## The association of alcohol consumption with metabolic syndrome and its individual components: the Taichung Community Health Study

Ching-Chu Chen<sup>1,2</sup>, Wen-Yuan Lin<sup>3,4</sup>, Chia-Ing Li<sup>5</sup>, Chiu-Shong Liu<sup>3,4</sup>, Tsai-Chung Li<sup>6,7</sup>, Ying-Tzu Chen<sup>5</sup>, Chuan-Wei Yang<sup>5</sup>, Man-Ping Chang<sup>8</sup>, Cheng-Chieh Lin<sup>3,4,9,10\*</sup> <sup>1</sup>Division of Endocrinology and Metabolism, Department of Medicine, China Medical University Hospital, <sup>2</sup>Department of Endocrinology and Metabolism, School of Chinese Medicine, College of Chinese Medicine, China Medical University, <sup>3</sup>Department of Family Medicine, China Medical University Hospital, <sup>4</sup>Department of Family Medicine, College of Medicine, China Medical University, <sup>5</sup>Department of Medical Research, China Medical University Hospital, <sup>6</sup>Graduate Institute of Biostatistics, College of Chinese Medicine, China Medical University, <sup>7</sup>Institute of Health Care Administration, College of Health Science, Asia University, <sup>8</sup>Department of Nursing, National Taichung Nursing College, <sup>9</sup>School and Graduate Institute of Health Care Administration, College of Public Health, China Medical University, <sup>10</sup>Institute of Health Care Administration, College of Health Science, Asia University; Taichung, Taiwan.

\*Corresponding author. Department of Family Medicine, China Medical University Hospital, Taichung, Taiwan. No 2, Yuh-Der Road, Taichung 40447, Taiwan. TEL: 886-4-22062121 ext. 7629. Fax 886-4-22335695. E-mail: cclin@mail.cmuh.org.tw Abbreviations: HDL-C, high density lipoprotein cholesterol; OR, odds ratios; CI,

confidence interval; LDL-C, low density lipoprotein cholesterol

## 1 Abstract

2	Alcohol has both adverse and protective effects on the individual components of
3	metabolic syndrome (MS). We hypothesize that alcohol consumption increases the
4	risk of developing MS and that the consumption of different types of alcoholic
5	beverages has different effects on the development of MS and its individual
6	components. We enrolled 2358 men for this cross-sectional study. The data were
7	collected from self-reported nutrition and lifestyle questionnaires. Individuals who
8	drank at least once per week for 6 consecutive months were classified as current
9	drinkers. Current drinkers were at a higher risk of developing MS, abdominal obesity,
10	and high triglyceride levels, but they were at a lower risk of developing low HDL-C
11	levels. The increased risk of developing MS, high triglyceride, and high fasting
12	glucose levels, was dose dependent, whereas low HDL-C levels demonstrated a
13	reverse relationship. The dose needed to reduce the risk of having low HDL-C levels
14	was $\geq$ 50 g per day. This dose, however, resulted in an increased risk of developing
15	high fasting glucose and high triglyceride levels. <u>Consuming mixed types of alcohol</u>
16	increased the risk of developing MS and abdominal obesity. Meanwhile, those who
17	drank liquor or wine had a greater risk of developing high triglyceride or high fasting
18	glucose levels, respectively. In conclusion, alcohol consumption dose-dependently
19	increased the risk of developing MS and some of its individual components while

20	dose-dependently decreasing the risk of developing low HDL-C levels. The type of
21	alcoholic beverage had different effects on the development of the individual
22	components of MS.

23 Keywords: metabolic syndrome, alcohol, ethanol, triglyceride, obesity, glucose

24 1. Introduction

25	Metabolic syndrome is a cluster of diseases characterized by abdominal obesity,
26	hypertriglyceridemia, low HDL-C levels, elevated blood glucose levels, and high
27	blood pressure. Previous reports have shown that metabolic syndrome is associated
28	with increased all-cause mortality [1] and the development of type 2 diabetes mellitus
29	[2]. It is believed that having a sedentary lifestyle is a key determinant in the
30	occurrence of metabolic syndrome. Other modifiable risk factors such as diet and
31	cigarette smoking [3] also play an important role in the development of metabolic
32	syndrome in individuals with a genetic predisposition for this group of diseases.
33	Alcohol has both adverse and protective effects on the individual components of
34	metabolic syndrome. Previous reports have shown that alcohol consumption is
35	positively associated with having abdominal obesity [4-6], high triglyceride levels
36	[7,8], and high blood pressure [8-10]. However, quite a few studies have
37	demonstrated that alcohol consumption has a protective effect on the development of
38	metabolic syndrome by increasing HDL-C levels [7,8,11,12]. Although the results
39	from some studies have shown a U-shaped association between alcohol consumption
40	and plasma glucose levels, that relationship was based on a small number of studies
41	which had different study designs and definitions [13,14]. Taking the inconsistent
42	results of previous studies into consideration, it is unclear whether alcohol

43	consumption contributes to the development of metabolic syndrome. <u>To make a</u>
44	cogent recommendation about alcohol consumption to patients with cardio-metabolic
45	diseases, it is necessary to clarify the association of alcohol consumption with
46	metabolic syndrome and its individual components. Because alcohol increases some
47	risk factors for metabolic syndrome, we hypothesize that alcohol consumption
48	increases the risk of developing metabolic syndrome and that different types of
49	alcoholic beverages have different effects on the development of metabolic syndrome
50	and its individual components. The aim of this study was to investigate the
51	relationship among alcohol consumption, metabolic syndrome, and its individual
52	components.
53	2. Methods and materials
53 54	<ol> <li>Methods and materials</li> <li><i>2.1. Participants</i></li> </ol>
53 54 55	<ul> <li>2. Methods and materials</li> <li>2.1. Participants         The study subjects composed two different populations. The first population     </li> </ul>
53 54 55 56	2. Methods and materials 2.1. Participants The study subjects composed two different populations. The first population was from our previous community-based, cross-sectional study, conducted from
53 54 55 56 57	<ul> <li>2. Methods and materials</li> <li>2.1. Participants The study subjects composed two different populations. The first population was from our previous community-based, cross-sectional study, conducted from October 2004 to September 2005, which estimated the prevalence of metabolic</li></ul>
53 54 55 56 57 58	<ul> <li>2. Methods and materials</li> <li>2.1. Participants The study subjects composed two different populations. The first population was from our previous community-based, cross-sectional study, conducted from October 2004 to September 2005, which estimated the prevalence of metabolic syndrome in Taichung city [15]. In that study, based on individuals' records from the</li></ul>
<ul> <li>53</li> <li>54</li> <li>55</li> <li>56</li> <li>57</li> <li>58</li> <li>59</li> </ul>	2. Methods and materials <i>2. Nethods and materials 2.1. Participants</i> The study subjects composed two different populations. The first population was from our previous community-based, cross-sectional study, conducted from October 2004 to September 2005, which estimated the prevalence of metabolic syndrome in Taichung city [15]. In that study, based on individuals' records from the Bureau of Households in Taichung city, we used a 2-stage sampling design to choose
<ul> <li>53</li> <li>54</li> <li>55</li> <li>56</li> <li>57</li> <li>58</li> <li>59</li> <li>60</li> </ul>	2. Methods and materials <b>2. Participants</b> The study subjects composed two different populations. The first population   was from our previous community-based, cross-sectional study, conducted from   October 2004 to September 2005, which estimated the prevalence of metabolic   syndrome in Taichung city [15]. In that study, based on individuals' records from the   Bureau of Households in Taichung city, we used a 2-stage sampling design to choose   residents and ensured that the sampling rate was proportional to the number of

62	men and 121	2 women)	agi	reed to	partici	oate, g	giving	g us a	res	ponse ra	te of	66.83%.

- 63 Using the same questionnaire, we recruited the second population (1256 men and
- 64 <u>1231 women) during routine physical examinations at the Department of Family</u>
- 65 Medicine at China Medical University Hospital from January 2006 to December 2006.
- 66 In this study, we restricted our analyses to men because the proportion of Taiwanese
- 67 women who drank alcohol was too small. The final analysis was conducted with 2358
- 68 men after excluding 45 subjects with incomplete data for any of the following
- 69 <u>variables: alcohol drinking status, smoking status, physical activity, daily energy</u>
- 70 intake or the parameters for the diagnosis of metabolic syndrome. Informed consent
- 71 was obtained from each participant, and the study was approved by the Human
- 72 Research Committee of the China Medical University Hospital.
- 73 2.2. Questionnaire

74	The data were collected from self-reported nutrition and lifestyle questionnaires.
75	Items in the questionnaire explored basic demographic data, previous and current
76	disease status, family history of disease, smoking habits, alcohol consumption, betel
77	nut chewing, and physical activity status. The nutrition survey employed a
78	food-intake frequency method to assess daily energy and nutrition intakes. Alcohol
79	consumption was assessed by the type of beverages consumed, the age at onset of
80	drinking, or age when the subject began to abstain, drinking frequency, and the

81	average amount of alcohol per drink. Individuals who drank at least once per week for
82	6 consecutive months were classified as current drinkers. Physical activity was
83	measured by the frequency, duration, and intensity of walking, jogging, running,
84	bicycle riding, swimming, aerobics, aerobic dancing, and other types of dancing, as
85	well as the frequency and duration of time the individual spent playing tennis, table
86	tennis, golf, basketball, or badminton.
87	2.3. <u>Anthropometric measurements and laboratory analyses</u>
88	All participating subjects reported to the outpatient clinic of the Department of
89	Family Medicine after an overnight fast. They were weighed in light clothing, and
90	their heights were measured. Waist circumference was measured in a horizontal plane
91	midway between the inferior margin of the last rib and the crest of the ileum. The
92	circumference was measured to the nearest 1 mm. Blood pressure was recorded from
93	the right arm after the participant sat at rest for a period of 20 minutes. The mean of
94	two blood pressure recordings was used for statistical analyses. Fasting blood samples
95	were drawn between 08:00 and 10:00.
96	The plasma glucose level was determined using a glucose oxidase method
97	(Astra-8, Beckman, CA, USA). Plasma lipids were determined using an enzymatic
98	colorimetric method (Beckman Coulter Synchron LX-20, Brea, CA, USA).
99	2.4. Diagnosis of metabolic syndrome

100	Metabolic syndrome was diagnosed using the American Heart
101	Association/National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute's criteria with minor
102	<u>modifications [16]</u> : serum triglyceride level $\geq$ 1.69 mmol/l (150 mg/dl) or currently
103	taking hypolipidemic agents; serum HDL-C level < 1.03 mmol/l (40 mg/dl); blood
104	pressure $\geq$ 130/85 mmHg or currently taking antihypertensive medication; fasting
105	plasma glucose $\geq$ 5.6 mmol/l (100 mg/dl) or currently taking oral antidiabetic
106	medication; and waist circumference $\geq$ 90 cm.
107	2.5. Statistical <u>analyses</u>
108	All data are presented as the means $\pm$ standard deviation except alcohol
109	drinking status, which was separated by the median (lower quantile-upper quantile).
110	Using drinking status as a factor, continuous variables were analyzed with Student's
111	t-test, and nominal variables were analyzed with the chi-square test to determine
112	whether there were significant differences between the groups. A multiple logistic
113	regression analysis was used to calculate the odds ratios, and the linear trend was
114	evaluated using the trend test. Subjects without data for their education level ( $n = 164$ )
115	or household income ( $n=361$ ) were included in the multiple logistic regression
116	analysis and the trend test. A P-value of less than 0.05 represented a statistically
117	significant difference between the compared data sets. All analyses were performed
118	with the statistical package SAS for Windows (Version 8.1, SAS Institute, Cary, N.C.,

119 USA).

120 3. Results

121	The characteristics of the study subjects are given in Table 1. Among the 2358
122	men, 1430 subjects (61%) had never drunk alcohol, and 928 subjects (39%) were
123	current drinkers. The current drinkers were younger than the never drinkers. The
124	percentage of subjects with an education level $> 12^{th}$ grade was higher among the
125	never drinkers than among the current drinkers, although the household income was
126	similar between the two groups. The average daily amount of alcohol drinking in the
127	current drinkers was 35.71 g. The drinking amount was the highest among liquor
128	drinkers. The percentage of subjects who currently smoke was higher among the
129	current drinkers. These subjects also had higher levels of physical activity and fat
130	intake than the never drinkers. Fiber intake, however, was lower among the current
131	drinkers. There were significant differences between the two groups in body mass
132	index and waist circumference. The current drinkers had a higher proportion of
133	subjects with high triglyceride levels than the never drinkers, but the percentage of
134	subjects with low HDL-C levels was similar between the two groups. The proportion
135	of subjects with high blood pressure and high fasting plasma glucose was also similar
136	between the never drinkers and the current drinkers. Metabolic syndrome was more
137	prevalent in the current drinkers than in the never drinkers.

138	After controlling for other covariates, the current drinkers were at a
139	significantly higher risk of developing metabolic syndrome ( $P = 0.0307$ ), abdominal
140	obesity ( $P = 0.0154$ ), and high triglyceride levels ( $P = 0.0090$ ), but they were at a
141	lower risk of developing low HDL-C levels ( $P = 0.0175$ ) than the never drinkers. The
142	risk of developing high fasting glucose levels and high blood pressure was similar
143	between the two groups (Table 2).
144	There was a significant, dose-dependent relationship among the amount of
145	alcohol consumed, the development of metabolic syndrome ( $P = 0.0312$ for trend),
146	high triglyceride levels ( $P = 0.0004$ for trend), and high fasting glucose levels ( $p =$
147	0.0058 for trend). The dose-dependent relationship between the amount of alcohol
148	consumed and low HDL-C levels was reversed ( $P < 0.0001$ for trend) (Table 3). The
149	dose associated with the development of low HDL-C levels was $\geq$ 50 g per day (P <
150	0.0001); however, that dose of alcohol increased the risk of developing high fasting
151	glucose levels ( $P = 0.0090$ ) and high triglyceride levels ( $P = 0.0055$ ) (Table 3).
152	As shown in Table 4, having a mixed type of alcohol consumption was
153	associated with the development of metabolic syndrome ( $P = 0.0397$ ) and abdominal
154	obesity ( $P = 0.0069$ ). Liquor-drinking significantly increased the likelihood of
155	developing high triglyceride levels ( $P = 0.0003$ ), and wine consumption was
156	associated with a greater risk of developing high fasting glucose levels ( $P = 0.0408$ ).

157 4. Discussion

158	This study showed that alcohol consumption increased the risk of developing
159	metabolic syndrome and some of its individual components in a dose-dependent
160	manner. Triglyceride levels were significantly higher in subjects who consumed $\geq 10$
161	g of alcohol per day. Drinking more than 50 g of alcohol per day significantly
162	decreased the risk of developing low HDL-C levels but increased the risk of
163	developing high fasting glucose levels. The type of alcoholic beverages consumed
164	was not related to the development of metabolic syndrome. Consuming mixed types
165	of alcohol, however, increased the risk of developing metabolic syndrome.
166	The results from previous studies on the relationship between alcohol
167	consumption and the development of metabolic syndrome are inconsistent. Some
168	studies have reported that the association is positively linear [17,18], others have
169	demonstrated that the relationship is inversely linear [19,20], some have seen a
170	J-shaped relationship [21], and one study showed that there was no relationship
171	between alcohol consumption and metabolic syndrome [22]. In this study, we
172	demonstrated a positive, linear relationship between alcohol consumption and
173	metabolic syndrome. The discrepancies in past study results may be partly attributed
174	to different study populations and different consumption patterns. Ethnic differences
175	may also play a role in the discrepancy. For example, a previous report showed that

176	an individual's HDL <sub>2</sub> -C level was positively associated with alcohol consumption in
177	Caucasian Americans but not in African Americans [23]. That study also
178	demonstrated that heavy alcohol consumption was associated with higher triglyceride
179	levels in African Americans but not in Caucasian Americans [23].
180	The results of this study were consistent with previous reports that alcohol
181	consumption increases the risk of developing abdominal obesity [4-6] and high
182	triglyceride levels [7,8] while lowering the risk of having low HDL-C levels
183	[7,8,11,12]; however, our results contrast with those of some studies that showed that
184	current drinkers had a lower risk of developing abdominal obesity [24,25] and high
185	blood pressure [8-10]. A meta-analysis showed that moderate alcohol consumption
186	lowers the risk of developing type 2 diabetes, but that this effect disappears in
187	subjects who drank $\geq 48$ g of alcohol per day [9]. Similarly, we found that the
188	consumption of more than 50 g of alcohol per day significantly increased the subject's
189	risk of developing high fasting glucose levels.
190	Freiberg, et al. showed that the risk of developing metabolic syndrome differed
191	depending on the type of alcoholic beverages consumed [19]. In contrast, Djousse et
192	al. demonstrated that alcohol consumption was associated with a lower prevalence of
193	metabolic syndrome irrespective of the type of alcoholic beverages that were
194	consumed [20]. In this study, the association between alcohol consumption and

196 reason for the discrepancy among these studies is not clear. 197 This study had some limitations. First, the smoking and alcohol drinking statuses 198 were based on the results of self-reported questionnaires; therefore, some of the 199 individuals may have been misclassified. Second, this was a cross-sectional study, and we did not evaluate or consider longitudinal changes in the participants' habits. Third, 200 201 although we adjusted for a variety of potential confounders, residual confounding 202 factors are still possible. 203 In conclusion, the results of this study indicate that the risk of developing 204 metabolic syndrome is greater among current drinkers than among never drinkers. In 205 addition, the increased risk of developing metabolic syndrome and many of its 206 individual components, namely high triglyceride and fasting glucose levels, was dose 207 dependent. The type of alcoholic beverages consumed had different effects on the 208 development of the individual components of metabolic syndrome; however, it was 209 not related to the development of metabolic syndrome. The fact that the consumption 210 of a moderate amount of alcoholic beverages has been shown to have protective

metabolic syndrome was not related to type of alcoholic beverages consumed. The

195

211 <u>cardiovascular effects may outweigh the negative effects of consuming alcohol.</u>

## Acknowledgments

This work was supported by a grant from the China Medical University Hospital

(DMR-98-024) in Taichung, Taiwan. The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

1	References
1	References

2	[1] Lakka HM, Laaksonen DE, Lakka TA, et al. The metabolic syndrome and total
3	and cardiovascular disease mortality in middle-aged men. JAMA
4	2002;288:2709-16.
5	[2] Hanson RL, Imperatore G, Bennett PH, Knowler WC. Components of the
6	"metabolic syndrome" and the incidence of type 2 diabetes. Diabetes 2002;51:
7	3120-7.
8	[3] Chen CC, Li TC, Chang PC, et al. Association among cigarette smoking,
9	metabolic syndrome, and individual components: the metabolic syndrome study in
10	Taiwan. Metabolism 2008;57:544-58.
11	[4] Tolstrup JS, Heitmann BL, Tjonneland AM, Overvad OK, Sorensen TI, Gronbaek
12	MN. The relation between drinking pattern and body mass index and waist and hip
13	circumference. Int J Obes (Lond) 2005;29:490-7.
14	[5] Schroder H, Morales-Molina JA, Bermejo S, et al. Relationship of abdominal
15	obesity with alcohol consumption at population scale. Eur J Nutr 2007;46:369-76.
16	[6] Riserus U, Ingelsson E. Alcohol intake, insulin resistance, and abdominal obesity
17	in elderly men. Obesity 2007;15:1766-73.
18	[7] Ruixing Y, Shangling P, Hong C, et al. Diet, alcohol consumption, and serum lipid
19	levels of the middle-aged and elderly in the Guangxi Bai Ku Yao and Han

20 population. Alcohol 2008;42:219-29.

21	[8] Lee KS, Park CY, Meng KH, Bush A, et al. The association of cigarette smoking
22	and alcohol consumption with other cardiovascular risk factors in men from Seoul,
23	Korea. Ann Epidemiol 1998;8:31-8.
24	[9] Taylor B, Irving HM, Baliunas D, et al. Alcohol and hypertension: gender
25	difference in dose-response relationships determined through systematic and
26	meta-analysis. Addiction 2009;104:1981-90.
27	[10] Sesso HD, Cook NR, Buring JE, Manson JE, Gaziano JM. Alcohol consumption
28	and the risk of hypertension in women and men. Hypertension 2008;51:1080-7.
29	[11] Linn S, Carroll M, Johnson C, Fulwood R, Kalsbeek W, Briefel R. High-density
30	lipoprotein cholesterol and alcohol consumption in US white and black adults: data
31	from NHANES II. Am J Public Health 1993;83:811-6.
32	[12] Suh I, Shaten BJ, Cutler JA, Kuller LH. Alcohol use and mortality from coronary
33	heart disease: the role of high-density lipoprotein cholesterol. Ann Int Med
34	1992;116:881-7.
35	[13] Koppes LL, Dekker JM, Hendriks HF, Bouter LM, Heine RJ. Moderate alcohol
36	consumption lowers the risk of type 2 diabetes: A meta-analysis of prospective
37	observational studies. Diabetes Care 2005;28:719-25.
38	[14] Carlsson S, Hammar N, Grill V. Alcohol consumption and type 2 diabetes:

39	meta-analysis of epidemiological studies indicates a U-shaped relationship.
40	Diabetologia 2005;48:1051-4.
41	[15] Lin CC, Liu CS, Lai MM, et al. Metabolic syndrome in a Taiwanese
42	metropolitan adult population. BMC Public Health 2007;7:239-43.
43	[16] Grundy SM, Cleeman JI, Daniels SR, et al. Diagnosis and management of the
44	metabolic syndrome: an American Heart Association/ National Heart, Lung, and
45	Blood Institute scientific statement: executive summary. Circulation
46	2005;112:285-90.
47	[17] Fan AZ, Russell M, Dorn J, et al. Lifetime alcohol drinking pattern is related to
48	the prevalence of metabolic syndrome. The Western New York Health Study
49	(WNYHS). Eur J Epidemiol 2006;21:129-38.
50	[18] Baik I, Shin C. Prospective study of alcohol consumption and metabolic
51	syndrome. Am J Clin Nutr 2008;87:1455-63.
52	[19] Freiberg MS, Cabral HJ, Heeren TC, Vasan RS, Curtis Ellison R. Alcohol
53	consumption and the prevalence of the metabolic syndrome in the US: a
54	cross-sectional analysis of data from the Third National Health and Nutrition
55	Examination Survey. Diabetes Care 2004;27:2954-9.
56	[20] Djousse L, Arnett DK, Eckfeldt JH, Province MA, Singer MR, Ellison RC.
57	Alcohol consumption and metabolic syndrome: does the type of beverage matter?

58 Obes Res 2004;12:1375-85.

59	[21] Yoon YS, Oh SW, Baik HW, Park HS, Kim WY. Alcohol consumption and the
60	metabolic syndrome in Korean adults: the 1998 Korean National Health and
61	Nutrition Examination Survey. Am J Clin Nutr 2004;80:217-24.
62	[22] Zhu S, St-Onge MP, Heshka S, Heymsfield SB. Lifestyle behaviors associated
63	with lower risk of having the metabolic syndrome. Metabolism 2004;53:1503-11.
64	[23] Volcik KA, Ballantyne CM, Fuchs FD, Sharrett AR, Boerwinkle E. Relationship
65	of alcohol consumption and type of alcoholic beverage consumed with plasma lipid
66	levels: differences between whites and African Americans of the ARIC study. Ann
67	Epidemiol 2008;18:101-7.
68	[24] Rohrer JE, Rohland BM, Deison A, Way A. Frequency of alcohol use and obesity
69	in community medicine patients. BMC Family Practice 2005;6:17-25.
70	[25] Arif AA, Rohrer JE. Patterns of alcohol drinking and its association with obesity:
71	data from the third national health and nutrition examination survey, 1988-1994.
72	BMC Public Health 2005;5:126-31.

Characteristic	Novon drinkon	Comment drinker	Drughua
Characteristic	never drinker	Current drinker	<i>r</i> -value
(N=2358)	(n=1430)	(n=928)	
Age (yrs)	$51.95 \pm 12.78$	50.47±10.19	0.0018
Education level (grade) #			<.0001
< 9	236(17.81)	178(20.48)	
9-12	551(41.58)	457(52.59)	
> 12	538(40.60)	234(26.93)	
Household income (USD/month)*			0.3801
<1250	269(22.47)	159(19.88)	
1250-4000	562(46.95)	390(48.75)	
>4000	366(30.58)	251(31.38)	
Alcohol drinking status**			
Total amount (g/day)	-	35.71 (14.29-107.14)	
Beer drinker (g/day)	-	35.71 (12.50-107.14)	
Wine drinker (g/day)	-	26.79 (10.71-71.43)	
Liquor drinker (g/day)	-	42.86 (17.86-107.14)	
Mixed drinker (g/day)	-	28.57 (13.39-89.29)	
Smoking status			<.0001
Never	876(61.26)	290(31.25)	
Former smoker	180(12.59)	171(18.43)	
Current smoker	374(26.15)	467(50.32)	
Physical activity (MET-hour/week)	10.97±18.02	14.02±22.65	0.0006
Daily energy intake			
Total calories (Kcal)	2527.49±578.49	2513.68±570.87	0.5692

Table 1	Characteristics	of the study	subjects	categorized	by alcohol	consumption
		-1			-1	

Carbohydrate (g)	476.80±111.71	469.22±110.16	0.1055
Fat (g)	31.84±10.79	33.04±11.61	0.0116
Protein (g)	78.72±19.77	80.24±20.34	0.0715
Fiber (g)	6.58±2.05	6.41±2.06	0.0483
Anthropometric measures			
Body mass index (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	24.23±3.25	24.78±3.18	<.0001
Total cholesterol (mmol/l)	5.13±0.95	5.25±0.96	0.0017
Metabolic syndrome parameters			
Waist circumference $\geq$ 90 cm	375(26.22)	298(32.11)	0.0021
Triglycerides $\geq$ 1.69 mmol/l (150 mg/dl)	437(30.56)	361(38.90)	<.0001
or on medication			
HDL-C < 1.03 mmol/l (40 mg/dl)	859(60.07)	528(56.90)	0.1339
Blood pressure $\geq$ 130/85 mmHg or on	572(40.00)	385(41.49)	0.4923
medication			
Fasting plasma glucose $\geq$ 5.6 mmol/l (100	412(28.81)	281(30.28)	0.4592
mg/dl) or on medication			
Prevalence of metabolic syndrome	447(31.26)	333(35.88)	0.0223

Data are presented as  $\underline{\text{means} \pm \text{SD}}$  or n (%); MET = metabolic equivalent.

# 164 subjects (n = 105 in never group; n = 59 in current group) without data for education level

\*361 subjects (n = 233 in never group; n = 128 in current group) without data for household income

\*\*The alcohol drinking amount was separated at the median (lower-upper quantile).

Table 2 Association of metabolic syndrome and its components with current alcohol

1	•	1	•	
dı	r11	۱k	11	۱ø
-				-5

	Never (n=1430)	Current (n=928)		
		crude OR	adjusted OR	
Metabolic syndrome	1.00	1.23(1.03-1.47)	1.24(1.02-1.50)	
Abdominal obesity	1.00	1.33(1.11-1.60)	1.27(1.05-1.55)	
High triglyceride	1.00	1.45(1.22-1.72)	1.29(1.07-1.57)	
Low HDL-C	1.00	0.88(0.74-1.04)	0.80(0.67-0.96)	
High fasting glucose	1.00	1.07(0.90-1.29)	1.15(0.94-1.41)	
High blood pressure	1.00	1.06(0.90-1.26)	1.19(0.98-1.43)	

<u>Presented with odds ratios (OR) (95% CI).</u> The model is adjusted for age, education level, smoking status, physical activity, fat intake, fiber intake and <u>lipid medication</u>. Subjects without data for education level or household income were included in the analyses. <u>A</u> <u>multiple logistic regression analysis was used to calculate the OR. The current drinkers</u> were at a significantly higher risk of developing metabolic syndrome, abdominal obesity, and high triglyceride levels, but they were at a lower risk of developing low HDL-C levels than the never drinkers. The risk of developing high fasting glucose levels and high blood pressure was similar between the two groups.

		Current drinkers ( $n = 928$ )				
Drinking amount (g/day)	never	> 0, < 10	$\geq 10, < 30$	<b>≧</b> 30, < 50	$\ge$ 50	P for trend
Ν	1430	491	231	77	129	
Metabolic syndrome	1.00	1.18(0.94-1.49)	1.25(0.92-1.71)	1.53(0.94-2.50)	1.32(0.87-1.95)	0.0312
Abdominal obesity	1.00	1.34(1.06-1.69)	1.08(0.78-1.49)	1.50(0.91-2.47)	1.26(0.84-1.90)	0.0806
High triglycerides	1.00	1.10(0.87-1.39)	1.48(1.09-2.02)	1.57(0.96-2.55)	1.74(1.18-2.56)	0.0004
Low HDL-C	1.00	0.95(0.77-1.18)	0.78(0.58-1.05)	0.79(0.49-1.27)	0.41(0.28-0.60)	<.0001
High fasting glucose	1.00	1.00(0.78-1.27)	1.23(0.89-1.69)	1.42(0.85-2.37)	1.72(1.15-2.59)	0.0058
High blood pressure	1.00	1.18(0.94-1.48)	1.24(0.91-1.67)	1.07(0.65-1.76)	1.19(0.80-1.77)	0.1845

Table 3 Association of metabolic syndrome and its components with an alcohol drinking amount

Presented with adjusted OR (95% CI). The model is adjusted for age, education level, smoking status, physical activity, fat intake, fiber intake

and <u>lipid medication</u>. Subjects without data for education level or household income were included in the analyses. <u>A multiple logistic</u> regression analysis was used to calculate the OR, and the linear trend was evaluated using the trend test. There was a significant, <u>dose-dependent relationship among the amount of alcohol consumed and the development of metabolic syndrome, high triglyceride levels</u>, <u>and high fasting glucose levels</u>, whereas low HDL-C levels had the reversed relationship. The dose associated with developing low HDL-C levels was  $\geq$  50 g per day; however, that dose increased the risk of developing high fasting glucose levels and high triglyceride levels.

	Adjusted OR (95% CI)					
	Never	Beer	Wine	Liquor	Mixed types	
N	1430	106	160	466	196	
Metabolic syndrome	1	0.90(0.57-1.43)	1.33(0.93-1.89)	1.17(0.91-1.50)	1.41(1.02-1.96)	
Abdominal obesity	1	1.21(0.77-1.89)	1.18(0.82-1.70)	1.20(0.93-1.55)	1.58(1.13-2.20)	
High triglyceride	1	0.85(0.53,1.35)	1.19(0.82-1.72)	1.58(1.23-2.02)	1.21(0.86,1.69)	
Low HDL-C	1	0.78(0.52-1.18)	0.93(0.66-1.30)	0.86(0.68-1.10)	1.01(0.73-1.39)	
High fasting glucose	1	1.04(0.65-1.67)	1.45(1.02-2.07)	0.98(0.75-1.28)	1.26(0.89-1.77)	
High blood pressure	1	0.93(0.60-1.44)	1.15(0.81-1.64)	1.11(0.87-1.42)	1.13(0.81-1.57)	

Table 4 Association of metabolic syndrome and its components with alcoholic beverages

<u>A multiple logistic regression analysis was used to calculate the OR.</u> The model is adjusted for age, education level, smoking status, physical activity, fat intake, fiber intake, drinking amount, and <u>lipid</u> <u>medication</u>. Subjects without data for education level or household income were included in the analyses. <u>Consuming mixed types of alcohol was associated with the development of metabolic syndrome and</u> <u>abdominal obesity. Meanwhile, those that consumed liquor or wine had a greater risk of developing high</u> triglyceride or high fasting glucose levels, respectively.