1 Q1 Tumor and Stem Cell Biology

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

P120RasGAP-Mediated Activation of c-Src Is Critical for Oncogenic Ras to Induce Tumor Invasion

5 AU Po-Chao Chan^{1,4}, and Hong-Chen Chen^{1,2,3,4}

Abstract

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17 18

02

Ras genes are the most common targets for somatic gain-of-function mutations in human cancers. In this study, we found a high incidence of correlation between Ras oncogenic mutations and c-Src activation in human cancer cells. We showed that oncogenic Ras induces c-Src activation mainly in the Golgi complex and endoplasmic reticulum. Moreover, we identified p120RasGAP as an effector for oncogenic Ras to activate c-Src. The recruitment of p120RasGAP to the Golgi complex by oncogenic Ras facilitated its interaction with c-Src, thereby leading to c-Src activation, and this p120RasGAP-mediated activation of c-Src was important for tumor invasion, induced by oncogenic Ras. Collectively, our findings unveil a relationship between oncogenic Ras, p120RasGAP, and c-Src, suggesting a critical role for c-Src in cancers evoked by oncogenic mutations in *Ras* genes. *Cancer Res; 1–11.* ©2012 AACR.

19 Introduction

20 The human Ras proteins, H-Ras, N-Ras, K-Ras (K-Ras4A and 21K-Ras4B), are the most well-known members of the Ras family. 22 They have been extensively studied for more than 30 years, 23partly because of their high frequency of activating mutations 24in human cancers (1). Ras proteins function as GDP/GTP 25molecular switches that regulate diverse signaling pathways 26and cell functions (1). Cycling between GDP- and GTP-bound 27states of Ras is controlled by 2 classes of regulatory molecules: 28guanine nucleotide exchange factors (GEF) and GTPase-acti-29vating proteins (GAP; ref. 2). GEFs facilitate the intrinsic GDP/ 30 GTP exchange activity of Ras, whereas GAPs stimulate the 31relatively slow intrinsic GTP hydrolysis activity of Ras. GTP-32bound Ras regulates a complex signaling network by binding to 33 and activating diverse classes of effector molecules, such as Raf, 34phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K), and RalGEFs (3).

35Ras emanates signals not only from the plasma membrane36but also from organelles, including the Golgi complex, the37endoplasmic reticulum (ER), mitochondria, and endosomes38(4). The biologic significance for compartmentalized Ras sig-39naling was initially described in T lymphocytes, in which the40activation of Ras/mitogen—activated protein kinase (MAPK)41signaling at different subcellular compartments could lead to

Corresponding Author: Hong-Chen Chen, Department of Life Sciences, National Chung Hsing University, 250 Kuo-Kuang Road, Taichung 40227, Taiwan, Phone: 886-4-22854922; Fax: 886-4-22853469; E-mail: hcchen@nchu.edu.tw

doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-11-3078

©2012 American Association for Cancer Research.

either negative or positive selection of T lymphocytes (5). The compartmentalized Ras signaling is also conserved in fission yeasts. Ras1p, a single Ras protein in *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*, regulates mating from the plasma membrane and cell morphology from the endomembranes (6). Therefore, the biologic functions elicited by Ras are closely related to its subcellular localizations.

Cellular Src (c-Src), a non-receptor protein tyrosine kinase, has been implicated in the regulation of a variety of cellular functions (7, 8). To exert such diverse biologic activities, c-Src interacts with and phosphorylates a wide range of cellular proteins (7). Crystallographic analysis has revealed that the Src-homology (SH)2 and SH3 domains of c-Src bind to its own tyrosine 527 and a short polyproline type II helix between the SH2 and kinase domain, leaving c-Src in a close, autoinhibited state (9). Interactions of c-Src with other cellular proteins via its SH2 and/or SH3 domains could disrupt the intramolecular inhibitory interactions, resulting in c-Src autophosphorylation on tyrosine 416 in the activation loop, within the kinase domain for its full activation (7). Although c-Src is frequently found to be hyperactivated in human cancers, its genetic mutations are rarely observed (10).

It has been known for years that oncogenic Src (v-Src) can lead to Ras activation through different modes. For example, v-Src can phosphorylate the adaptor protein Shc, which then recruits Grb2/Sos complexes for Ras activation (11). In addition, Ras has been shown to be essential for v-Src-stimulated cell transformation (12). However, it is unclear whether oncogenic Ras could lead to the activation of c-Src. In particular, as activation of Ras and c-Src are frequently found in human cancers, clarification of their causal relationship will be of importance for clinical implication. In this study, we found that oncogenic Ras induces c-Src activation predominantly on the Golgi complex and ER. In addition, p120RasGAP was identified as an effector for oncogenic Ras to induce c-Src activation. Importantly, we showed that the p120RasGAP-mediated

Authors' Affiliations:¹Department of Life Sciences, ²Agricultural Biotechnology Center, National Chung Hsing University; ³Department of Nutrition, China Medical University, Taichung; and ⁴Center of Infectious Disease and Signaling Research, National Cheng Kung University, Tainan, Taiwan

Note: Supplementary data for this article are available at Cancer Research Online (http://cancerres.aacrjournals.org/)

81	activation of c-Src is critical for oncogenic Ras to promote
82	tumor invasion.

83 Materials and Methods

84 Materials

Plasmids, antibodies, and other reagents are described in theSupplementary Materials and Methods.

87 Cell culture and transfection

HEK293, Cos, NIH3T3, MDCK, mouse embryo fibroblasts 88 (MEF), and SYF ($src^{-/-} yes^{-/-} fyn^{-/-}$) cells were kindly 89 provided by Dr. Jun-Lin Guan (University of Michigan Medical 90 91 School, Ann Arbor, MI). The NCI-60 cancer cell lines (A549, 92HOP-62, NCI-H23, NCI-H460, and HCT-116) were purchased 93 from the National Cancer Institute (Bethesda, MD) and kindly 94 provided by Dr. Jeremy J.W. Chen (National Chung Hsing 95 University, Taichung, Taiwan). Other human cancer cell lines 96 including T24, HT-1080, SW480, MDA-MB-231, MIA PaCa-2, 97 PANC-1, AsPC-1, NCI-H358, and LoVo were purchased from 98 the American Type Culture Collection. HEK293, Cos, NIH3T3, MDCK, MEFs, SYF ($src^{-/-} yes^{-/-} fyn^{-/-}$) cells, and the human 99 100 cancer cell lines described in Table 1 except MIA PaCa-2, 101 PANC-1, and AsPC-1 cells were maintained in Dulbecco's 102Modified Eagle's Medium (DMEM; Invitrogen) supplemented 103with 10% FBS (HyClone). MIA PaCa-2, PANC-1, and AsPC-1 104 cells were maintained in RPMI-1640 supplemented with 10% FBS. The NIH3T3 cells with a Lac operon–driven H-Ras $^{\rm V12}$ 105106expression were kindly provided by Dr. H.-S. Liu (National 107 Cheng Kung University, Tainan, Taiwan) and are described 108 previously (13). Reintroduction of c-Src into SYF cell line (SYF/ c-Src) was established by retroviral infection. The SYF/H-109Ras^{V12} and SYF/c-Src, and H-Ras^{V12} cell lines were established 110 by cotransfection with pBabe-puro and pcDNA3-HA-H-Ras $^{\rm V12}$ 111

plasmids. Cells were selected and maintained in DMEM con-
taining 10% FBS (HyClone) and 1 µg/mL puromycin. Transient113transfection was carried out by Lipofectamine (Invitrogen) or115FuGENE HD (Roche).116

117

118

119

120 121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

Short hairpin RNA

The lentiviral expression system for short hairpin RNA (shRNA) was provided by the National RNAi Core Facility (Academia Sinica, Taiwan). The production and infection of lentiviruses and the targeted sequences of shRNAs are described in the Supplementary Materials and Methods.

Immunoprecipitation, immunoblotting, and Src kinase assav

Immunoprecipitation, immunoblotting, and Src kinase assay were conducted as described in the Supplementary Materials and Methods.

Biologic assays

Biologic assays including cell proliferation assay, cell survival assay, soft agar colony formation assay, cell invasion assay, tumorigenicity assay, and metastasis assay are described in the Supplementary Materials and Methods.

Confocal microscopy

Cells were fixed, stained, and scanned by a Zeiss LSM 510 confocal microscope as described in the Supplementary Materials and Methods.

Statistical analysis

The quantitative results are shown as mean \pm SD. Statistical significance of 2-way difference was assessed by the Student *t* test.

Cell lines	Origin	Ras mutation ^a	Src inhibition by Ras knockdown	Src inhibition by RasGAP knockdown
T24	Bladder carcinoma	H-Ras G12V	Yes	Yes
HT-1080	Fibrosarcoma	N-Ras Q61K	Yes	Yes
SW480	Colorectal adenocarcinoma	K-Ras G12V	Yes	Yes
NCI-H460	Lung carcinoma	K-Ras Q61H	Yes	Yes
HOP-62	Lung carcinoma	K-Ras G12C	Yes	Yes
NCI-H23	Lung adenocarcinoma	K-Ras G12S	Yes	Yes
MDA-MB-231	Breast adenocarcinoma	K-Ras G13D	Yes	Yes
MIA PaCa-2	Pancreatic carcinoma	K-Ras G12C	Yes	Yes
PANC-1	Pancreatic carcinoma	K-Ras G12D	Yes	No
AsPC-1	Pancreatic carcinoma	K-Ras G12D	Yes	No
HCT-116	Colorectal carcinoma	K-Ras G13D	No ^b	Yes
A549	Lung adenocarcinoma	K-Ras G12S	No	Yes
NCI-H358	Lung carcinoma	K-Ras G13C	No	Yes
LoVo	Colorectal adenocarcinoma	K-Ras G13D	No	No

Table 1. Effect of knockdown of Ras or p120RasGAP on Src activity in human cancer cell lines

Ras mutation as described by Davies and colleagues (14)

^bSrc activity is suppressed by H-Ras^{N17}.



Q3

Figure 1. Oncogenic Ras induces c-Src activation. A, human cancer cell lines harboring oncogenic Ras were infected with recombinant lentiviruses encoding shRNAs specific to oncogenic Ras or luciferase (Luc) as a control. Two shRNAs (#1 and #2) were used to knockdown oncogenic Ras. The level of Src pY416 was analyzed by immunoblotting with anti-Src pY416. B, inhibition of Src pY416 by sh-H-Ras in T24 cells was rescued by reexpression of H-Ras^{L61}. C, H-Ras^{N17} was transiently expressed in cancer cells and its effect on Src pY416 was measured. D, HA-tagged Ras^{V12} constructs were transiently expressed in HEK293 cells and their effect on c-Src activity was analyzed by an *in vitro* kinase assay with acid-denatured enclase as a substrate. The expression of HA-tagged Ras was analyzed by immunoblotting with anti-HA. E, cell lysates from 2 NIH3T3 cell clones (#1 and #2) stably expressing H-Ras^{V12} and a neomycin-resistant control clone (neo) were subjected to analysis for the activity and pY416 of c-Src. F, an isopropyl-β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG)-inducible expression system for H-Ras^{V12} was established in NIH3T3 cells. H-Ras^{V12} was allowed to express for various times and its effect on the activity of pY416 and pY527 of c-Src was measured. H, endogenous H-Ras of NIH3T3 cells was depleted by shRNA and its effect on cell adhesion–induced activation of c-Src was measured. H, endogenous H-Ras of NIH3T3 cells was depleted by shRNA and its effect on cell adhesion–induced activation of c-Src was measured. H, endogenous H-Ras of NIH3T3 cells was depleted by shRNA and its effect on cell adhesion–induced activation of c-Src was measured. H, endogenous H-Ras of NIH3T3 cells was depleted by shRNA and its effect on cell adhesion–induced activation of c-Src was measured. H, endogenous H-Ras of NIH3T3 cells was depleted by shRNA and its effect on cell adhesion–induced activation of c-Src was measured. H, endogenous H-Ras of NIH3T3 cells was depleted by shRNA and its effect on cell adhesion–induced activation of c-S

143 **Results**

144 Oncogenic Ras induces c-Src activation

145The possibility for oncogenic Ras to activate c-Src was first146examined in human cancer cell lines that harbor oncogenic147mutations in *ras* genes (14). Depletion of oncogenic Ras (K-Ras,

H-Ras, or N-Ras) by shRNA decreased c-Src phosphoY416 (Src149pY416) in 71% (10 of 14) of examined cell lines (Fig. 1A; Table 1).150The decreased Src pY416 caused by H-Ras knockdown was151rescued by reexpression of oncogenic H-Ras^{L61} (Fig. 1B),152indicating that the decreased Src pY416 is not because of a153

156nonspecific off-target effect of shRNA. Moreover, H-Ras^{N17}. 157which is known to effectively inhibit all 3 Ras isoforms by 158sequestration of Ras GEFs (15), was capable of suppressing Src 159pY416 in T24 cells (with oncogenic H-Ras), SW480 cells (with 160oncogenic K-Ras), and HT-1080 cells (with oncogenic N-161Ras; Fig. 1C). Alternatively, an overexpression strategy was 162undertaken to examine whether the forced expression of 163oncogenic Ras could lead to c-Src activation. We found that 164transient, stable, or induced expression of oncogenic Ras 165stimulated the catalytic activity of c-Src, accompanied by 166 increased Src pY416 (Fig. 1D-F). Together, our results clearly 167indicate that oncogenic Ras leads to c-Src activation. It is worth 168noting that c-Src activation by Ras might be specific to 169oncogenic forms of Ras, as depletion of endogenous Ras did 170not affect c-Src activation by platelet-derived growth factor 171(Fig. 1G) or cell adhesion (Fig. 1H).

172Oncogenic Ras activates c-Src mainly on the Golgi173complex and ER

174Ras is known to localize to different membrane compart-175ments via posttranslational modifications, such as farnesyla-176tion or palmitoylation, on its carboxyl terminus (4). A selective inhibitor (FTI-277) for farnesyltransferase was found to inhibit 177 the activation of c-Src by H-Ras^{V12} (Supplementary Fig. S1A), 178 suggesting that the association of H-Ras^{V12} with cellular 179membranes may be important for its ability to activate c-Src. 180To test this possibility, c-Src activation by H-Ras^{L61} and its 181 variants deficient in association with different membrane 182183compartments was evaluated. The subcellular localization of H-Ras^{L61} and its variants was visualized by GFP-fused Raf 184185Ras-binding domain (Raf-RBD; Supplementary Fig. S1B). H-Ras^{L61/C186S} (defective in farnesylation), which fails to associate 186with any cellular membranes, lost its ability to activate Src (Fig. 187 2A). H-Ras^{L61/C181S/C184S} (defective in palmitoylation), which is 188 known to associate with the Golgi complex and ER, but not the 189 plasma membrane (16), retained its ability to activate Src to an 190extent similar to that activated by H-Ras^{L61} (Fig. 2A). To further 191confirm that the association of H-Ras^{L61} with the Golgi 192complex and/or ER is important for it to activate c-Src, H-193Ras^{L61/C186S} was engineered to target to the Golgi complex or 194ER. The Golgi-targeted H-Ras^{L61/C186S} (KDELR-Ras^{L61/C186S}) 195and the ER-targeted H-Ras^{L61/C186S} (M1-Ras^{L61/C186S}) substan-196tially activated c-Src to the level comparable with that by Ras^{L61} 197(Fig. 2A), indicating that the association of H-Ras^{L61} with the 198Golgi complex and ER is important for it to activate c-Src. 199Similarly, we found that K-Ras^{V12/C185S}, which cannot be 200farnesvlated, failed to activate c-Src (Fig. 2B). In contrast, K-201 Ras^{V12/S181E}, which is known to predominantly associate with 202203endomembranes (17), activated c-Src more potently than K-204Ras^{V12} (Fig. 2B). Therefore, our results suggest that association 205of oncogenic Ras with endomembranes, in particular the Golgi 206 complex and ER, may be crucial for it to activate c-Src. 207

To detect the subcellular localization where c-Src is activated by oncogenic Ras, MDCK or Cos cells transiently expressing hemagglutinin (HA) epitope–tagged H-Ras^{V12} (HA-H-Ras^{V12}) were stained for active Src with anti-Src pY416. Our results showed that active, endogenous c-Src was detected only in the cells expressing HA-H-Ras^{V12} (Fig. 2C), in which it was

colocalized with HA-H-Ras^{V12} at the Golgi complex (Fig. 2D) and ER (Fig. 2E). Notably, although a fraction of HA-H-Ras^{V12} was distributed at the plasma membrane, no active Src was detected at the plasma membrane (Fig. 2C, arrowheads). Our results thus suggest that the Golgi complex and ER may be the major platforms for oncogenic Ras to activate c-Src.

214

215

216

217

218

219

 $220 \\ 221$

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

It is known that myristoylation of Src at Gly2 is required for its association with cellular membranes (18). We used c-Src-GFP (with a GSGS-linker between c-Src and GFP) and its G2A mutant to examine whether membrane association is required for c-Src to be activated by oncogenic Ras. We found that c-Src-GFP was retained in an inactive state and mainly resided at the perinuclear region in resting cells (Supplementary Fig. S1C). Upon stimulation by EGF, c-Src-GFP became activated and was recruited to the plasma membrane (Supplementary Fig. S1C), indicating that the activity and subcellular localization of c-Src-GFP can be regulated in response to extracellular stimuli. Unlike c-Src-GFP, c-Src^{G2A}-GFP was diffusely distributed in the cytoplasm and was refractory to be activated by H-Ras^{V12} (Supplementary Fig. S1D). Together, our results suggest that membrane tethering of oncogenic Ras and c-Src on the Golgi complex and ER may be essential for oncogenic Ras to activate c-Src.

Activation of c-Src by oncogenic Ras is not through alterations in reactive oxygen species production, autocrine, or cell adhesion

How does oncogenic Ras induce c-Src activation? First, we examined whether reactive oxygen species (ROS) play a role in this regard. Oncogenic Ras was reported to induce large amount of ROS (19). Because ROS has been shown to stimulate the activity of Src (8, 20), we speculated that oncogenic Ras might activate c-Src via ROS production. However, this possibility was excluded, because elimination of ROS by N-acetylcysteine (NAC), a potent ROS scavenger, did not prevent the activation of c-Src by H-Ras^{V12} (Supplementary Fig. S2A and S2B). Second, we speculated an autocrine mechanism might be involved in the Src activation by oncogenic Ras. However, the conditioned medium collected from the cells expressing oncogenic Ras did not stimulate c-Src activity, rendering it less likely that c-Src activation by oncogenic Ras is through an autocrine fashion (Supplementary Fig. S2C and S2D). Third, we examined whether oncogenic Ras activates c-Src through its effect on cell adhesion. We found that oncogenic Ras was able to activate c-Src even when the cells were kept in suspension (Supplementary Fig. S2E and S2F), thus indicating that activation of c-Src by oncogenic Ras cannot be attributed to cell adhesion.

Oncogenic Ras activates c-Src independently of PI3K, Raf, RalGEF, or Ral

PI3K, Raf, and RalGEF are the 3 most well-known, immediate effectors for Ras (21). We found that inhibition of PI3K by specific inhibitors (wortmannin and LY294002) or depletion of the p110 catalytic subunit of PI3K by shRNA did not impair the ability of oncogenic Ras to activate c-Src (Supplementary Fig. S3A and S3B). In addition, the inhibition of Raf and its downstream effector MAP/extracellular signal—-regulated kinase (ERK) kinase by the inhibitors ZM336372 and PD98059

208

209

210

211



Figure 2. Oncogenic Ras induces c-Src activation mainly on the Golgi complex and ER. A, H-Ras^{L61} and its variants were transiently expressed in HEK293 cells and their effect on c-Src activity was analyzed. *, *P* < 0.01. B, K-Ras^{V12} and its variants were transiently expressed in HEK293 cells and their effect on c-Src activity was analyzed. C, HA-H-Ras^{V12} was transiently expressed in MDCK cells. The cells were subjected to immunofluorescent staining for HA-H-Ras and Src pY416. Note that the endogenous, active c-Src detected by anti-Src pY416 is colocalized with endomembrane-associated H-Ras^{V12} (arrowheads). Scale bar, 10 μm. D, H-Ras^{V12} was transiently expressed in Cos cells or MDCK cells. The cells were stained for the Golgi complex and Src pY416, with p58 as a marker for *cis*-Golgi and p230 as a marker for trans-Golgi. The selected area (white box) in MDCK cells was enlarged. Scale bar, 10 μm. D, H-Ras^{V12} was transiently expressed in Cos cells. The cells were stained for the ER scale bar, 10 μm. E, H-Ras^{V12} was transiently expressed in Cos cells. The cells were stained for the cells were stained for the ER. Scale bar, 10 μm. D, H-Ras^{V12} was transiently expressed in Cos cells. The cells were stained for the ER and Src pY416, with calnexin as a marker for the ER. Scale bar, 10 μm. D, H-Ras^{V12} was transiently expressed in Cos cells.

did not prevent c-Src activation by oncogenic Ras (Supple-272mentary Fig. S3C). Moreover, H-Ras^{V12/S35} and H-Ras^{V12/G37}, 273274which preferentially activate Raf and RalGEF, respectively (22), activated c-Src to a level similar to that by H-Ras^{V12} (Supple-275276mentary Fig. S3D), thus suggesting that activation of Raf and 277RalGEF by oncogenic Ras does not lead to c-Src activation. The 278role of Ral in c-Src activation was then further examined. 279Overexpression of constitutively active RalA or RalB had little 280effect on c-Src activity (Supplementary Fig. S3E). RLIP76∆GAP 281is a dominant-negative construct for both RalA and RalB (23). 282 We found that neither the expression of RLIP76ΔGAP nor 283depletion of RalA and RalB affected the activation of c-Src by 284oncogenic Ras (Supplementary Fig. S3F-S3H). Therefore, our results indicate that PI3K, Raf, RalGEF, and Ral are less likely to be involved in Ras-induced activation of c-Src.

P120RasGAP is a key mediator for oncogenic Ras to activate c-Src

290 To identify the molecule(s) essential for oncogenic Ras to activate c-Src, a collection of shRNAs that target Ras effectors, 291GEFs and GAPs, was applied in our study. Through an unbiased 292 screening, we identified p120RasGAP (RasGAP) as an effector 293for oncogenic Ras to activate c-Src. We found that knockdown 294of p120RasGAP reduced Src pY416 in 79% (11 of 14) of 295examined cancer cell lines (Fig. 3A; Table 1). Knockdown of 296both K-Ras and p120RasGAP had more profound inhibition in 297

286

287

288



Figure 3. p120RasGAP is a key mediator for oncogenic Ras to activate c-Src. A, p120RasGAP was depleted by shRNAs in human cancer cell lines and its effect on Src pY416 was analyzed. Two shRNAs (#1 and #2) specific to p120RasGAP were used. An shRNA to luciferase (Luc) was used as a control. B, K-Ras and/or p120RasGAP were depleted by shRNAs in SW480 cells and the effect on Src pY416 was analyzed. C, p120RasGAP was depleted in H-Ras^{V12}-transformed NIH3T3 cells and its effect on the pY416 and activity of Src was measured. D, inhibition of Src pY416 and activity by p120RasGAP shRNA (sh-RasGAP) was rescued by expression of GFP-p120RasGAP (GFP-RasGAP). E, H-Ras^{L61} failed to stimulate c-Src activity in the cells expressing p120RasGAP shRNA. F, GFP-p120RasGAP or its mutants were transiently expressed in NIH3T3 cells and their effect on Src pY416 was measured. The R789Q mutant is defective in Ras binding. The Q938H mutant lacks the GAP activity. IB, immunoblotting; WT, wild-type

300 c-Src activation than knockdown of either one in SW480 cells, 301 (Fig. 3B), supporting that oncogenic Ras, RasGAP, and c-Src are in the same signaling axis. The reduced c-Src activity by 302 p120RasGAP knockdown was rescued by expression of GFP-303 fused p120RasGAP in H-Ras^{V12}-transformed NIH3T3 cells (Fig. 304 3053C and D). Moreover, knockdown of p120RasGAP impaired the ability of H-Ras^{L61} to activate c-Src (Fig. 3E). Conversely, 306 overexpression of p120RasGAP, but not its R7890 mutant 307 308 deficient in Ras binding (24), potentiated the ability of H-Ras^{L61} to activate c-Src (Fig. 3F), indicating that binding of 309 p120RasGAP to oncogenic Ras is important for c-Src activa-310 tion. Of note, the Q938H mutant lacking GAP activity (24) was 311able to potentiate the ability of H-Ras^{L61} to activate c-Src (Fig. 3123133F), indicating that the GAP activity of p120RasGAP is not 314required for it to promote the activation of c-Src by oncogenic 315Ras.

Oncogenic Ras interacts with c-Src via p120RasGAP

H-Ras^{L61}, but not H-Ras^{L61/C186S}, forms stable complexes with endogenous c-Src and p120RasGAP in intact cells (Fig. 4A). The interaction between H-Ras^{L61} and c-Src was specific because c-Src was not co-precipitated with constitutively active Rho^{V14} or Rac^{V12} (Supplementary Fig. S4A). As GTP-bound H-Ras does not directly bind to c-Src *in vitro* (Supplementary Fig. S4B), it is possible that p120RasGAP may mediate the interaction between oncogenic Ras and c-Src. Indeed, depletion of p120RasGAP by shRNAs markedly reduced the association of c-Src with Ras^{L61} (Fig. 4B) and the Golgitargeted Ras^{L61/C186S} (Fig. 4C). Overexpression of p120RasGAP, but not its R789Q mutant defective in Ras binding, promoted the interaction between Ras^{L61} and c-Src (Fig. 4D).

Moreover, we found that H-Ras^{L61} promoted the interaction between p120RasGAP and c-Src (Fig. 4E). In HT-1080 cancer 326

327

328

329

330

331

332

317



Figure 4. Oncogenic Ras interacts with c-Src via p120RasGAP. A, oncogenic Ras is associated with endogenous c-Src in intact cells. Ras^{L61} or Ras^{L61/C186S} was transiently expressed in HEK293 cells and the lysates were subjected to immunoprecipitation (IP) and immunoblotting (IB) with antibodies, as indicated. WCL, whole-cell lysates. B, knockdown of p120RasGAP by shRNA (sh-RasGAP) suppresses the association of H-Ras^{L61} with endogenous c-Src. H-Ras^{L61} was transiently expressed in HEK293 cells stably expressing shRNAs to p120RasGAP or luciferase (Luc). The association of H-Ras^{L61} with Src was analyzed. C, the association of the Golgi-targeted Ras mutant (KDELR-H-Ras^{L61/C186S}) with Src was partially suppressed by p120RasGAP knockdown. D, H-Ras^{L61} and GFP-p120RasGAP were transiently expressed in HEK293 cells stably expressing shRNA to p120RasGAP. The association of H-Ras^{L61} with Src was analyzed. E, H-Ras^{L61} enhances the interaction between p120RasGAP and c-Src. HEK293 cells were transiently transfected with plasmids, as indicated, and the association of Src with p120RasGAP was analyzed. F, H-Ras^{N17} was transiently expressed in HT-1080 cells and its effect on the interaction between p120RasGAP and c-Src was analyzed. G, N-Ras was depleted in HT-1080 cells and the interaction between p120RasGAP and c-Src was analyzed. H, Cos cells and those stably expressing H-Ras^{V12} were transiently transfected with the plasmid encoding KDELR-GFP. The cells were stained for p120RasGAP and the nucleus. KDELR-GFP was used as an indicator for the Golgi complex. Note that whereas p120RasGAP is scatter distributed in the control cells, it is condensed at the Golgi complex in the cells expressing H-Ras^{V12}. The dashed lines mark the outline of the cell. Scale bar, 10 µm. I, GFP-H-Ras^{V12} was transiently expressed in Cos cells. The cells were stained for p120RasGAP and Src pY416. Note that GFP-H-Ras^{V12} colocalizes with p120RasGAP and active Src at the perinuclear region. The dashed lines mark the outline of the cell. Scale bar, 10 µm. DAPI, 4', 6-diamidino-2-phenylindole; n.d., not determined.

cells, suppression of oncogenic Ras by Ras^{N17} or specific shRNA 335336 inhibited the binding of p120RasGAP to c-Src (Fig. 4F and G). 337 Thus, our results support a role for oncogenic Ras to facilitate 338 the interaction between p120RasGAP and c-Src. In accordance

with this notion, we found that in the absence of oncogenic Ras, endogenous p120RasGAP was distributed throughout the cytoplasm in a tubule-like pattering and did not co-localize with the Golgi marker KDELR-GFP (Fig. 4H). In contrast, in the 343



Figure 5. c-Src is essential for H-Ras^{V12} to stimulate cell invasion and metastasis. A, lysates from wild-type MEFs (WT MEF) and SYF cells (src^{-/-} yes^{-/-} fyn^{-/-}) stably expressing HA-tagged H-Ras^{V12} (SYF/H-RasV12), c-Src (SYF/c-Src), or both (SYF/c-Src + H-Ras^{V12}) were subjected to analysis for the activity and pY416 of Src. B–E, the stable cell lines as described in (A) were subjected to assays for cell proliferation (B), survival (C), soft agar colony formation assay (D), and tumorigenicity in nude mice (E). Results are presented as mean \pm SD. (n = 3). *, P < 0.01. F and G, the stable cell lines as described in (A) were subjected to assays for cell proliferation (B), survival (C), soft agar colony formation assay (D), and tumorigenicity in nude mice (F). Results are presented as mean \pm SD. (n = 3). *, P < 0.01. F and G, the stable cell lines as described in (A) were subjected to assays for cell invasion (F) and lung metastasis (G). Results are presented as mean \pm SD. (n = 3). H, lungs were excised from the mice injected with the SYF cells expressing both H-Ras^{V12} and c-Src and then fixed in formalin. Representative images for the lung (i) and hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining (ii and iii) are shown. Arrows indicate tumor nodules. n.d., not determined.

presence of H-Ras^{V12}, p120RasGAP became condensed and
colocalized with KDELR-GFP at the perinuclear region (Fig.
44
where it was colocalized with active c-Src (Fig. 41). *In vitro*,
p120RasGAP bound to both SH2 and SH3 domains of c-Src
(Supplementary Fig. S4C). Deletion of the amino-terminal

proline-rich region in p120RasGAP significantly reduced its interaction with the SH3 domain of Src (Supplementary Fig. S4D). Together, our results support a model that recruitment of p120RasGAP to the Golgi complex by oncogenic Ras facilitates the interaction of p120RasGAP with c-Src, which may alleviate

352



Figure 6. p120RasGAP is essential for oncogenic Ras to promote tumor invasion. A, p120RasGAP was depleted by shRNAs (#1 and #2) in SW480 cells. GFP-p120RasGAP was introduced into the cells expressing shRNA #1 (rescue GFP-RasGAP). The whole-cell lysates were analyzed by immunoblotting (IB) with antibodies, as indicated. B–E, SW480 cells as described in (A) were subjected to assays for cell proliferation (B), survival (C), soft agar colony formation assay (D), and cell invasion (E). Results are presented as mean \pm SD. (n = 3).*, P < 0.01. F, a model illustrating that oncogenic Ras activates c-Src through p120RasGAP on the Golgi complex (bottom), whereas normal Ras is negatively regulated by GTP hydrolysis through p120RasGAP (top).

359the intramolecular inhibitory conformation of c-Src, leading to360c-Src activation.

361 P120RasGAP-mediated activation of c-Src is essential for 362 oncogenic Ras to promote tumor invasion

363 To examine the functional significance of c-Src activation 364in the transforming potential of oncogenic Ras, H-Ras^{V12} was coexpressed with or without c-Src in SYF (src $^{-/-}$ yes $^{-/-}$ 365 fyn^{-7} cells (Fig. 5A). We found that H-Ras^{V12} by itself did 366 not promote proliferation of SYF cells (Fig. 5B), but it was 367 368 sufficient to support anchorage-independent growth of SYF cells in soft agar (Fig. 5D) and allowed them to form tumors 369in mice (Fig. 5E). However, H-Ras^{V12} by itself failed to 370 stimulate invasive and metastatic capabilities of SYF cells 371(Fig. 5F-H). H-Ras^{V12} was capable of conferring invasive and 372 373 metastatic potential to the cells only in the presence of c-Src 374(Fig. 5F-H), strongly supporting a critical role for c-Src in 375 tumor invasion induced by oncogenic Ras.

To examine the significance of p120RasGAP in oncogenic 377 Ras-induced malignant transformation, p120RasGAP was 378 depleted in human SW480 colon cancer cells that express 379 oncogenic K-Ras. Depletion of p120RasGAP by shRNA signif-380 icantly decreased c-Src activity (Fig. 6A) and impaired the 381 invasiveness of the cells (Fig. 6E) but had no effect on cell 382 proliferation, survival, and anchorage-independent growth 383 (Fig. 6B-D). Expression of GFP-p120RasGAP restored the c-384 Src activity and invasiveness in p120RasGAP-depleted SW480 385cells (Fig. 6A and E). These results suggest that c-Src activation 386 may be essential for oncogenic Ras to promote cell invasion but 387 not anchorage-independent cell growth. It is worth noting that 388 knockdown of p120RasGAP did not decrease the invasiveness 389of LoVo cells (Supplementary Fig. S5), in which c-Src activity is 390independent of p120RasGAP (Supplementary Fig. S5A; Table 391 1). These results together highlight the significance of the 392 p120RasGAP/Src axis in tumor invasion evoked by oncogenic 393 394 Ras.

397 Discussion

398 Because Ras and c-Src represent 2 major molecular switches 399 for intracellular signal transduction, understanding their inter-400 play will help us not only to realize the intracellular signaling 401 network linked by these 2 molecular switches but also to 402 delineate more effective strategies for future therapeutic inter-403vention. In this study, we uncover a new signaling pathway that 404 links oncogenic Ras to c-Src activation. Through an shRNA-405based screening, we identified p120RasGAP as a key mediator 406 for oncogenic Ras to activate c-Src. As summarized in Fig. 6F, 407 p120RasGAP acts as a negative regulator for normal Ras by 408stimulating GTP hydrolysis. However, in cancer cells, onco-409genic Ras facilitates the interaction of p120RasGAP with c-Src 410 on the Golgi complex, which may induce conformational 411 changes in c-Src, leading to its activation. Our results suggest 412that p120RasGAP-mediated activation of c-Src may be essen-413 tial for oncogenic Ras to induce tumor invasion but not 414 anchorage-independent cell growth.

415 Although p120RasGAP serves as a negative regulator for 416 normal Ras, it has been implicated as an effector for oncogenic 417 Ras (25-27). For instance, p120RasGAP was shown to be important for oncogenic Ras to induce cell transformation 418 419(25). In particular, interruption of the interaction between 420oncogenic Ras and p120RasGAP was shown to suppress 421 Ras-induced transformation (26). However, the direct targets 422for p120RasGAP in Ras-induced transformation were unclear. 423In this study, we showed that p120RasGAP functions as an effector for oncogenic Ras to activate c-Src. Our results indi-424 425cated that the Ras-binding capability of p120RasGAP, but not 426 its GAP activity, is required for oncogenic Ras to activate c-Src 427 (Fig. 3F). In addition, we showed that p120RasGAP is recruited 428 to the Golgi complex upon the expression of oncogenic Ras 429(Fig. 4H). We thus propose that recruitment of p120RasGAP to 430 the Golgi complex by binding to oncogenic Ras may cause 431conformational changes in p120RasGAP, thereby exposing its 432binding sites to c-Src. Moreover, we found that the expression 433level of p120RasGAP is upregulated by oncogenic Ras (Sup-434 plementary Fig. S6), which could further contribute to Ras-435induced cell transformation. P120RasGAP has been shown to 436 interact with p190RhoGAP (28). In this study, we found that depletion of p190RhoGAP does not affect c-Src activation by 437 438 oncogenic Ras (Supplementary Fig. S7), suggesting that 439p190RhoGAP is not involved in c-Src activation in context 440 with oncogenic Ras.

441In this study, we showed that c-Src activation is crucial for 442 oncogenic Ras to stimulate tumor invasion. This leads to the 443question of how active c-Src promotes tumor invasion in 444context with oncogenic Ras. It is possible that active c-Src on 445the Golgi complex may enhance the secretion of matrix 446 metalloproteinases through the regulation of exocytosis. It 447 was shown recently that active c-Src phosphorylates and

502**References**

503

504

505

1. Schubbert S, Shannon K, Bollag G. Hyperactive Ras in developmental disorders and cancer. Nat Rev Cancer 2007;7:295-308

449 activates dynamin 2 to induce marked Golgi fragmentation and vesicle transport from the Golgi to the plasma membrane 450during secretory processes (29). In addition, Golgi-associated 451c-Src may promote cell migration and invasion through its 452effect on protein glycosylation (30). As alterations in the 453glycans of membrane proteins could lead to changes in cell 454adhesion and migration (31, 32), it is possible that the Golgi-455associated c-Src may alter protein glycosylation in a way that is 456beneficial for tumor invasion. 457

458We showed in this study that expression of oncogenic Ras 459induces c-Src activation. In addition, we found that there is a 460high correlation between oncogenic Ras and c-Src activation in 461 human cancer cell lines that harbor oncogenic mutations in Ras genes (Table 1). In accordance with our findings, Shields 462and colleagues (33) recently reported that elevated Src activity 463is detected in more than 60% of patients with pancreatic ductal 464 adenocarcinoma, which is characterized with a high incidence 465 of oncogenic mutations in the K-Ras gene. In this study, we 466 found that knockdown of oncogenic Ras or p120RasGAP by 467shRNAs led to suppression in c-Src activity in 79% of examined 468469cell lines harboring oncogenic Ras mutations. Given that Ras is 470 the most common target for somatic gain-of-function mutations in human cancers, clarification of the role of c-Src in Ras-471dependent malignancy is important for determining clinical 472 implication and may be helpful for the development of ther-473474 apeutic strategies.

Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest No potential conflicts of interest were disclosed.	Q4	$475 \\ 476$
Authors' Contributions	05	477
Conception and design: PC. Chan, HC. Chen	Q5	478
Development of methodology: PC. Chan, HC. Chen		479
Acquisition of data (provided animals, acquired and managed patients,		480
provided facilities, etc.): PC. Chan, HC. Chen		481
Analysis and interpretation of data (e.g., statistical analysis, biostatistics,		482
computational analysis): PC. Chan, HC. Chen		483
Writing, review, and/or revision of the manuscript: PC. Chan, HC. Chen		484
Administrative, technical, or material support (i.e., reporting or orga-		485
nizing data, constructing databases): PC. Chan, HC. Chen		486
Study supervision: HC. Chen		487
Acknowledgments		488
The authors thank Drs. J.L. Bos, R. Cerione, Jeremy J.W. Chen, J. Downward, J.L.		489
Guan, K.L. Guan, A.J. Koleske, H.S. Liu, M.R. Philips, and J.S. Yu for providing them with reagents.		$490 \\ 491$

Grant Support

This work was supported by grants NHRI-EX97-9730BI and NHRI-EX101-10103BI from the National Health Research Institutes, Taiwan, NSC99-2628-B-005-010-MY3 and NSC 100-2320-B-005-004-MY3 from the National Science Council, Taiwan, and the ATU plan from the Ministry of Education, Taiwan. The costs of publication of this article were defrayed in part by the payment of

page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked advertisement in accordance with 18 U.S.C. Section 1734 solely to indicate this fact

Received September 16, 2011; revised March 5, 2012; accepted March 6, 2012; published OnlineFirst xx xx, xxxx

Rossman KL, Der CJ, Sondek J. GEF means go: turning on RHO GTPases with guanine nucleotide-exchange factors. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 2005:6:167-80

492

493

494

495

496

497

498

499

500

501

507

508

- Malumbres M, Barbacid M. RAS oncogenes: the first 30 years. Nat Rev Cancer 2003;3:459–65.
- Mor A, Philips MR. Compartmentalized Ras/MAPK signaling. Annu Rev Immunol 2006;24:771–800.
- Daniels MA, Teixeiro E, Gill J, Hausmann B, Roubaty D, Holmberg K, et al. Thymic selection threshold defined by compartmentalization of Ras/MAPK signalling. Nature 2006;444:724–9.
- Onken B, Wiener H, Philips MR, Chang EC. Compartmentalized signaling of Ras in fission yeast. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2006; 103:9045–50.
- Martin GS. The hunting of the Src. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 2001;2: 467–75.
- Thomas SM, Brugge JS. Cellular functions regulated by Src family kinases. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol 1997;13:513–609.
- 9. Xu W, Harrison SC, Eck MJ. Three-dimensional structure of the tyrosine kinase c-Src. Nature 1997;385:595–602.
- Kim LC, Song L, Haura EB. Src kinases as therapeutic targets for cancer. Nat Rev Clin Oncol 2009;6:587–95.
- van der Geer P, Wiley S, Gish GD, Pawson T. The Shc adaptor protein is highly phosphorylated at conserved, twin tyrosine residues (Y239/240) that mediate protein-protein interactions. Curr Biol 1996;6:1435–44.
- Tokumitsu Y, Nakano S, Ueno H, Niho Y. Suppression of malignant growth potentials of v-Src-transformed human gallbladder epithelial cells by adenovirus-mediated dominant negative H-Ras. J Cell Physiol 2000;183:221–7.
- Tseng YS, Tzeng CC, Chiu AW, Lin CH, Won SJ, Wu IC, et al. Ha-ras overexpression mediated cell apoptosis in the presence of 5-fluorouracil. Exp Cell Res 2003;288:403–14.
- Davies H, Bignell GR, Cox C, Stephens P, Edkins S, Clegg S, et al. Mutations of the BRAF gene in human cancer. Nature 2002;417: 949–54.
- Matallanas D, Arozarena I, Berciano MT, Aaronson DS, Pellicer A, Lafarga M, et al. Differences on the inhibitory specificities of H-Ras, K-Ras, and N-Ras (N17) dominant negative mutants are related to their membrane microlocalization. J Biol Chem 2003;278:4572–81.
- Chiu VK, Bivona T, Hach A, Sajous JB, Silletti J, Wiener H, et al. Ras signalling on the endoplasmic reticulum and the Golgi. Nat Cell Biol 2002;4:343–50.
- Bivona TG, Quatela SE, Bodemann BO, Ahearn IM, Soskis MJ, Mor A, et al. PKC regulates a farnesyl-electrostatic switch on K-Ras that promotes its association with Bcl-XI on mitochondria and induces apoptosis. Mol Cell 2006;21:481–93.
- Cross FR, Garber EA, Pellman D, Hanafusa H. A short sequence in the p60src N terminus is required for p60src myristylation and membrane association and for cell transformation. Mol Cell Biol 1984;4:1834–42.
- Irani K, Xia Y, Zweier JL, Sollott SJ, Der CJ, Fearon ER, et al. Mitogenic signaling mediated by oxidants in Ras-transformed fibroblasts. Science 1997;275:1649–52.

 Nakamura H, Nakamura K, Yodoi J. Redox regulation of cellular activation. Annu Rev Immunol 1997;15:351–69. 561

562

563

564

565

566

567

568

569

570

571

572

573

574

575

576

577

578

579

580

581

582

583

584

585

586

587

588

589

590

591

592

593

594

595

596

597

598

599

600

601

602

603

604

605

606

607

608

- **21.** Downward J. Targeting RAS signalling pathways in cancer therapy. Nat Rev Cancer 2003;3:11–22
- **22.** Rodriguez-Viciana P, Warne PH, Khwaja A, Marte BM, Pappin D, Das P, et al. Role of phosphoinositide 3-OH kinase in cell transformation and control of the actin cytoskeleton by Ras. Cell 1997; 89:457–67.
- de Ruiter ND, Wolthuis RM, van Dam H, Burgering BM, Bos JL. Rasdependent regulation of c-Jun phosphorylation is mediated by the Ral guanine nucleotide exchange factor-Ral pathway. Mol Cell Biol 2000;20:8480–8.
- **24.** Miao W, Eichelberger L, Baker L, Marshall MS. p120 Ras GTPaseactivating protein interacts with Ras-GTP through specific conserved residues. J Biol Chem 1996;271:15322–9.
- Clark GJ, Westwick JK, Der CJ. p120 GAP modulates Ras activation of Jun kinases and transformation. J Biol Chem 1997;272:1677–81.
- Drugan JK, Rogers-Graham K, Gilmer T, Campbell S, Clark GJ. The Ras/p120 GTPase-activating protein (GAP) interaction is regulated by the p120 GAP pleckstrin homology domain. J Biol Chem 2000;275: 35021–7.
- Pomerance M, Thang MN, Tocque B, Pierre M. The Ras-GTPaseactivating protein SH3 domain is required for Cdc2 activation and mos induction by oncogenic Ras in Xenopus oocytes independently of mitogen-activated protein kinase activation. Mol Cell Biol 1996; 16:3179–86.
- **28.** Bryant SS, Briggs S, Smithgall TE, Martin GA, McCormick F, Chang JH, Parsons SJ, Jove R. Two SH2 domains of p120 Ras GTPase-activating protein bind synergistically to tyrosine phosphorylated p190 Rho GTPase-activating protein. J Biol Chem 1995;270:17947–52.
- 29. Weller SG, Capitani M, Cao H, Micaroni M, Luini A, Sallese M, et al. Src kinase regulates the integrity and function of the Golgi apparatus via activation of dynamin 2. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2010;107:5863–8.
- Gill DJ, Chia J, Senewiratne J, Bard F. Regulation of O-glycosylation through Golgi-to-ER relocation of initiation enzymes. J Cell Biol 2010;189:843–58.
- 31. Julien S, Adriaenssens E, Ottenberg K, Furlan A, Courtand G, Vercoutter-Edouart AS, et al. ST6GalNAc I expression in MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells greatly modifies their O-glycosylation pattern and enhances their tumourigenicity. Glycobiology 2006;16:54–64.
- Tsuiji H, Takasaki S, Sakamoto M, Irimura T, Hirohashi S. Aberrant Oglycosylation inhibits stable expression of dysadherin, a carcinomaassociated antigen, and facilitates cell-cell adhesion. Glycobiology 2003;13:521–7.
- Shields DJ, Murphy EA, Desgrosellier JS, Mielgo A, Lau SK, Barnes LA, et al. Oncogenic Ras/Src cooperativity in pancreatic neoplasia. Oncogene 2011;30:2123–34.

512

513

514

515

516

517

518

519

520

521

522

523

524

525 526

527

528

529

530 531

532

533

534

535

AUTHOR QUERIES

AUTHOR PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUERIES

- Q1: Page: 1: Per journal style, genes, alleles, loci, and oncogenes are italicized; proteins are roman. Please check throughout to see that the words are styled correctly.
- Q2: Page: 1: Author: Please verify whether the corresponding author links and their affiliations are OK.
- Q3: Page: 3: Author: Author: Please verify the quality/labeling of images included within this article. Thank you.
- Q4: Page: 10: Author: AU/PE: Is the disclosure statement correct?
- Q5: Page: 10: Author: Please verify whether the Authors' contributions are OK.

AU: Below is a summary of the name segmentation for the authors according to our records. The First Name and the Surname data will be provided to PubMed when the article is indexed for searching. Please check each name carefully and verify that the First Name and Surname are correct. If a name is not segmented correctly, please write the correct First Name and Surname on this page and return it with your proofs. If no changes are made to this list, we will assume that the names are segmented correctly, and the names will be indexed as is by PubMed and other indexing services.

First Name Surname

Po-Chao Chan

Hong-Chen Chen