AUTHOR QUERY FORM

	Journal: TJOG	Please e-mail or fax your responses and any corrections to:
S S L		E-mail: corrections.esch@elsevier.tnq.co.in
ELSEVIER	Article Number: 114	Fax: +31 2048 52789

Dear Author,

Please check your proof carefully and mark all corrections at the appropriate place in the proof (e.g., by using on-screen annotation in the PDF file) or compile them in a separate list. Note: if you opt to annotate the file with software other than Adobe Reader then please also highlight the appropriate place in the PDF file. To ensure fast publication of your paper please return your corrections within 48 hours.

For correction or revision of any artwork, please consult http://www.elsevier.com/artworkinstructions.

Any queries or remarks that have arisen during the processing of your manuscript are listed below and highlighted by flags in the proof.

Location in article	Query / Remark: Click on the Q link to find the query's location in text Please insert your reply or correction at the corresponding line in the proof
Q1	Please clarify 'highest priority' in the sentence "A prospective randomized controlled trial". Does the mean 'level'?
Q2	Is this the two patients who developed cellulitis while in hospital? Please clarify the sentence " In our two patients".
Q3	Please note that the word 'weight' has been replaced by 'mass' in the sentence "Postoperative infection h been attributed". Kindly check and correct if necessary.
Q4	Please check the sentence "It has also been shown that antibiotic" for clarity. Does this refer to the dosage of the antibiotics?
Q5	Please give the unit 'mg/dl' in SI units in the sentence "In addition, poor glucose control", i.e. mmol/
Q6	Please check the sentence "Therefore, it is possible that earlier feeding" for clarity.
Q7	As per the journal style, for the references having more than six authors all the author names up to six hat to be listed in the reference followed by "et al". So kindly check the Ref. [19] and provide them in the correct format.
Q8	Please provide the publisher and place of publication for Ref. [3].
Q9	Please check the layout of tables 1,2,3 and correct if necessary.
Q10	Please give values in SI units in 'Table 2'.
Q11	please make sure there's a space either side of mathematical symbols in the equation of Table 3 legen

Q12 Please confirm that given names and surnames have been identified correctly.

Thank you for your assistance.

ARTICLE IN PRESS

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

SciVerse ScienceDirect

Taiwanese Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology xx (2011) 1-5

Original Article

An analysis of risk factors for postoperative pelvic cellulitis after laparoscopic-assisted vaginal hysterectomy

Wei-Chun Chang ^{a,b}, Ching-Hung Hsieh ^c, Cheng-Chieh Lin ^b, Wu-Chou Lin ^{a,d}, Yao-Ching Hung ^{a,b}, Wei-Chien Wu ^{a,d,*}

^a Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, China Medical University Hospital, Taichung 40447, Taiwan ^b School of Medicine, China Medical University, Taichung 40402, Taiwan

^c Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Clinic of Fu Jen Catholic University, Taipei, Taiwan ^d China Medical University, Taichung 40402, Taiwan

Accepted 29 July 2011

Abstract

Objective: To assess risk factors for postoperative pelvic cellulitis in women undergoing laparoscopic-assisted vaginal hysterectomy (LAVH). Materials and methods: A total of 195 patients who underwent LAVH for benign gynecological diseases during the period 2007-2008 were enrolled. Among them, 11 patients developed pelvic cellulitis (group 1, cases) and 184 did not (group 2, controls).

Results: The proportion of patients in American Society of Anesthesiologists physical status scale (ASA) class II was significantly higher in group 1 (p = 0.017). The grade of pelvic adhesion was significantly more severe in group 1 (p = 0.044). The mean length of hospital stay in group 1 was significantly longer than in group 2. Logistic regression analysis revealed that patients in ASA class II were six times more likely to develop postoperative pelvic cellulitis than patients in ASA class I. In addition, the analysis showed that there was a twofold increase in risk for pelvic cellulitis with each single-grade increase in the degree of pelvic adhesion. Women with postoperative pelvic cellulitis also had a significantly increased length of hospital stay.

Conclusion: Understanding the risk factors for postoperative pelvic infection, such as systemic disease, pelvic adhesion, and prolonged hospital stay, comprehensive care of patients, and correction of modifiable risk factors will help reduce the rate of postoperative pelvic cellulitis in women undergoing LAVH.

Copyright © 2011, Taiwan Association of Obstetrics & Gynecology. Published by Elsevier Taiwan LLC. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Pelvic cellulitis; Postoperative infection; Risk factors for postoperative infection

Introduction

Postoperative infectious complications are the main causes of postoperative morbidity. Overall, the incidence of postoperative infections approaches 38% [1].

Hysterectomy is one of the most common major surgical procedures for women with benign gynecological diseases and is classified as a clean-contaminated surgical procedure. Postoperative pelvic infections after hysterectomy are most commonly caused by the inoculation of species that constitute the normal flora of the lower genital tract into the vulnerable operative site. Prior to the widespread use of antimicrobial prophylaxis for hysterectomy, the incidence of postoperative pelvic infection ranged from 5% to 70%. With the advent of routine prophylaxis, the incidence rate has fallen to approximately 5% or less, and abscess formation has become rare [2, 3].

Although antibiotic prophylaxis is very effective in reducing the incidence of postoperative pelvic infection, pelvic infections still occur in some patients after certain surgical procedures. It is, therefore, important to evaluate and control as far as possible the factors that place patients at risk for postoperative infections.

Please cite this article in press as: Chang W-C, et al., An analysis of risk factors for postoperative pelvic cellulitis after laparoscopic-assisted vaginal hysterectomy, Taiwanese Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology (2011), doi:10.1016/j.tjog.2011.10.011

www.tjog-online.com



^{*} Corresponding author. Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, China Medical University Hospital, 2 Yuh-Der Road, Taichung 40447, Taiwan. E-mail address: w77613@gmail.com (W.-C. Wu).

^{1028-4559/\$ -} see front matter Copyright © 2011, Taiwan Association of Obstetrics & Gynecology. Published by Elsevier Taiwan LLC. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.tjog.2011.10.011

2

143

146

W.-C. Chang et al. / Taiwanese Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology xx (2011) 1-5

131 Laparoscopic-assisted vaginal hysterectomy (LAVH) has 132 been shown to be an acceptable alternative to total abdominal 133 hysterectomy and has gained widespread acceptance since it 134 was first reported by Reich et al in 1989 [4]. To our knowl-135 edge, no studies have analyzed the incidence of and risk 136 factors for postoperative pelvic cellulitis in patients who have 137 138 undergone LAVH. Therefore, in this retrospective case-control 139 study, we evaluated several possible risk factors for pelvic 140 cellulitis after LAVH in women who had received preoperative 141 prophylactic antibiotic therapy. 142

144 145 Materials and methods

147 This study involved a sample of 195 patients who under-148 went LAVH for benign gynecological diseases in a medical 149 center in central Taiwan during the period 2007-2008. All of 150 the surgeons had at least 10 years of experience in performing 151 LAVH. All women received 1 g cefazolin for prophylaxis 152 within 30 minutes prior to the initial skin incision. All the 153 preoperative physical status assessments were carried out 154 155 according to the American Society of Anesthesiologists 156 physical status scale (ASA), 112 patients being assigned to 157 ASA class I (normal healthy) and 83 to ASA class II (with 158 mild systemic disease). Kapur et al's staging system for intra-159 abdominal adhesions was used to classify the 195 patients in 160 whom adhesiolysis was performed [5]. These 195 patients 161 were divided into two groups based on the absence (184 162 163 patients) or presence (11 patients) of postoperative pelvic 164 cellulitis occurring during hospitalization (n = 2) or after 165 discharge (n = 9). 166

The 11 patients (case group) with postoperative pelvic 167 cellulitis were diagnosed by their clinical symptoms and signs, 168 including fever, lower abdominal pain, increased vaginal 169 discharge exuding from the vaginal cuff, pelvic tenderness, or 170 171 increased temperature of vaginal apex on bimanual pelvic 172 examination. The other 184 patients (control group) did not 173 present the symptoms and signs of pelvic cellulitis after 174 LAVH. 175

Patients' characteristics (age, parity, grade of pelvic adhe-176 sion, and ASA class) and medical care process data (length of 177 178 hospital stay, operation time, flatulence-relief time, dosage of 179 postoperative antibiotics, surgical blood loss, shift in serum 180 hemoglobin, shift in serum hematocrit, proportion of post-181 operative intravenous fluid injection over 2 days after surgery, 182 and blood transfusion) were collected from the patients' charts 183 and the hospital's database. Flatulence-relief time was calcu-184 lated as the number of hours after surgery required to expel the 185 build-up of colon gas, as reported by the patient; patients were 186 187 encouraged to commence an oral dietary intake as soon as 188 flatulence was relieved. A complete blood count and differ-189 ential count were obtained at admission and 24 hours post-190 operatively. The operative time was calculated as the time 191 from application of the vaginal douche for uterine manipulator 192 insertion to the final closure of the trocar incision wound. 193 Surgical blood loss was estimated by the surgeon and the 194 195 circulating nurses at the end of the surgery by examining the

amount of blood contained in the suction container and on the surgical sponges.

All laparoscopic procedures were performed under general endotracheal anesthesia. In all patients, one 10 mm main trocar was inserted supraumbilically, and three 5 mm trocars were inserted into the lower abdomen (one in the suprapubic area, and two in an upper lateral position). Only bipolar forceps and monopolar scissors were used. The vaginal vault was closed with interrupted or continuous locking 1/0 polyglactin sutures. None of the patients underwent reperitonealization.

Differences in the means of continuous variables were tested using the Student's *t*-test. The chi-squared test was used to measure differences in nominal variables between the two groups. A *p* value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Significant variables in the univariate analyses were included in a logistic regression model to identify the risk factors for postoperative pelvic cellulitis. All statistical analyses were performed on a personal computer with the statistical package SPSS for Windows (Version 17.0; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). A prospective randomized controlled trial or cohort study is the highest priority of study design to have Q¹ the least bias.

Results

Group 1 (patients with postoperative pelvic cellulitis) consisted of 11 patients with a mean age of 45.82 ± 1.40 years. Group 2 (patients without postoperative pelvic cellulitis) consisted of 184 patients with a mean age of 46.94 ± 8.40 years. There were no statistical differences in mean age (p = 0.67) or mean parity (2.645 vs 2.46; p = 0.82) between the two groups. There were more patients in ASA class 2 in group 1 than in group 2 (81.8% vs 40.2%; p = 0.017). In addition, the grade of pelvic adhesion was significantly more severe in group 1 than in group 2 (p = 0.044) (Table 1).

The mean length of hospital stay was significantly longer for group 1 than group 2 patients (6.45 days vs 4.54 days; p = 0.01). There were no significant differences in mean operation time, mean flatulence-relief time, dosage of postoperative antibiotics, surgical blood loss, mean shift in serum hemoglobin, mean shift in serum hematocrit, proportion of postoperative intravenous fluid injection over 2 days after surgery, or blood transfusion between the two groups (Table 2).

Table 3 shows the association between patients' characteristics and the occurrence of postoperative pelvic cellulitis. Among the 195 patients, 83 of them were classified as being in ASA class II, and the remaining 112 patients were classified as being in ASA class I. Logistic regression analysis revealed that patients in ASA class II were almost six times more likely to develop postoperative pelvic cellulitis than patients in ASA class I [Exp(β) = 5.822, 95% confidence interval (CI) 1.03–33.64]. This indicates that ASA can serve as a good predictor of pelvic cellulitis.

We also found that the risk for postoperative pelvic cellulitis increased with the severity of pelvic adhesion [$\beta = 0.785$,

0.017

Table 1 Comparison of characteristics of women undergoing laparoscopic-assisted

Variable		Group 1 With pelvic cellulitis		Group 2 Without pelvic cellulitis			
Age (y)	11	$45.82 (\pm 1.40)$ [43.08-48.56]	184	$46.94 (\pm 8.40)$ [30.48-63.40]	0.67		
Parity	11	$2.645 (\pm 0.67) \\ [1.33-3.96]$	184	2.46 (\pm 2.55) [-2.54 to 7.46]	0.82		
Pelvic adhesie	11 on		184		0.044		
0	5 (45	5 (45.4%)		129 (70.1%)			
1	0 (09	0 (0%)		19 (10.3%)			
2	3 (27	3 (27.3%)		20 (10.9%)			
3	3 (27	7.3%)	16 (8	.7%)			

9 (81.8%) 74 (40.2%) Data are presented as number, mean (\pm standard deviation), and 95% confi-

110 (59.8%)

dence interval, or number of cases (percentage).

2 (18.2%)

ASA = American Society of Anesthesiologists physical status scale.

^a Student's t-test for continuous variables, chi-squared test for normal variables.

 $Exp(\beta) = 2.191, 95\%$ CI 1.21–3.82]. The result revealed that there was a twofold increase in risk for pelvic cellulitis with each single-grade increase in the degree of pelvic adhesion. In addition, logistic regression analysis revealed that the risk for postoperative pelvic cellulitis increased significantly with increasing length of hospital stay [$\beta = 1.003$; Exp(β) = 2.727, 95% CI 1.38-5.44].

The logistic regression equation was calibrated as shown at the bottom of Table 3. The predictive ability of the equation was 97.43% (190/195), indicating that the equation can serve as a good instrument for predicting the occurrence of pelvic cellulitis.

Discussion

Postoperative infection is a common complication after hysterectomy and is associated with significant morbidity and mortality. Postoperative pelvic infections affect up to 38% of all women who undergo gynecological surgery. Therefore, the prevention or reduction of postoperative infection continues to be a major goal for all surgeons. The third most common hospital infection is surgical site infection, recently defined by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) as infections that occur at or near the surgical incision [6]. Surgical site infections are divided into two categories according to the CDC guidelines: an organ or space surgical site infection, and a superficial or deep incisional infection [6, 7]. Infections defined as organ or space surgical site infections must occur within 30 days of an operative procedure and must occur in conjunction with one of the following: diagnosis by a physician; exploration, radiographic imaging, or histopathology suggestive of an infection or abscess; bacteria isolated from tissue or cultures taken from the site of infection; or purulent material detected when a drain is placed in the space or site of concern [7].

Hysterectomy carries a high rate of infection, presumably because the vaginal flora cannot be eliminated from the operative site. Post-hysterectomy pelvic soft tissue infections range in severity from pelvic cellulitis to infected pelvic hematoma/abscess, pelvic cellulitis being the most common

Table 2

ASA

Variable	Group 1 With pelvic cellulitis		Group 2 Without pelvic cellulitis		$p^{\mathbf{a}}$
Operation time (min)	11	142.73 (\pm 61.74) [21.72–263.74]	184	$\begin{array}{c} 152.55 \ (\pm \ 60.58) \\ [33.81 - 271.29] \end{array}$	0.60
Length of hospital stay (d)	11	6.45 (± 3.36) [-0.14 to 13.04]	184	$\begin{array}{c} 4.54 \ (\pm \ 0.41) \\ [3.74-5.34] \end{array}$	0.01
Shift in serum hemoglobin (g/dl)	11	$-0.87 (\pm 0.9)$ [-2.63 to 0.89]	184	$-0.69 (\pm 1.01)$ [-2.67 to 1.29]	0.67
Shift in serum hematocrit (%)	11	$-2.07 (\pm 2.54)$ [-7.05 to 2.91]	184	$-2.16 (\pm 2.41)$ [-6.89 to 2.56]	0.91
Flatulence-relief time (min)	11	$674.55 (\pm 679.28)$ [-683.84 to 1978.94]	184	988.77 (± 753.76) [-488.60 to 2466.14]	0.18
Surgical blood loss (mL)	11	72.73 (± 46.71) [-18.82 to 164.28]	184	94.52 (± 72.77) [-48.11 to 237.15]	0.33
Dosage of postoperative antibiotics (vials)	11	2.27 (± 1.49) [-0.65 to 5.19]	184	2.39 (± 1.45) [-0.45 to 5.23]	0.79
Postoperative intravenous fluid injection over 2 d after surgery	11		184		0.964
No	10 (90.9%))	168 (91.3	%)	
Yes	1 (9.09%)		16 (8.7%)		
Blood transfusion	11		184		0.499
No	9 (81.8%)		163 (88.6	%)	
Yes	2 (18.2%)		21 (11.4%)	

Data are presented as number, mean (± standard deviation), and 95% confidence interval, or number of cases (percentage). ^a Student's *t*-test for continuous variables, chi-squared test for nominal variables.

RTICLE IN PRESS

W.-C. Chang et al. / Taiwanese Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology xx (2011) 1-5

391 Table 3

410

411

392 Factors influencing the occurrence of pelvic cellulitis in women undergoing laparoscopic-assisted vaginal hysterectomy. 393

Variable	Logistic regression analysis					
	Regression parameter		meter	Degree of risk increase		
	β	StDev	р	$Exp(\beta)$	95% CI	
Constant	-9.380	2.128	0.000	0.000	NA	
Pelvic adhesion*	0.785	0.291	0.007	2.191	1.21-3.82	
ASA**	1.762	0.877	0.046	5.822	1.03-33.64	
Length of hospital stay* (d)	1.003	0.332	0.003	2.727	1.38 - 5.44	

403 Logistic regression equation: $\ln P/1 - P = f(x) =$ $-9.380 \pm 0.785 \times$ 4041

 $Pelvic_adhesion + 1.762 \times ASA + 1.003 \times Hospital_stay$

405 *Significant at $\alpha = 0.01$ level. 406

**Significant at $\alpha = 0.05$ level.

407 ASA = American Society of Anesthesiologists physical status scale; 408 CI = confidence interval; NA = not available.409

infection after hysterectomy. The infections are usually poly-412 Q2 microbial. In our two patients, vaginal cuff culture revealed Escherichia coli and Enterococcus faecalis. With the appro-413 414 priate administration of prophylactic antibiotics, the incidence 415 of postoperative febrile morbidity is only 5% [3, 4]. Although 416 numerous studies have demonstrated that administering 417 prophylactic antibiotics preoperatively reduces the incidence 418 of postoperative infection, serious postoperative infections still 419 occur [8]. 420

The likelihood of post-hysterectomy infection depends on 421 many factors. Postoperative infection has been attributed to 422 Q3 multiple clinical variables, such as age, body mass index 423 (BMI), ASA class, grade of pelvic adhesion, excessive blood 424 loss, and prolonged surgical time.

425 Several patient characteristics and surgical factors have 426 been shown to increase the risk for postoperative infections in 427 obstetric and gynecological patients. Several risk factors can 428 be controlled preoperatively and postoperatively by surgeons, 429 such as the patient's being underweight, their nutrition, and 430 431 blood glucose level; however, some factors, such as age, 432 cannot be controlled. Obesity is a known risk factor for 433 postoperative infection and may reflect poor hygiene and 434 inadequate nutrition. In addition, obese patients often have 435 poor glucose control and may require prolonged operative 436 time [9, 10]. It has also been shown that antibiotic agents Q4 should be adjusted to the patient's body weight. Forse et al 437 [11] showed doubling the dose of antibiotic reduced the rate of 438 439 wound infection from 16.5% to 5.6%.

440 In addition, poor glucose control (blood glucose levels Q5 >200 mg/dl) within the first 48 hours postoperatively, older 441 age, malnutrition, acute hypovolemia, and chronic underlying 442 diseases (i.e., cirrhosis or immunocompromised status) that 443 can further suppress the immune system in patients with pre-444 existing immune dysfunction have also been shown to be risk 445 446 factors for postoperative infection [6,12-14]. Patients with 447 those risk factors, alone or in combination (for ASA class II or 448 higher), have an increased likelihood of poor healing at the 449 operative site and subsequent infection. In addition, poor 450 nutrition and hygiene, factors associated with lower

socioeconomic status, have also been shown to increase the risk for postoperative complications [15].

Furthermore, many studies have demonstrated a direct relationship between bacterial vaginosis and postoperative pelvic infection [16, 17]. Bacterial vaginosis is characterized by the imbalance of naturally occurring bacterial flora. Perhaps, before operative procedures, physicians should test for various bacterial species (Gram-positive, Gram-negative, aerobic, and anaerobic bacteria) known to be associated with postoperative infection when present in large numbers [17]. All our patients received a preoperative vaginal douche after anesthesia to help promote a healthy vaginal microflora.

Surgical risk factors also play a role in postoperative infection. The status of a surgical wound (i.e., clean, cleancontaminated, or contaminated) influences the level of risk. During hysterectomy, the vagina is opened, and contamination of normally sterile tissue with potential pathogens of the vaginal microflora is possible. Therefore, re-establishment of healthy vaginal microflora and the administration of prophylactic antibiotics may reduce the rate of postoperative infection. An antibiotic with an adequate half-life in relation to the length of the operation performed should be administered within 30 minutes of starting the operation, as with all the women in this study [3]. Surgical time, however, can alter the effectiveness of preoperative prophylactic antibiotics. If the operation lasts considerably longer than the halflife of the antibiotic used, a second dose should be administered. Excessive blood loss also decreases the serum antibiotic level [3]. Therefore, multiple factors are involved in the prevention of postoperative infectious morbidity and mortality [3].

ASA scale and length of hospital stay are well-known risk factors for postoperative pelvic cellulitis [18, 19]. Longer length of hospital stay and higher ASA class have also been shown to be associated with pre-existing immune dysfunction [20-22]. We found that patients in ASA class II had an almost six times greater risk for developing postoperative pelvic cellulitis than patients in ASA class I (Table 3). In our study, the mean length of hospital stay in group 1 was significantly longer than in group 2; the longer hospital stays increased the rate of postoperative pelvic cellulitis. Therefore, it is possible that earlier feeding when patients are hungry might signifi-Q6 cantly reduce hospital admission time and postoperative pelvic cellulitis.

Grade of pelvic adhesion is also a risk factor for postoperative pelvic cellulitis. We found that there was a twofold increase in risk for postoperative pelvic cellulitis with each single-grade increase in the level of pelvic adhesion (Table 3). Many pelvic laparoscopic procedures leave behind blood and necrotic tissue. The high incidence of postoperative pelvic cellulitis in patients with severe pelvic adhesion can be explained at least in part by the fact that these patients experienced longer operation times and had more electrocoagulation-induced tissue damage. Robotic surgery is not widely available, but this is potentially one method of decreasing operative time in case where extensive pelvic adhesions are present.

513

514

515

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

459 460

461

462

463

464

465

466

467

468

564

565

566

567

568

569

570

571

572

573

574

575

576

577

578

579

580

581

582

583

584

585

586

587

588

589

590

591

592

593

594

595

596

597

598

599

600

601

602

603

604

605

606

607

608

609

610

611

W.-C. Chang et al. / Taiwanese Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology xx (2011) 1-5

516 Although inflammation is normal at the operative site as 517 wound healing proceeds, clinicians must remain alert to the 518 possible development of pelvic cellulitis or abscess formation. 519 Pelvic cellulitis may involve an infected fluid collection or 520 hematoma that encompasses the retroperitoneal space at the 521 522 vaginal apex without abscess formation. Patients typically 523 present 5–10 days after surgery with fever, an increase in lower 524 abdominal pain, increased vaginal discharge exuding from the 525 vaginal cuff, or a sensation of pelvic fullness [15]. Bimanual 526 pelvic examination shows pelvic tenderness and motion 527 528 tenderness. All our patients with postoperative pelvic cellulitis 529 complained of pelvic tenderness and cuff motion tenderness 530 during pelvic examination. Abscesses develop when infected 531 hematomas or pelvic cellulitis spreads into the parametrial 532 pelvic soft tissue [23]. The symptoms mirror those of pelvic 533 534 cellulitis or hematomas with the addition of a mass corre-535 sponding to the collection of infected fluid at the operative site. 536

In conclusion, understanding the risk factors for postoperative pelvic infection such as systemic disease (ASA class II or higher), pelvic adhesion, and prolonged hospital stay, in addition to comprehensive patient care, will help reduce the rate of postoperative pelvic cellulitis in women undergoing LAVH. Moreover, the incidence of postoperative pelvic cellulitis could be lowered by the correction of modifiable risk factors, for example decreasing the length of hospital stay by earlier feeding, modifying comorbidities (improved glucose control and being of optimal body weight), and potentially decreasing operative times with robotic surgery. We emphasize the importance of a complete preoperative assessment, treatment plan, and care for women who undergo LAVH.

554 Q7 References

537

538

539

540

541

542

543

544

545

546

547

548

549

550

551

552

553

555

556

557

558

559

560

561

562

- Schey D, Salom EM, Papadia A, Penalver M. Extensive fever workup produces low yield in determining infectious etiology. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2005;192:1729–34.
- [2] Kwarteng F, Ahluwalia B, Osborne NG. Antibiotic prophylaxis in gynecology. J Gynecol Surg 2008;24:175–9.
- [3] Hemsell DL. Posthysterectomy cuff and pelvic cellulitis. In: Mead PB, Hager WD, Faro S, editors. Protocols for infectious diseases in obstetrics
- 563 Q8 and gynecology. 2nd ed.; 2000. p. 482–8.

- [4] Reich HJ, DeCaprio J, McGlynn F. Laparoscopic hysterectomy. J Gynecol Surg 1989;5:213–6.
- [5] Karpur BML, Rai PC, Gulati SM. Prevention of experimental peritoneal adhesions by low molecular dextran. Int Surg 1967;48:264–9.
- [6] Mangram AJ, Horan TC, Pearson ML, Silver LC, Jarvis WR. Guideline for prevention of surgical site infection. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 1999;20:247–64.
- [7] Hemsell DL. Posthysterectomy infections. Infectious diseases in women. Philadelphia: W.B. Saunders; 2001. pp. 284–304.
- [8] Larsen JW, Hager WD, Livengood CH, Hoyme U. Guidelines for the diagnosis, treatment and prevention of postoperative infections. Infect Dis Obstet Gynecol 2003;11:65–70.
- [9] Armstrong M. Obesity as an intrinsic factor affecting wound healing. J Wound Care 1998;7:220–1.
- [10] Moro ML, Carrieri MP, Tozzi AE, Lana S, Greco D. for the Italian PRINOS Study Group. Risk factors for surgical wound infections in clean surgery: a multicenter study. Ann Ital Chir 1996;67:13–9.
- [11] Forse RA, Karam B, MacLean LD, Christou NV. Antibiotic prophylaxis for surgery in morbidly obese patients. Surgery 1989;106:750–7.
- [12] Pessaux P, Msika S, Atalla D, Hay JM, Flamant Y. French association for surgical research. Risk factors for postoperative infectious complications in noncolorectal abdominal surgery: a multivariate analysis based on a prospective multicenter study of 4718 patients. Arch Surg 2003;138: 314–24.
- [13] Nicolle LE, Huchcroft SA, Cruse PJ. Risk factors for surgical wound infection among the elderly. J Clin Epidemiol 1992;45:357–64.
- [14] Doberneck RC, Sterling WA, Allison DC. Morbidity and mortality after operation in nonbleeding cirrhotic patients. Am J Surg 1983;146:306–9.
- [15] Faro C, Faro S. Postoperative pelvic infections. Infect Dis Clin North Am 2008;22:653–63.
- [16] Soper DE. Bacterial vaginosis and postoperative infections. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1993;169:467–9.
- [17] Lin L, Song J, Kimber N, Shott S, Tangora J, Aroutcheva A, et al. The role of bacterial vaginosis in infection after major gynecologic surgery. Infect Dis Obstet Gynecol 1999;7:169–74.
- [18] Garibaldi RA, Cushing D, Lerer T. Risk factors for postoperative infection. Am J Med 1991;91(Suppl. 3B):158S-63S.
- [19] Culver DH, Horan TC, Gaynes RP, et al. Surgical wound infection rates by wound class, operative procedure, and patient risk index: National nosocomial infections surveillance system. Am J Med 1991;91(Suppl. 3B):152S-7S.
- [20] Kahan BD. Nutrition and host defense mechanisms. Surg Clin North Am 1981;61:557-70.
- [21] Law DK, Dudrick SJ, Abdou NI. Immune competence of patients with protein caloric malnutrition. Ann Intern Med 1973;79:545–50.
- [22] Muller JM, Brenner U, Dienst C, Pichlmaier H. Preoperative parenteral feeding in patients with gastrointestinal carcinoma. Lancet 1982;1:68–71.
- [23] Tamussino K. Postoperative infection. Clin Obstet Gynecol 2002;45: 562–73.