylos	-0.03	0.03	0.896
Branemark	Cowell	0.01	0.03	>0.999
Ankylos	Cowell	0.04	0.03	0.506

Table 6. Post hoc for comparing peri-implant bone loss between different time phase intervals by using Bonferroni test

(I) Time Phase (J) Time Phase	mean difference(I–J)	SE	P-value
1 10-Te ce ce ce ce ce te te te te ce	-0.22	0.02	< 0.001
$= T_0 \cdot T_1 = \pi = \pi = \pi = T_1 \cdot T_1 = \pi = \pi$	-0.07	0.02	<0.001
	0.14	0.02	<0.001

CS Conclusions 80

- During the biological phase, between implant placement and occlusal loading, there was no statistical significance in peri-implant bone loss among these three types of implant-abutment connections.
- During loading Phase I, 3 to 5 months after loading, there was no statistical significance in peri-implant bone loss among these three types of implant-abutment connections.
- 3. During loading Phase II,6 to 8 months after loading, there was no statistical significance in peri-implant bone loss among these three types of implant-abutment connections.
- 4. Comparing the bone losses among time phases, i.e., biological phase, loading phase I and loading phase II, the analysis showed statistical significance in each time phase pair.
- In this experiment, values of ΔVBD (bone loss) obtained during the biological phase were greater than those obtained in loading phases for all three types of implantabutment connections.