- 1 Ref.: Ms. No. JINJ-D-10-00988 Revision 2
- 2 Mental Disorder as a Risk Factor for Dog Bites and Post-bite Cellulitis
- 3 4
- ¹Chun-Chieh Yeh, MD, ^{2,3}Chien-Chang Liao PhD, MS, ²Chih-Hsin Muo, MS,
- ⁵ ²Shih-Ni Chang, MS, ¹Chih-Yuan Fu, MD, ¹Yu-Chun Wang, MD, ¹Ray-Jade Chen,
- 6 MD, ¹Chi-Hsun Hsieh, MD, ⁴Fei-Na Chen, PhD, ⁵Hsien-Yuan Lane, MD, PHD
- ^{2,3}Fung-Chang Sung, PhD, MPH
- 8
- 9 From ¹Trauma and Emergency Center (Yeh, Fu, Wang, Chen RJ and Hsieh) and
- ¹⁰ ²Management Office for Health Data (Liao, Mou, Chang and Sung), ³Department of
- 11 Public Health (Liao and Sung), ⁴Department of Social Medicine (Chen FN), and
- ⁵Department of Psychiatry (Lane HY), China Medical University and Hospital,
- 13 Taichung 404, Taiwan
- 14
- 15 **Running title**: mental disorder and dog bites

16 Authors:

- 17 Chun-Chieh Yeh, MD: b8202034@gmail.com
- 18 Chien-Chang Liao, Ph.D.: jacky48863027@yahoo.com.tw
- 19 Chih-Hsin Muo, MS.: b8507006@hotmail.com
- 20 Shih-Ni Chang, MS: u9665856@cmu.edu.tw
- 21 Chih-Yuan Fu, MD: drfu5564@yahoo.com.tw
- 22 Yu-Chun Wang, MD: yuchun@mail.cmuh.org.tw
- 23 Ray-Jade Chen, MD: raychen@mail.cmuh.org.tw
- 24 Chi-Hsun Hsieh, MD: chihsun@mail.cmuh.org.tw
- 25 Fei-Na Chen, Ph.D.: feina@mail.cmu.edu.tw
- 26 Hsien-Yuan Lane, MD, Ph.D.: hylane@gmail.com
- 27 Fung-Chang Sung, Ph.D., MPH: fcsung@mail.cmu.edu.tw
- 28

29 Reprints and correspondence to:

- 30 Fung-Chang Sung, Ph.D., MPH
- 31 Professor and Dean
- 32 China Medical University College of Public Health
- 33 91 Hsueh Shih Road
- 34 Taichung 404, Taiwan
- 35 Tel: 886-4-2203-5740
- 36 Fax: 886-4-2201-9901
- 37 e-mail: fcsung1008@yahoo.com; fcsung@mail.cmu.edu.tw
- 38

40

- 39 Word count: 196 in abstract, 2478 in text, 4 tables, and 33 references
- 41 All the authors do not have any conflict of interest.
- 42
- 43 Article type: original research
- 44 Key words: Mental disorder, dog bites, cellulitis, socio-economic status, Taiwan

1 Abstract

2 **Objectives:**

Patients with mental disorders are at an increased risk for sustaining traumatic injury.
No study has evaluated the association between mental disorders and the injury of dog
bite. We conducted case-control studies to investigate whether people with mental
disorders are at elevated risks of dog bite and post-bite cellulitis.

7 **Methods:**

8 Using insurance data of 2000-2007, we compared 4,660 patients with dog bites and 9 18,640 controls without the events for the association with mental disorders and other 10 covariates. Among those with dog bites, a nested case-control study was performed to 11 compare 286 patients with post-bite cellulites and rest of 4374 patients for factors 12 associated with the infection.

13 **Results:**

Young children, the older adults, and people with low socioeconomic status were at an elevated risk of sustaining the dog bite. In separate logistic regression models adjusting for socio-demographic variables, the results showed that patients with concomitant psychotic and non-psychotic mental disorders were associated with increased risks of dog bites (adjusted odds ratio [OR]: =1.51, 95% confidence interval

19 [CI]: 1.32-1.74) and of post-bite cellulitis (OR = 2.13, 95% CI 1.46-3.10).

20 **Conclusions:**

21 Individuals with mental disorders are likely at an elevated risk for serious dog bites

and post-bite cellulitis.

23 Key words:

- 24 Mental disorder, dog bites, cellulitis, socio-economic status, Taiwan
- 25
- 26

1 Introduction

2 Background

3 Recent studies have shown that mental disorders are common in individuals who sustain traumatic injuries. ^{31,36} Trauma victims with underlying mental disorders are 4 5 more likely to have higher rates of mortality and morbidity as well as to incur longer hospital stays and higher hospital costs.^{12,14,36} However, few studies have 6 7 investigated the importance of mental disorders in commonly encountered other injuries, such as dog bites.^{11,26,28} Animal bites, particularly dog bites, in humans are an 8 important health problem but are often neglected.^{21,27} In the United States, dog 9 10 bite-related injuries affect 1.5% of the population annually, with an incidence of 13.0 bites per 10,000 persons.^{19,34} In Asia, a Bangkok study found that dog bites accounted 11 12 for around 5.3% emergency room injuries [Bhanganada, 1993 #70]. And the incidence of dog bites is 25.7/1000 population per year in India.[Agarwal, 2004 #91] 13 14 Importance 15 Serious dog bites can result in severe physiological and psychological 16 complications, including post-bite cellulitis, facial disfigurement, neurovascular injuries, musculoskeletal injuries, post-traumatic stress disorder, and even death.^{1,8,28} 17 18 Thus, the high incidence of dog bite injuries and potential complications justify the 19 need to improve preventive measures and public policy strategies. 20 Several studies have demonstrated that male gender, young age, residence in rural 21 areas, and summer months are associated with a higher incidence of dog bite.^{2,4,6,9,24,29,30,32,34,35} To the best of our knowledge, no study, however, has evaluated 22 23 the influence of underlying mental disorders on the occurrence of dog bites and 24 post-bite infections. In addition, most descriptive statistics of dog bite-related injuries 25 have been derived from household surveys, hospital-based studies, school-based

1 surveys, and police reports. These studies also have been limited by small sample sizes, representing only specific populations such as children.^{7,11,27,35} Thus, it is 2 3 necessary to conduct a population-based investigation of dog bite injures to prevent 4 and manage these injuries and their sequelae. **Objectives** 5 6 We hypothesized that mental disorders could be an important factor in association with dog bites. This study used the population-based universal insurance claims data 7 8 to evaluated association between underlying mental disorders and dog bite using a 9 case-control design. We also further conducted, in addition, a nested case-control 10 study to identify whether mental disorders also has a role of post-bite cellulitis. 11

12 Methods and Materials

13 Study design

14 This study was a retrospective, case-control design using insurance claims data 15 for 1,000,000 insured people randomly selected from the entire 23 million population 16 covered by the National Health Insurance program in Taiwan. We obtained the 17 database from the National Health Research Institutes. The Taiwan Department of Health integrated 13 insurance systems into a universal insurance system in 1995. 18 with more than 96% of the population covered by the end of 1996.¹⁰ The patient 19 20 information that was recorded in the claims data included personal characteristics and 21 all medical services received from 1996 to 2007. The patient identification numbers 22 were scrambled to safeguard patient privacy and confidentiality for linking data files. 23 This study was thus exempt from ethic approval. In addition to claims data of outpatient and inpatient services, we have retrieved information on patients' date of 24

birth, sex, occupation, and income inferred from their insurance fees, also using
 scrambled identification..

3 Selection of study subjects

4 All diagnoses were coded using the International Classification of Disease, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM). From the claims data, we identified 5 6 4660 patients diagnosed with dog bite (ICD-9-CM E906.0) in 2000-2007 as cases. 7 Not all dog bite victims seek care at the clinics, cases diagnosed in this study were 8 more likely "serious" dog bites. In order to achieve greater statistical power and 9 characterize the victims, for each dog-bite victim, four controls without the history of 10 dog bite were randomly selected from the same index year. Random selection of 11 controls allows distinguish differences between the victims and the general insured 12 individuals. Particularly, we also would like to differentiate whether 13 sociodemographic factors were significant covariates associated with dog bites.

In the nested case-control design for investigating factors associated with post-bite cellulites, we selected patients with the diagnosis of cellulites from the patients experienced dog bite in 2000-2007 as cases of post-bite cellulites. All rest of patients with dog bite but not the diagnoses of cellulites were selected as controls.

18 Measures

Sociodemographic variables used in this study included sex, age, occupation, urbanization level and income. The age of a case was defined when the event of dog bite occurred, while the age of a control was taken when the control was selected. Blue-collar workers were defined as those with occupations characterized by longer work hours, such as farmers, fishermen, vendors, and industrial laborers. White-collar workers were defined as those with occupations characterized by longer indoor work hours, such as civil services and institution workers, enterprise, business and

1 industrial administration personnel, etc. Other occupations included mainly retired, 2 unemployed, and low income populations. The risk of dog bite may have an urban 3 and rural variation. We calculated population density of each township and city 4 district for the area each study subject resided. All areas were stratified into 4 urbanization levels by quartiles. Areas with population densities in the upper two 5 6 quartiles were the high urbanization area, those in the second lower quartile were the moderately urbanized area, and those in lowest quartile the low urbanized. 7 8 Interactions between humans and dogs may be different in urban and rural 9 environments. Income was inferred from monthly insurance fees registered with the 10 National Health Insurance program; US \$919.00 was taken as the threshold for higher 11 vs. lower income (1 USD was about 32 Taiwan NTD).

We categorized mental disorders into two types: pure psychosis (type I) [ICD-9-CM 290.XX-299.XX, in which X refers to any numeric code ranging from 0 to 9] and pure non-psychotic mental disorders (type II) [ICD-9-CM 300.XX-319.XX]. The combination of both groups was defined as type III. Post-bite cellulitis was defined as a de novo diagnosis of cellulitis (ICD-9-CM 681.X and 682.X) within one month after sustaining a dog bite.

18 Data analysis

We compared the sociodemographic and mental disorder status between cases with dog bites and controls. Specific-types of psychosis (ICD-9-CM 290-299, 300-308, 309 and 311, 310 and 312-319) were extracted separately from cases and controls. Multilevel logistic regression analysis was used to estimate odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) of dog bite associated with the 3 types of mental disorders controlling for the sociodemographic variables, including sex, age, occupation, urbanization and income. The OR associated with each specific mental 1 disorder was also estimated using multilevel logistic regression analysis controlling 2 for the same sociodemographic variables. The further nested case-control analysis 3 compared mental disorder status between the dog bite victims with vs. without 4 post-bite cellulites. We also used multilevel logistic regression analysis to estimate the OR of post-bite cellulites in association with mental disorders controlling for the 5 6 sociodemographic variables. All analyses were performed with the statistical package 7 SAS for Windows (Version 9.1, SAS Institute Inc., Carey, NC). A P value < 0.05 was 8 taken to represent statistical significance. All tests were two-tailed.

9

10 **Results**

11 Of the 4,660 cases sustained a probably serious dog bite and 18,640 controls, cases 12 were more prevalent to mental disorders than controls (27.2% vs. 22.5%) (Table 1). 13 Those with both psychotic and non-psychotic mental disorders were associated with an adjusted OR of 1.51 (95% CI = 1.32-1.74). Males were at higher risk than females 14 15 to have been bitten. Compared with the 10-29-year age group, children < 10 years 16 were at the highest risk of the injury (OR = 1.52; 95% CI = 1.35-1.71), followed by adults 50-69 years old (OR = 1.32; 95% CI = 1.19-1.46) and those \geq 70 years old (OR 17 18 = 1.23; 95% CI = 1.08-1.41).

Table 2 presents the associations between each specific type of mental disorder and dog bite. Patients with underlying anxiety, dissociative, and somatoform disorders were at higher risk of dog bites with an adjusted OR of 1.63 (95% CI = 1.50-1.78). Significant risk of dog bite was also observed in patients with alcohol and drug dependence or nondependent abuse of drugs (OR = 1.33; 95% CI = 1.09-1.63) and in patients with other special symptoms, not elsewhere classified mental disorders (OR = 1.20; 95% CI = 1.07-1.35). Among all victims, 6.1% (n = 286) had the post-bite cellulitis (Table 3). Compared to dog-bite victims without cellulitis, those with cellulitis were older and more likely to have underlying mental disorders. Risk of infection was the highest for patients with a combination of psychotic and non-psychotic mental disorders (OR = 2.13; 95% CI = 1.46-3.10). Further analysis revealed that patients with schizophrenia had an OR of 2.65 (95% CI = 1.10-6.38) for post-bite cellulitis (data not shown).

7

8 **Discussion**

9 This study found that people with the underlying combination of psychotic and 10 non-psychotic mental disorders are at an increased risk for dog bite injuries and 11 post-bite cellulitis. In addition, anxiety, dissociative, and somatoform disorders 12 (ICD9-CM: 300) were independent risk factors for dog bite injuries. 13 The association between underlying mental disorders and dog bites has not been 14 fully investigated in previous studies, although attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in children was found to be associated with increased risk for dog bites.²⁶ We 15 16 observed in patients with pure psychotic mental disorders a lower risk (OR = 0.68, 17 95% C.I.: 0.46-1.00), compared to those without mental disorders. In contrast, those 18 with non-psychotic mental disorders had a 17% higher risk. Clinically, with the 19 exception of episodic mood disorder, most patients with psychotic diseases are 20 characterized by "loss of contact with reality". Patients with pure psychotic mental 21 disorders may have less opportunities to be in close contact with dogs, they may be 22 thus at lower risk of dog bites. In contrast, patients with non-psychotic mental 23 disorders might keep dogs as pets in order to comfort their moods, particularly 24 patients with anxiety, dissociative, and somatoform disorders. As a result, patients

with non-psychotic mental disorders tended to have more exposure to dogs and, hence,
 at a higher risk of dog bite.

3 Why are patients diagnosed with both psychotic and non-psychotic mental 4 disorders had a 52% increased risk of dog bite in the present study? The greater odds 5 for these patients may originate from the combined effect of episodic mood disorders 6 and anxiety, dissociative, and somatoform disorders, the major components of psychotic and non-psychotic type III mental disorders. However, this hypothesis 7 8 deserve proved by further investigation. To the best of our knowledge, no previous 9 study has ever identified mental disorders as a risk factor for dog bites. 10 The present study also showed dog bites are socidemographic status related. Most 11 studies have shown that the incidence of dog bites is the highest in children, particularly those aged 5 to 9 years and 15 to 24 years.^{29,34,35} In our investigation, 12 13 children less than 10 years old were also at the highest risk for serious dog bites. In 14 addition, the risk of dog bites also seemed to increase with patient's age. Children and 15 the elderly tend to have more contact with dogs, but less ability to physically control 16 dogs or less have a commending presence, thereby increasing the incidence of dog 17 bites.

18 It has been reported that the incidence of dog bites is higher in more rural 19 areas.^{19,22} We also found that blue-collar workers, and people of retired, unemployed 20 or lower incomes were at a higher risk of dog bite. We speculate that the longer period 21 of outdoor work for blue-collar workers is associated with more interactions with 22 dogs.^{3,23} Unemployed persons and low income people in this study are more likely 23 retired senior residents and are less able to control dogs.

Broad use of antibiotics and adequate management of bite wounds may reduce
infectious complications of dog-bite wounds to less than 5%.^{5,20,25} Most previous

1 studies on dog bite-related infections focused only on tetanus immunization, 2 evaluation for rabies infection, and possible microorganisms in the infected wounds. 3 These studies rarely discussed the epidemiological profiles of victims who suffer infectious complications after the episodes.^{1,6,33} Patients with combined psychotic and 4 non-psychotic mental disorders in this study were more susceptible to infectious 5 6 complications after dog bites. As we mentioned earlier, episodic mood disorders were the major component of psychotic type III mental disorders. We suspect that patients 7 8 with underlying episodic mood disorders may be overly optimistic while in an episode 9 of mania and thus may ignore the importance of managing dog-bite wounds. 10 Consequently, these individuals may suffer infectious complications after being bitten. 11 Thus, in order to decrease post-bite cellulitis, health care providers should advise 12 patients to visit clinics for wound management. 13 Limitations 14 This study is benefited from a large sample, but it is limited by the data provided 15 by insurance claims. The controls selected in this study are essentially similar to 16 clinic-based or hospital-based controls. Therefore, various limitations may arise. 17 However, these limitations are not fatal to the study. Patients who suffer minor dog 18 bites may care for their injury themselves without visiting any clinics; the occurrence 19 of total dog bites is thus underestimated. This study was conducted to investigate 20 more likely more events of serous dog bites. Similarly, not all patients with a mental 21 illness seek medical treatment. Those who seek treatment are more likely patients 22 with severer or more unmanageable symptoms. The second limitation is that the age 23 distribution of controls representing the age of general population, while the victims 24 are skewed to young children and older ages. However, the logistic regression 25 analysis showed the risk of post bite infection increased with age. Third, information

1	on human-dog interactions, such as whether the victims are familiar with the dogs that
2	bit them, the scene of the dog-bite incident, and any behaviors that might have
3	provoked the dogs to bite, was not available. ^{3,13,22} Studies about the circumstances
4	surrounding serious dog-bite injuries are needed to fully understand injury disparities
5	and to implement prevention programs. In addition, data were also unavailable on the
6	age, sex, body size, and breed of the biting dogs in this study. Several studies have
7	suggested that young, male, large and "dangerous breeds" of dogs are associated with
8	serious dog bite incidence. ^{1,7,18,29} An in-depth study into the breeds and characteristics
9	of dogs may generate suggestions for preventing dog bites. Finally, Taiwan has a
10	large, free-roaming dog population, comprising 12% of the island's total dog
11	population in 2007. ¹⁵ These dogs may be dangerous troublemakers. ¹⁷ However, we
12	cannot determine the importance of stray dogs in dog-bite incidents in Taiwan based
13	on the findings of this study.
14	Conclusion
15	Mental disorders are independent risk factors for both dog bites and post-bite
16	cellulitis. Anxiety, dissociative, and somatoform disorders are more likely associated
17	with higher odds for serious dog bites.
18	Conflict of interest statement
19	None of the authors have any financial or personal relationships that could
20	inappropriately influence or bias the content of the paper.
21	Acknowledgements
22	This study was supported partly by the Executive Yuan National Science Council in
23	Taiwan, Republic of China (grant number NSC 97-2625-M-039-003), the China
24	Medical University Hospital (grant number 1MS1), and the Taiwan Department of
25	Health Clinical Trials and Research Center for Excellence (grant number

- 1 DOH100-TD-B-111-004) and Cancer Research Center of Excellence (grant number
- 2 DOH100-TD-C-111-005).

3

References

1. Abuabara A. A review of facial injuries due to dog bites. Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal 2006;11(July (4)):E348-50.

2. Agarwal N, Reddajah VP. Epidemiology of dog bites: a community-based study in India. Trop Doct 2004;34(April (2)):76-8.

3. Avner J, Baker M. Dog bites in urban children. Pediatrics 1991;88 (July (1)):55.

4. Bhanganada K, Wilde H, Sakolsataydorn P, Oonsombat P. Dog-bite injuries at a Bangkok teaching hospital. Acta Trop 1993;55(December (4)):249-55.

 Boenning DA, Fleisher GR, Campos JM. Dog bites in children: epidemiology, microbiology, and penicillin prophylactic therapy. Am J Emerg Med 1983;1(July (1)):17-21.

 Borud LJ, Friedman DW. Dog bites in New York City. Plast Reconstr Surg 2000;106(October (5)):987-90.

7. Brogan TV, Bratton SL, Dowd MD, Hegenbarth MA. Severe dog bites in children. Pediatrics 1995;96(November (5 Pt 1)):947-50.

 Calkins CM, Bensard DD, Partrick DA, Karrer FM. Life-threatening dog attacks: a devastating combination of penetrating and blunt injuries. J Pediatr Surg 2001;36(August (8)):1115-7.

Castrodale L. Hospitalizations resulting from dog bite injuries -- Alaska,
 1991-2002. Int J Circumpolar Health 2007;66(September (4)):320-7.

Chiang TL. Taiwan's 1995 health care reform. Health Policy 1997;39(March (3)):225-39.

 De Keuster T, Lamoureux J, Kahn A. Epidemiology of dog bites: a Belgian experience of canine behaviour and public health concerns. Vet J 2006;172(November (3)):482-7.

12. Demetriades D, Gkiokas G, Velmahos GC, Brown C, Murray J, Noguchi T. Alcohol and illicit drugs in traumatic deaths: prevalence and association with type and severity of injuries. J Am Coll Surg 2004;199(November (5)):687-92.

13. Dwyer JP, Douglas TS, van As AB. Dog bite injuries in children--a review of data from a South African paediatric trauma unit. S Afr Med J 2007;97(August (8)):597-600.

14. Fang JF, Shih LY, Lin BC, Hsu YP. Pelvic fractures due to falls from a height in people with mental disorders. Injury 2008;39(August (8)):881-8.

15. Fei CY. Epidemiological statistics of domestic dogs and free roaming dogs in Taiwan (2007). Council of Agriculture, Executive Yuan of Taiwan Web site Available at: http://animalcoagovtw/html/?main=10h&page=10_law_a00 Accessed Feb 2, 2010 2007.

16. Francis DP, Holmes MA, Brandon G. Pasteurella multocida. Infections after domestic animal bites and scratches. JAMA 1975;233(July (1)):42-5.

17. Georges K, Adesiyun A. An investigation into the prevalence of dog bites to primary school children in Trinidad. BMC Public Health 2008;8(March):85.

18. Gershman KA, Sacks JJ, Wright JC. Which dogs bite? A case-control study of risk factors. Pediatrics 1994;93(June (6 Pt 1)):913-7.

 Gilchrist J, Sacks JJ, White D, Kresnow MJ. Dog bites: still a problem? Inj Prev 2008;14(October (5)):296-301.

20. Gracia Romero J, Labarta Aizpun JI, Monreal Galvez MJ, Elias Pollina J. Dog bites in children. Epidemiologic and clinical study of 144 cases. An Esp Pediatr 1992;37(October (4)):287-90.

21. Jaffe AC. Animal bites. Pediatr Clin North Am 1983;30(April (2)):405-13.

22. Kahn A, Bauche P, Lamoureux J. Child victims of dog bites treated in emergency departments: a prospective survey. Eur J Pediatr 2003;162(April (4)):254-8.

 Lauer E, White W, Lauer B. Dog bites: a neglected problem in accident prevention. Archives of Pediatrics & Adolescent Medicine 1982;136(March (3)):202.

24. Marsh L, Langley J, Gauld R. Dog bite injuries. N Z Med J 2004;117(September (1201)):U1043.

25. Mendez Gallart R, Gomez Tellado M, Somoza Argibay I,et al. Dog bite-related injuries treated in a pediatric surgery department:analysis of 654 cases in 10 years. An Esp Pediatr 2002;56(May (5)):425-9.

26. Mitchell RB, Nanez G, Wagner JD, Kelly J. Dog bites of the scalp, face, and neck in children. Laryngoscope 2003;113(March (3)):492-5.

27. Ostanello F, Gherardi A, Caprioli A, et al. Incidence of injuries caused by dogs and cats treated in emergency departments in a major Italian city. Emerg Med J 2005;22(April (4)):260-2.

28. Peters V, Sottiaux M, Appelboom J, Kahn A. Posttraumatic stress disorder after dog bites in children. J Pediatr 2004;144(January (1)):121-2.

29. Rosado B, Garcia-Belenguer S, Leon M, Palacio J. A comprehensive study of dog bites in Spain, 1995-2004. Vet J 2009;179(March (3)):383-91.

30. Savino F, Gallo E, Serraino P, et al. Dog bites in children less than fourteen years old in Turin. Minerva Pediatr 2002;54(June (3)):237-42.

31. Schecter WP, Klassen C, O'Connor P, et al. Suicide: the unmet challenge of the trauma system. Arch Surg 2005;140(September (9)):902-4.

33. Tepsumethanon S, Tepsumethanon V, Tantawichien T, et al. Problems in human rabies post-exposure prophylaxis management. Travel Med Infect Dis 2007;5(May (3)):189-93.

34. Weiss HB, Friedman DI, Coben JH. Incidence of dog bite injuries treated in emergency departments. JAMA 1998;279(January (1)):51-3.

35. Zanini F, Padinger P, Elissondo MC, Perez H. Epidemiology of dog bite lesions in Tierra del Fuego, Argentina. Medicina (B Aires) 2008;68(1):1-5.

36. Zatzick DF, Kang SM, Kim SY, et al. Patients with recognized psychiatric disorders in trauma surgery: incidence, inpatient length of stay, and cost. J Trauma 2000;49(September (3)):487-95.

Dog bite							
N	0	Yes		То	tal		
N=18,640		N=4,660		N=23,300		Multilevel	
n	(%)	n	(%)	n	(%)	OR	(95% CI) ^a
9,522	(51.1)	2,224	(47.7)	11,746	(50.4)	1.00	(Reference)
9,118	(48.9)	2,436	(52.3)	11,554	(49.6)	1.17	(1.09-1.25)
1,712	(9.2)	556	(11.9)	2,268	(9.7)	1.52	(1.35 - 1.71)
5,473	(29.4)	1,150	(24.7)	6,623	(28.4)	1.00	(Reference)
6,273	(33.7)	1,372	(29.4)	7,645	(32.8)	1.05	(0.96-1.15)
3,704	(19.9)	1,119	(24.0)	4,823	(20.7)	1.32	(1.19-1.46)
1,478	(7.9)	463	(9.9)	1,941	(8.3)	1.23	(1.08-1.41)
ŗ				ŕ			· · · · ·
9,773	(52.4)	2,109	(45.3)	11,882	(51.0)	1.00	(Reference)
5,828	(31.3)	1,737	(37.3)	7,565	(32.5)	1.20	(1.10-1.30)
3,039	(16.3)	814	(17.5)	3,853	(16.5)	1.13	(1.02-1.25)
ŗ				ŕ			× ,
2,285	(12.3)	699	(15.0)	2,984	(12.8)	1.18	(0.98-1.41)
3,550	(19.1)	983	(21.1)	4,533	(19.5)	1.16	(0.96-1.39)
12,805	(68.7)	2,977			(67.7)	1.00	(Reference)
							```````````````````````````````````````
15,296	(82.1)	3,965	(85.1)	19,261	(82.7)	1.11	(1.00-1.23)
3,344	(17.9)	695	(14.9)	4,039	(17.3)	1.00	(Reference)
ŗ				ŕ			```````````````````````````````````````
14,442	(77.5)	3,393	(72.8)	17,835	(76.6)	1.00	(Reference)
178	(1.0)	30	(0.6)	208		0.70	(0.47 - 1.04)
3,140	(16.8)	904	(19.4)	4,044	(17.4)	1.16	(1.06-1.26)
880	(4.7)	333	· /	,	· · · ·		(1.32-1.74)
	N=18 n 9,522 9,118 1,712 5,473 6,273 3,704 1,478 9,773 5,828 3,039 2,285 3,550 12,805 15,296 3,344 14,442 178 3,140	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	NoYesN=18,640N=4,660n(%)9,522(51.1)2,224(47.7)9,118(48.9)2,436(52.3)1,712(9.2)556(11.9)5,473(29.4)1,150(24.7)6,273(33.7)1,372(29.4)3,704(19.9)1,119(24.0)1,478(7.9)463(9.9)9,773(52.4)2,109(45.3)5,828(31.3)1,737(37.3)3,039(16.3)814(17.5)2,285(12.3)699(15.0)3,550(19.1)983(21.1)12,805(68.7)2,977(63.9)15,296(82.1)3,944(17.9)695(14.9)14,442(77.5)3,393(72.8)178(1.0)30(0.6)3,140(16.8)904(19.4)	NoYesToN=18,640N=4,660N=23n(%)n(%)9,522(51.1)2,224(47.7)11,7469,118(48.9)2,436(52.3)1,712(9.2)556(11.9)2,2685,473(29.4)1,150(24.7)6,6236,273(33.7)1,372(29.4)7,6453,704(19.9)1,119(24.0)4,8231,478(7.9)463(9.9)1,9419,773(52.4)2,109(45.3)11,8825,828(31.3)1,737(37.3)7,5653,039(16.3)814(17.5)3,8532,285(12.3)699(15.0)2,9843,550(19.1)983(21.1)4,53312,805(68.7)2,977(63.9)15,296(82.1)3,965(85.1)19,2613,344(17.9)695(14.9)4,03914,442(77.5)3,393(72.8)178(1.0)30(0.6)2083,140(16.8)904(19.4)4,044	NoYesTotalN=18,640N=4,660N=23,300n(%)n(%)9,522(51.1)2,224(47.7)11,746(50.4)9,118(48.9)2,436(52.3)11,554(49.6)1,712(9.2)556(11.9)2,268(9.7)5,473(29.4)1,150(24.7)6,623(28.4)6,273(33.7)1,372(29.4)7,645(32.8)3,704(19.9)1,119(24.0)4,823(20.7)1,478(7.9)463(9.9)1,941(8.3)9,773(52.4)2,109(45.3)11,882(51.0)5,828(31.3)1,737(37.3)7,565(32.5)3,039(16.3)814(17.5)3,853(16.5)2,285(12.3)699(15.0)2,984(12.8)3,550(19.1)983(21.1)4,533(19.5)12,805(68.7)2,977(63.9)15,296(82.1)3,965(85.1)19,261(82.7)3,344(17.9)695(14.9)4,039(17.3)14,442(77.5)3,393(72.8)17,8(1.0)30(0.6)208(0.9)3,140(16.8)904(19.4)4,044(17	NoYesTotalN=18,640N=4,660N=23,300Nn(%)n(%)n(%)9,522(51.1)2,224(47.7)11,746(50.4)1,712(9.2)556(11.9)2,268(9.7)1.525,473(29.4)1,150(24.7)6,623(28.4)1.006,273(33.7)1,372(29.4)7,645(32.8)1.053,704(19.9)1,119(24.0)4,823(20.7)1.321,478(7.9)463(9.9)1,941(8.3)1.239,773(52.4)2,109(45.3)11,882(51.0)1.005,828(31.3)1,737(37.3)7,565(32.5)1.203,039(16.3)814(17.5)3,853(16.5)1.132,285(12.3)699(15.0)2,984(12.8)1.183,550(19.1)983(21.1)4,533(19.5)1.1612,805(68.7)2,977(63.9)15,782(67.7)1.0015,296(82.1)3,965(85.1)19,261(82.7)1.113,344(17.9)695(14.9)4,039(17.3)1.0014,442(77.5)3,393(72.8)17,835(76.6)1.00178(1.0)30(0.6)208(0.9)0.703,140(16.8)904(19.4)4,044(17.4)1.16

Table 1. Comparisons of sociodemographic factors and mental disorders between patients with dog bites and controls (case: control = 1:4)

^aOR (95% CI): odds ratio and 95% confidence interval measured by multivariable logistic regression models, adjusting for all other variables except the target variable; ^bretired, unemployed and low income.

			Dog bites					
Type of psychosis	(ICD-9-CM	1	No Yes			Multilevel		
	code)	n	%	n	%	OR	(95% CI) ^a	
Dementia	(290)	220	(1.18)	82	(1.76)	1.04	(0.78-1.39)	
Alcohol or Drug-induced mental	(291, 292)	76	(0.41)	24	(0.52)	0.76	(0.47-1.26)	
disorder								
Mental disorder, classified	(293, 294)	165	(0.89)	61	(1.31)	1.05	(0.76-1.46)	
elsewhere								
Schizophrenic disorder	(295)	139	(0.75)	48	· · ·		(0.69-1.45)	
Episodic mood disorder	(296)	532	(2.85)	215	· /		(0.96-1.39)	
Delusion disorder	(297)	27	(0.14)	12	· /		(0.62-2.75)	
Other non-organic psychosis	(298)	123	(0.66)	43	· /		(0.63-1.37)	
Pervasive developmental	(299)	22	(0.12)	5	(0.11)	0.74	(0.25-2.17)	
disorders								
Anxiety, dissociative, and	(300)	3,467	(18.6)	1,321	(28.4)	1.63	(1.50-1.78)	
somatoform disorders								
Personality disorder	(301)	55	(0.30)	21	· /		(0.55-1.64)	
Sexual and gender identify	(302)	87	(0.47)	36	(0.77)	1.24	(0.82-1.87)	
disorders			<i></i>					
Alcohol and drug dependence,	(303-305)	420	(2.25)	165	(3.54)	1.33	(1.09-1.63)	
nondependent abuse of drug								
Physiological malfunction	(306)	716	(3.84)	260	(5.58)	1.11	(0.95-1.30)	
arising from mental factors			<i></i>		(4.4.5)			
Other special symptoms or	(307)	1,443	(7.74)	539	(11.6)	1.20	(1.07-1.35)	
syndromes, not elsewhere								
classified	(200)	100		4.5		0.00		
Acute reaction to stress	(308)	138	(0.74)	45	` '		(0.67-1.38)	
Adjustment reaction >	(309, 311)	452	(2.24)	175	(3.76)	1.14	(0.93-1.38)	
depressive disorder								
Specified non-psychotic mental	(310)	115	(0.62)	45	(0.97)	1.25	(0.87-1.80)	
disorders due to brain damage								
Disturbance of conduct	(312)	102	(0.55)	26	(0.56)	1.01	(0.64-1.59)	
Disturbance of emotions specific	(313)	44	(0.24)	9	(0.19)	0.61	(0.28-1.34)	
to childhood and adolescence								
Hyperkinetic syndrome of	(314)	132	(0.71)	45	(0.97)	1.33	(0.90-1.96)	
childhood								
Specific delays in development		154	· /	41	· /		(0.58-1.27)	
Psychotic factors associated with	(316)	42	(0.23)	19	(0.41)	1.26	(0.70-2.28)	
disease								
Mental retardation	(317-319)	92	(0.49)	25	(0.54)	1.00	(0.62-1.63)	
^a OR (95% CI): odds ratio and 95% confidence interval.								

Table 2. Odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals of dog bite associated with specific types of mental disorder controlling for socio-demographic variables

		Post-bite					
	N	0		Yes	_		
	N=4,374		Ν	=286	Multilevel		
	n	(%)	Ν	(%)	OR	$(95\% \text{ CI})^{a}$	
Sex							
Female	2,074	(47.4)	150	(52.5)	1.00	(Reference)	
Male	2,300	(52.6)	136	(47.5)	0.91	(0.71-1.95)	
Age, years							
<10	533	(12.2)	23	(8.0)	1.00	(Reference)	
10-29	1,094	(25.0)	56	(19.6)	1.18	(0.71-1.95)	
30-49	1,291	(29.5)	81	(28.3)	1.41	(0.86 - 2.30)	
50-69	1,029	(23.5)	90	(31.5)	1.78	(1.08-2.92)	
$\geq 70$	427	(9.8)	36	(12.6)	1.52	(0.86 - 2.68)	
Occupation							
White collar	2,005	(45.8)	104	(36.4)	1.00	(Reference)	
Blue collar	1,610	(36.8)	127	(44.4)	1.27	(0.93 - 1.72)	
Others ^b	759	(17.4)	55	(19.2)	1.18	(0.82-1.69)	
Urbanization							
Low	650	(14.9)	49	(17.1)	1.16	(0.76-1.76)	
Moderate	928	(21.2)	55	(19.2)	1.00	(Reference)	
High	2,795	(63.9)	182	(63.6)	1.15	(0.82-1.62)	
Income (NTD)	,	× ,		~ /		· /	
<30,000	3,709	(84.8)	256	(89.5)	1.37	(0.89-2.12)	
≥30,000	665	(15.2)	30	(10.5)	1.00	(Reference)	
Mental disorders				<b>``</b>		× ,	
None	3,211	(73.4)	182	(63.6)	1.00	(Reference)	
Type1 (psychoses)	28	(0.6)	2	(0.7)	1.19	(0.28-5.12)	
Type2 (non-psychotic)	844	(19.3)	60	(21.0)	1.07	(0.77 - 1.47)	
Type3 (combined)	291	(6.7)	42	(14.7)	2.13	(1.46-3.10)	

Table 3. Odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals of post-bite cellulitis after dog bites associated with mental disorders controlling for socio-demographic variables

^a OR (95% CI), odds ratio and 95% confidence interval measured by multivariable logistic regression models; adjusting for sex, age, occupation, urbanization and income. ^bretired, unemployed and low income.