- 1 Title Page
- 2 Title: Urinate in the Standing Position: a Feasible Alternative for Elderly Woman
- 3 with Knee Osteoarthritis
- 4 **Authors:**
- 5 Eric Chieh-Lung Chou¹, M.D., Pey-Yu Yang, M.D., MSc, Wen-Hui Hsueh², M.D.,
- 6 Chao-Hsiang Chang¹, M.D., Nai-Hsin Meng², M.D.
- 7 Department of Urology¹, Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation²
- 8 China Medical University Hospital, Taichung, Taiwan
- 9 Please address all correspondence to
- 10 Nai-Hsin Meng
- 11 Director-General
- 12 Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, China Medical University Hospital
- No. 2, Yu-Der Rd, Taichung, Taiwan
- 14 886-4-2052121 ext 2380 (Phone), 886-4-22026041 (Fax)
- 15 E-mail: <u>nsmeng@ms13.hinet.net</u>.
- 16 Keywords: female; urodynamics; osteoarthritis, knee
- Word count of text: 2431
- Word count of the Abstract: 297

Abstract

20

42

Background: Elderly women with knee osteoarthritis (OA) have difficulty to micturate 21 22 when a sitting toilet is not available. The urodynamic data in elderly woman voiding in 23 the standing position had been insufficient. 24 **Objective:** To evaluate the uroflowmetric characteristics and post-void residual urine 25 volume in elderly women with knee OA in the standing position. 26 **Design, Setting, and Participants:** A cross-sectional study involved 21 women (mean 27 age 65.0 ± 4.6). All had a Lequesne index score for knee OA of at least 6 points and were 28 unable to maintain a squat or crouch posture for 20 seconds, and/or difficult to stand from 29 the squatting or crouching position 30 **Intervention:** Participants used a homemade auxiliary appliance to collect urine and 31 drain forward while stand-voiding. Uroflowmetric studies were performed in the sitting 32 and standing positions for two and three attempts, respectively. 33 **Measurements:** The uroflowmetric data, including voided volume (VV), maximal flow 34 rate (Qmax), and average flow rate (Qave) were recorded. The participants completed a 35 questionnaire evaluating their attitude toward stand-voiding and using the auxiliary 36 device. 37 **Results and Limitations:** The Qmax, Qave, VV and PVR obtained in the sitting and 38 standing positions were not statistically different. No "learning curve" was noted for 39 stand-voiding. The patterns of uroflowmetry in the standing position were smooth, 40 suggesting that the opening of the bladder was fully relaxed. Eighty-one percent (17/21) 41 of the subjects experienced no difficulties in stand-voiding. All the subjects expressed

their willingness to use the standing position for urination if they did not have access to a

satisfactorily clean toilet seat. The limitation of this study is that women with pelvic
organ prolapse were not included.

Conclusions: Urinating in the standing position is a feasible option for elderly women
with knee OA who have difficulty in crouching or squatting for voiding in public
restrooms.

Introduction

Osteoarthritis (OA) of the knee is one of the most prevalent conditions affecting
elderly people [ref 1]. This knee problem makes it difficult or impossible for the elderly
to perform tasks, such as climbing stairs, squatting, and crouching [ref 2, ref 3]. The
elderly's inability to squat or crouch may have a negative impact on urination. Although a
man usually voids in the sitting position, a pedestal or sitting toilet is not always available
In many non-Western societies, the majority of public toilets are the squat types [ref 4, ref
5, ref 6]. Even if a sitting toilet is accessible, a woman may still be forced to urinate in a
squatting or crouching position if the toilet seat is unsanitary [ref 7]. However, the
crouching and squatting positions for voiding are poorly tolerated by women with knee
OA. This inconvenience restricts them from going to places where suitable toilets are not
within reach, and poses significant impact on their quality of life. Voiding in the standing
position using auxiliary devices may be an alternative way for these women to urinate in
case there is no reasonably clean pedestal toilet available. Voiding position affects the
post-void residual volume and uroflometric findings in men [ref 8]. Our previous study
[ref 9] showed no difference in post-void residual volume between the standing and
sitting voiding positions among healthy young women. However, to the best of our
knowledge, there has been no research on the urodynamics of elderly women with knee
OA voiding in the standing position.
The aims of this study are as follows: 1). to investigate the uroflometric
characteristics and the post-void residual urine volume in elderly women with knee OA in
the standing position assisted by a homemade auxiliary device, and 2) to determine the
acceptance level of these elderly women to stand-voiding. We hypothesize that urinating

in the standing position is a useful alternative for elderly women who have difficulty urinating in the crouching or squatting position because of knee OA.

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

74

75

Materials and Methods

We conducted this cross-sectional study to investigate the differences between the uroflometric findings and the post void residual volume in the sitting and standing positions among elderly women with knee OA. All the volunteers met the following criteria: 1) with knee OA and a Lequesne index score for knee OA [ref 10] of at least 6 points, 2) unable to maintain a squat or crouch posture for 20 seconds, and/or difficult to stand from the squatting or crouching position, 3) no significant lower urinary tract symptoms, with an American Urological Association (AUA) symptom score [ref 11] below 7. Those with a history of congenital urological disease, interstitial cystitis, pelvic organ prolapse or lower urinary tract symptoms were excluded. Clinical information, including symptoms of knee OA, Lequesne index score, and AUA symptom score, was collected by directly interviewing the participants. To help collect urine and drain it forward into a collecting device, for example, a toilet or a uroflowmeter, we designed a homemade auxiliary device was made from a piece of waterproof paper. The piece of paper was rolled into a horn, with the edge of the wider end folded to prevent errant urine flow. The method of making this device is illustrated in Fig. 1. To void in the standing position, the participant was instructed to place the wider end of the device to cover the perineal area and direct the narrow end of the horn to a collecting device. We let each participant bring one auxiliary device home and make up to two attempts of stand-voiding to get familiar with the device.

Uroflometric studies were then performed in the sitting and standing positions for two and three attempts, respectively. If the voided volume (VV) was less than 150 ml, the participant would be asked to repeat voiding in that position. The uroflometric data, including VV, maximal flow rate (Qmax), and average flow rate (Qave), were recorded. Post-void residual urine (PVR) volumes were detected immediately after the participants completed voiding using a sonographic bladder scanner (Bladder Scan, Verathon Inc.)

After finishing all uroflometric studies, the participants completed a questionnaire to evaluate their attitude toward stand-voiding and using the auxiliary device. The questions inquired any difficulty experienced while voiding in the standing position, the participants' willingness to try to stand-void after finishing this study, if they were satisfied with the experience of stand-voiding, and if they would recommend this method of voiding to their friends or relatives who have similar knee problems.

The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board of our hospital, and all the volunteers gave written informed consent.

Data Analysis

Microsoft Access 97 and Statistical Package for the Social Sciences 13.0 were used for data collection and statistical analysis. We presented all continuous variables as the mean ± standard deviation. Categorical variables were analyzed using the Chi-Square Test and Fisher's Exact Test. We calculated the mean Qmax, Qave, VV, and PVR obtained in the sitting and standing positions for each subject, and then used paired t-test or Wilcoxon signed-rank test for comparison. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Friedman's test were used to compare the urodynamic data between different voiding

attempts in the standing position. The level of statistical significance was set to p< 0.05.

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

120

Results

Twenty-six women with knee OA were recruited for this study. One subject was excluded because she had PVRs consistently greater than 300 ml. Another four did not complete all the urodynamic examinations. The remaining 21 women were between 60 and 75 (mean 65.0 ± 4.6) years old. Their average AUA symptom score and Lequesne index for knee OA were 1.0 ± 0.6 and 8.3 ± 3.1 , respectively. All patients had at least a mild difficulty in squatting or in maintaining the crouching position. The Qmax, Qave, VV and PVR obtained in the sitting and standing positions were not statistically different (Fig. 2). The Qmax/Qave were $23.0 \pm 6.6/11.2 \pm 3.7$ ml/sec in the sitting position and $23.3 \pm 5.4/10.1 \pm 3.5$ ml/sec in the standing position (paired t test: p=0.89 for Qmax and 0.22 for Qave). VV and PVR volume were $297 \pm 82/13 \pm 17$ ml in the sitting position and $315 \pm 72/17 \pm 18$ ml in the standing position (paired t-test for VV, p=0.38; Wilcoxon signed-rank test for PVR, p=0.17). No "learning curve" for voiding in the standing position was noted because the Qmax, Qave, VV, and PVR did not differ among the three measurements (Fig. 3). The patterns of uroflowmetry for all the voiding attempts in the standing position were reviewed by a urologist whose specialty is urodynamics (the first author). The uroflow curves were smooth, suggesting that the opening of the bladder was fully relaxed without resistance while the volunteers were stand-voiding. The questionnaire revealed that 81% (17/21) of the subjects experienced no difficulties in voiding while standing. Four (19%) subjects reported problems, including

wet clothes (three incidents) and errant urine (three incidents). Ninety-five percent (20/21) of the subjects were satisfied with the experience using our homemade auxiliary device. All the subjects expressed their willingness to use the standing position for urination if they did not have access to a satisfactorily clean toilet seat, and all were willing to recommend using the homemade auxiliary device for voiding to their relatives and friends who have similar problems in the knees.

Discussion

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

The present study showed that, utilizing the homemade auxiliary device designed by the authors, elderly women who had difficulty in squatting or crouching because of knee OA could void in the standing position easily, producing Qmax, Qave and PVR not different from those obtained in the sitting position. For women with knee OA, the crouching and squatting positions for voiding are poorly tolerated because of their knee problems. A study conducted by Moore et al. [ref 7] showed that up to 85% of women prefer to void while crouching when using a public restroom, usually because of unsanitary toilets. However, urinating in the crouching position requires a steady crouch posture maintained for over 20 seconds. This is physically difficult or impossible for elderly women with knee OA because of the pain produced in the knees. Moreover, maintaining the crouching position also poses the risk of an accidental fall for elderly women. Many public toilets in non-Western societies are squat types [ref 4, ref 5, ref 6]. This is obviously a problem for women with knee OA because difficulty in squatting is one of most common functional limitations caused by knee OA [ref 5, ref 6]. Even if they manage to squat successfully, standing up again is often difficult for them. Voiding in the crouching position over a squat toilet is also problematic because the distance between

the opening of the urethra and the squat toilet is so great that urine may spray over a wide range and stain their clothes. As a result, they might choose to hold their urine until they arrive home. Urinary tract infections, voiding dysfunctions, and chronic retention have been associated with such micturition behaviors [ref 12]. The movements of these women will thus be confined to where there is access to reasonably clean sitting toilets. We believe that our homemade auxiliary device is very helpful for any woman with knee problems to void in the standing position in case there is no acceptably clean pedestal toilet nearby. The vast majority (81%) of our subjects experienced no difficulties voiding in the standing position using our auxiliary device. Although four subjects did report some problems including wet clothes and errant urine, all but one of the 21 subjects were satisfied with the experience of voiding in the standing position. All subjects were also willing to try to void in the standing position when a clean public toilet seat is not available in the future. These results supported our hypothesis: urinating in the standing position is an acceptable alternative for elderly women who have difficulty in crouching or squatting because of their knee problems.

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

Previous studies showed that women could urinate in the standing position with the help of auxiliary appliances [ref 9, ref 13]. However, these studies were all based on younger participants (age < 50). The present study is the first to include elderly women (average 65.0 years) with knee OA. One of our previous studies [ref 9] showed no apparent learning curve for healthy young women to void in the standing position using our prototype homemade auxiliary device. In the present study, we gave each volunteer one auxiliary device to let her practice voiding in the standing position at home for up to two attempts prior to undergoing the urodynamic studies. Thus the three stand-voiding

uroflowmetric measurements were actually a participant's third to fifth attempts in using the device. Nonetheless, because the urodynamic results measured were similar for the three stand-voiding attempts were similar, we concluded that that the subjects in this study showed no obvious problems in learning how to use the auxiliary device properly.

In this study, a homemade auxiliary device was used to help our subjects urinate

while standing. There are commercialized devices available in the market, but these devices are relatively expensive and not readily purchasable in many countries.

Following our instructions, our subjects were able to make the auxiliary device by themselves within three minutes, provided that a piece of proper waterproof paper (an ordinary magazine cover would suffice) and scissors were at hand. This auxiliary device, which is self-made and can be put in a ladies' handbag, enables elderly women to go out without being afraid that there is no desirable toilet available.

Subjects with pelvic organ prolapse, which has a significant impact on micturation in the sitting or squatting position, were not included in the study. Pelvic organ prolapse is related with outlet obstruction and symptoms of voiding difficulty [ref 14]. Because the prolapse of pelvic organ tends to be less prominent while a patient is standing, we believe that voiding in a standing position might be beneficial for those subjects. Further study investigating micturition in the standing position in women with pelvic organ prolapse is thus needed.

Conclusions

Urinating in the standing position is a good option for elderly women with knee OA who have difficulty in crouching or squatting. Using the homemade auxiliary device designed by the authors, they can produce Qmax, Qave, VV, and PVR similar to those

212 obtained in the sitting position. 213 214 Figure Legends 215 Fig 1. Steps in making the auxiliary device. 216 Fig 2. Urodynamic results obtained in the sitting and standing positions. Qmax: maximal 217 flow rate; Qave: average flow rate; A: paired t-test, p=0.89; B: paired t-test, p=0.22; C: 218 paired t-test, p=0.38; D: Wilcoxon signed-rank test, p=0.17 219 Fig. 3. Urodynamic results obtained from three attempts of stand-voiding. Omax: 220 maximal flow rate, Qave: average flow rate; A: ANOVA, p=0.95; B: ANOVA, p=0.30; C: 221 ANOVA, p=0.34; D: Friedman test, p=0.68222 223 224 Reference 225 1. Jordan J, Kington R, Lane N, et al. Osteoarthritis: new insights. Part 1: the disease 226 and its risk factors. Ann Intern Med 2000; 133: 635-46 227 2. Felson DT, Hannan M, Naimark A, et al. Occupational physical demands, knee 228 bending and knee osteoarthritis: results from the Framingham study. J Rheumatol 229 1990; 18:1597-2 230 3. Kauppila AM, Kyllonen E, Mikkonan P, et al. Disability in end-stage knee 231 osteoarthritis. Disabil Rehabil 2009; 31: 378-80 232 4. Kira A. The Bathroom, ed. revised, Harmondsworth: Penguin, 1976. p. 115-116 233 5. Veerapen K, Asokan RN, Rathakrishnan V. Clinical and radiological profile of 234 symptomatic knee osteoarthritis in Malaysia. APLAR J Rheumatol 2004;7:97-107

- 6. Akinpelu AO, Alonge OO, Adekanla BA, Odole AC. Pattern of Osteoarthritis Seen In
- 236 Physiotherapy Facilities in Ibadan and Lagos, Nigeria. Afr J Biomed Res
- 237 2007;10:111-5
- 7. Moore KH, Richmond DH, Sutherst JR, Imrie AH, Hutton JL. Crouching over the
- toilet seat: Prevalence among British gynaecological outpatients and its effect upon
- 240 micturition. Br J Obstet Gynaecol 1991;98:569-72
- 241 8 Riehmann M, Bayer WH, Drinka PJ, et al. Position-related changes in voiding
- 242 dynamics in men. Urology 1998;52:625-30
- 243 9 Chou EC, Chang CH, Chen CC, Wu HC, Wu PL, Chen KL. Women urinate in the
- standing position do not increase post-void residual urine volumes. Neurourol urodyn
- 245 2010, DOI 10.1002/nau.20868
- 246 10 Lequesne MG, Mery C, Samson M, Gerard P. Indexes of severity for osteoarthritis of
- the hip and knee. Validation—value in comparison with other assessment test. Scand
- 248 J Rheumatol 1987;65:S85-9
- 249 11 Barry MJ, Fowler FJ Jr, O'Leary MP, et al. The American Urological Association
- symptom index for benign prostatic hyperplasia. The Measurement Committee of the
- American Urological Association. J Urol 1992;148:1549-57
- 252 12 Mazzola BL, von Vigier RO, Marchand S, Tonz M, Bianchetti MG. Behavioral and
- functional abnormalities linked with recurrent urinary tract infections in girls. J
- 254 Nephrol 2003;16: 133-8
- 255 13 Karsenty G, Coquet-Reinier B, Elzayat E, Lemieux MC, Corcos J. P-MateTM, a new
- device allowing women to urinate in the standing position: urodynamic and
- satisfaction assessment. Int Urogynecol J Pelvic Floor Dysfunct 2008;19:823-6

- 258 14 Romanzi LJ, Chaikin DC, Blaivas JG. The effect of genital prolapse on voiding. J
- 259 Urol 1999;161:581-6