#### Rapid altitudinal migration of mountain plants in Taiwan and its implications 1 2 for high altitude biodiversity 3 4 Alistair S. Jump<sup>1</sup>, Tsurng-Juhn Huang<sup>2</sup> and Chang-Hung Chou<sup>2</sup> 5 6 7 <sup>1</sup>Institute of Biological and Environmental Sciences, University of Stirling, FK9 4LA, 8 9 UK <sup>2</sup>Research Centre for Biodiversity and Graduate Institute of Ecology and Evolutionary 10 Biology, China Medical University, Taichung, Taiwan 40402 11 12 Authors for correspondence: AS Jump, a.s.jump@stir.ac.uk, +44 (0)1786 467848; CH 13

14 Chou, choumasa@mail.cmu.edu.tw

#### 15 Abstract

16

Mountain systems throughout the globe are characterized by high levels of species
richness and species endemism. Biodiversity, however, is not distributed evenly with
altitude, but often declines from mid to high altitudes. Conversely, endemic species
may be over-represented at high altitudes. Upward elevational range shifts of

21 mountain species have been reported in response to ongoing changes in climate, yet

the reports are dominated by studies on woody species and mountains at high

23 latitudes. We investigated spatial and temporal changes in the mountain biodiversity

24 in the subtropical island of Taiwan, based on historical survey and resurvey data

during the period 1906-2006. We found that upper altitudinal limits of mountain plant distributions have risen by approximately  $3.6 \text{ m y}^{-1}$  during the last century, in parallel

with rising temperatures in the region. Although species, genus, and family richness

decline with altitude, approximately 55 % of species at the highest altitudes are

29 endemic to the island. Given the steep decline in land area with increasing elevation,

30 these high altitude areas are disproportionately important for plant biodiversity when

31 richness and endemism are standardized by available land area. We argue that the

32 distributional shift that we report, in combination with the altitudinal distribution of

33 plant diversity, is likely to pose a major threat to high mountain species of this highly

34 biodiverse island, a threat that is becoming increasingly evident for high mountain

35 plants throughout the globe.

- 36 Introduction
- 37

38 Climate poses strong limitations on the geographical distribution of species

39 (Woodward 1987). Rising temperatures linked to escalating anthropogenic

40 greenhouse gas emissions are, therefore, expected to alter species distributions due to

41 geographical displacement of the climates to which they are adapted (IPCC 2007a,

42 IPCC 2007b). There is increasing evidence that altitudinal and latitudinal

43 distributional shifts are occurring in response to ongoing anthropogenic climate

44 change (Sturm et al. 2001, Walther et al. 2002, Lloyd and Fastie 2003, Parmesan and

45 Yohe 2003, Walther 2004, Parmesan 2006, Lenoir et al. 2008). Given the continuous

increase in emissions of greenhouse gasses (IPCC 2007a), temperature changes and
biotic responses to them will continue long in the future (IPCC 2007b). However,

48 species can be constrained in their migration responses to changing climate by

49 intrinsically slow migration speeds and lack of habitat availability due to

50 anthropogenic degradation or modification (Williams et al. 2003, Feeley and Silman

51 2010) or reduced land area availability (Opdam and Wascher 2004, La Sorte and Jetz

52 2010). Such migration lags are predicted to lead to novel species assemblages but also

53 the extinction of populations and species throughout the globe (Huntley 1991,

- 54 Thomas et al. 2004, Walther 2004, Aitken et al. 2008, Colwell et al. 2008, La Sorte 55 and Jetz 2010).
- 56

57 Mountains harbour high levels of biodiversity and are often rich in endemic species 58 (Lomolino 2001, Ozenda and Borel 2003, Cox and Moore 2010). Although rapid 59 changes in temperature are driving migrations of species to higher elevations 60 (Grabherr et al. 1994, Walther et al. 2005, le Roux and McGeoch 2008, Lenoir et al. 61 2008, Raxworthy et al. 2008, Chen et al. 2009), the potential for migration between mountains is often severely restricted due to the natural isolation of high mountain 62 63 regions (La Sorte and Jetz 2010). For many mountain species, therefore, the only way 64 to track a warming climate is by upward migration. Given the persistent rise in global temperatures, many such species face decreasing population sizes and increased 65 66 extinction risk as land area and, therefore, habitat availability declines (Körner 2007, 67 Colwell et al. 2008, Sekercioglu et al. 2008, La Sorte and Jetz 2010), with the endemic species of high mountain regions being particularly vulnerable (Raxworthy 68 69 et al. 2008, Dirnböck et al. 2011). Since high altitudes and latitudes are expected to 70 experience above average warming (IPCC 2007a), the assessment and prediction of 71 climatic change impacts on the distribution of biota of high mountain regions should 72 be a high priority (Shoo et al. 2006).

73

74 In order to predict the impacts that rapid climate change will have on the distribution 75 and diversity of species worldwide, a globally coherent assessment of biogeographical 76 impacts of rising temperatures is necessary. However, the currently available data on 77 plant range shifts is strongly biased by the dominance of studies of (often woody) 78 plants in boreal and temperate and Mediterranean latitudes (Walther 2004, Harsch et 79 al. 2009, Jump et al. 2009). Little information on potential range changes is available 80 from tropical and subtropical areas (although Colwell et al. (2008) and Feeley et al. 81 (In press) provide notable examples), despite the particularly high importance of these 82 regions for biodiversity, including high numbers of narrowly distributed endemic 83 species (Laurance et al. 2011).

84

85 In this investigation, we sought to identify if changes in the distribution of alpine

87 subtropical island covering some 36,000 km<sup>2</sup>. Approximately one third of the island is 88 occupied by mountains over 1000 meters above sea level (m a.s.l.) with more than 89 200 peaks exceeding 3000 m a.s.l., and the highest peak, Yushan, reaching 3952 m 90 a.s.l. (Guan et al. 2009). The treeline in the Central Mountain range occurs at 91 approximately 2800-2900 m a.s.l. (S. Greenwood, pers. obs.). The island supports 92 some 4077 vascular plant species, of which approximately 26 % are believed to be endemic (Huang 2002). Beginning in the latter part of the 19th<sup>th</sup> Century, Taiwan 93 94 was the target of extensive biological exploration by Japanese botanists, culminating 95 in the publication of a comprehensive biological inventory of the high mountain areas 96 in 1908 (Hayata 1908). This work continued throughout the first half of the 20<sup>th</sup> 97 Century (Ito 1927, 1928), leading to the deposition of substantial botanical reference 98 collections in the herbaria of the Universities of Tokyo, Kyoto and National Taiwan University, and has been continued by local botanists during the last 50 years.

plant species are occurring in the Central Mountain Range of the island of Taiwan, a

99

86

100

101 Climate data from the Alishan meteorological station, which holds the longest

uninterrupted instrumental data record in the Central Mountain Range (23° 30' 37" N, 102 103 120° 48' 18 E", 2413 m a.s.l.), demonstrate that temperatures in the region have risen

104 by approximately 1.05 °C in comparison with the 1934-1970 mean, whilst

105 precipitation during this period is similar to that in recent years (Fig. 1). This

106 temperature increase would be predicted to result in an upward shift of isotherms of 107 approximately 210 m based on the regional altitudinal temperature lapse rate of -0.5°C 100 m<sup>-1</sup> (Guan et al. 2009). Consequently, we expected that the upper range limits 108

109 of the distribution of species might also have increased in elevation, assuming that 110 these limits are at least in part determined by temperature.

111

112 Altitudinal gradients in diversity and species endemism are commonly reported in 113 mountain systems (Kessler 2000, Vetaas and Grytnes 2002, Ozenda and Borel 2003, Wang et al. 2007, Cox and Moore 2010) and rising species range limits have the 114 potential to alter these patterns by increasing diversity at higher altitudes and reducing 115 116 the proportion of endemic species (McKinney and Lockwood 2001, Jurasinski and 117 Kreyling 2007, Britton et al. 2009). Given the high species richness and endemism of Taiwan's flora and the threat posed to the island's biodiversity by rapid changes in 118 119 climate, we also discuss the potential consequences of any such changes for the 120 island's high altitude flora based on current patterns of altitudinal variation in plant 121 richness and endemism in the region.

122 123

#### 124 **Materials and Methods**

125

#### 126 Comparison of recent and historical upper altitudinal range limits

127 Databases maintained by the Biodiversity Research Center of Academia Sinica, Taipei 128 (BRC/AS) (http://hast.sinica.edu.tw/), the National Taiwan University

129 (http://tai2.ntu.edu.tw), Taiwan Forestry Research Institute (http://taif.tfri.gov.tw), and

130 the Taiwan Endemic Species Research Institute (http://plant.tesri.gov.tw/plant) were

- 131 interrogated to identify the upper altitudinal range limits of alpine plant species on the
- 132 mountains Yushan (3952 m), Hehuanshan (3422 m) and the Alishan area (2663 m), all
- 133 of which were well documented during early botanical exploration (Hayata 1908).
- 134 Additionally, historical and recent plant voucher specimens were examined at the
- 135 Universities of Tokyo, Kyoto, the National Taiwan University, Academia Sinica, and

136 Taiwan Forestry Research Institute. Available data, therefore, comprised of a

137 combination of distributional range records detailing species presence and upper

138 altitudinal limit on individual mountain peaks and geographically referenced point

records of species presence. Upper range limit records were only used in this

- 140 investigation if initial and re-survey data were available for the same species for the
- 141 same mountain peak. Data were discarded if the locations of historical and recent data
- 142 differed or could not be verified.
- 143

144 Typically, two records were available for each species at each location, one in the early part of the 20<sup>th</sup> Century and one in the later part of this century or in the first 145 decade of the 21<sup>st</sup> Century. We classed these as records before and during/after (here 146 termed 'historical' and 'recent' records) the recent rise in temperature shown in Fig. 1. 147 148 For species where multiple recent and historical distributional records were available, the upper altitudinal range limit for the historical and recent period was estimated by 149 150 calculating the mean upper altitudinal limit and survey year from the combined 151 records from these collections. We restricted analyses to those species that showed a 152 minimum period of 20 years between the historical and recent survey. For each 153 remaining species, we calculated mean historical and recent maximum elevation 154 records by averaging the maximum elevation records for year and altitude over 155 occurrences within the three mountains. Nomenclature of plant species follows the 156 Flora of Taiwan (Huang 2002).

157

## 158 Altitudinal patterns in richness of plant taxa.

Land area above 2000 m was arbitrarily divided into eight elevational zones of 250 m: 159 160 2000-2249 m, 2250-2499 m etc. with the uppermost band at 3750-3952 m. The 161 richness of plant families, genera, and species was determined by summing the 162 records of presence of individual species within each zone. Altitudinal variation in 163 land area in the Central Mountain Range was estimated from a Digital Terrain Model using ArcGIS 9.3 (Esri, Redlands CA.). Land area above 2000 m was assessed for the 164 same eight altitudinal zones as for diversity and endemism. To assess implications of 165 166 a loss of land area for taxon richness, taxon density was calculated by dividing taxon 167 richness by untransformed land area within each zone.

168

# 169 Statistical analysis

170 A repeated measures analysis using SAS PROC MIXED (SAS System v. 9.1.3, SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA) was performed to analyse the overall change in altitude 171 172 observed for the different species in several time measures, with two observations for 173 each species. Species was considered as a random factor and year of measurement as 174 a quantitative explanatory variable. Model assumptions were verified through the 175 analysis of residuals. All statistical decisions were made using the value 0.05 as the 176 significance level. Ordinary least-squares regression was used to investigate 177 temperature and precipitation trends, patterns of taxon richness with altitude and 178 relationships between initial survey year and initial survey altitude and rate of 179 altitudinal shift (independent of sign). Rate of altitudinal shift was log-transformed in 180 order to improve normality, with all values being increased by 1 prior to transformation due to the presence of rates of 0 m  $y^{-1}$  in the untransformed data. 181

- 182
- 183
- 184

- 185 **Results**
- 186

### 187 Climate trends

188 Mean annual temperature measured at Alishan shows a significant rising trend over 189 time (P < 0.0001, Fig. 1 upper panel) indicating a 1.05 °C increase when compared 190 with the 1934-1970 mean. Total annual precipitation also shows a significant trend (P 191 = 0.032, Fig. 1 lower panel), however, the decline in precipitation prior to 1970 has 192 been reversed in recent decades such that the 1990-2009 bi-decadal mean (3943.06 ± 193 270.29 mm [SE]) is similar to that of first twenty years of the measurement period 194 (4404.78 ± 172.37 mm).

195

## 196 Changes in upper altitudinal limits

197 Of the 1442 species present in the area above 2000 m, we identified a total of 24 198 species for which multiple records of upper altitudinal limits were available from 199 survey data. These 24 species were comprised of 16 forbs, seven shrubs and one rush; 200 they represented 12 plant families, 23 genera and included 13 species endemic to 201 Taiwan. Records spanned the period 1906-2006 and the altitudinal range 2210 m to 202 3952 m (Table 1). The upper altitudinal limit of species distributions showed a 203 significant positive association with year (F = 10.31, df = 23, P = 0.0039) with an increase of  $3.55 \pm 1.11 \text{ m y}^{-1}$  (Fig. 2). Considering movements between the broad 204 205 altitudinal zones delineated in this study, 58 % species shifted upward to a higher 206 zone, 13 % shifted downwards and 29 % stayed within the same 250 m zone (Table 1). There was no relationship between the initial survey year and rate of altitudinal shift 207  $(r^2 = 0.12, P = 0.103)$ , however, the rate of altitudinal shift showed a weak and 208 209 marginally significant negative relationship with the initial altitudinal limit of the species ( $r^2 = 0.15$ , P = 0.057). 210

211

## 212 Altitudinal patterns in alpine plant diversity.

213 25000 specimens were present in the area above 2000 m ASL corresponding to 135 families, 557 genera, and 1442 species. Plant species richness shows a significant 214 decline with increasing elevation (y = 2500 - 0.64x,  $r^2 = 0.98$ , P < 0.0001), falling 215 216 from 1224 species in the 2000-2249 m zone to 96 species above 3750 m. Richness of endemic plant species (y = 576 - 0.13x,  $r^2 = 0.91$ , P = 0.0002), plant genera (y =217 1043 - 0.26x,  $r^2 = 0.99$ , P < 0.0001) and families (y = 267 - 0.06x,  $r^2 = 0.99$ , P 218 219 <0.0001) shows a similar pattern (Fig. 3). 372 (26 %) of the plant species found in the 220 total mountain area are endemic, this percentage increases significantly with altitude  $(y = -19.17 + 0.02x, r^2 = 0.99, P < 0.0001)$  such that endemic species account for 55 % 221 222 of all species in the highest altitudinal zone (Fig. 3).

223

224 Land area declines steeply with increasing elevation above 2000 m. At the highest 225 altitudinal zone, land area is only 98.2 ha, 0.5 % of that in the 2000 - 2249 m zone 226 (Fig. 3). When diversity data are standardized according to available land area in each zone to show family/genus/species density (taxa ha<sup>-1</sup>), density for all taxonomic 227 228 ranks is broadly constant from 2000 to 3000 meters and then increases gradually 229 before a rapid increase to the last altitudinal zone (Fig. 3). Density is 19 to 46 times 230 higher at the highest altitudes when compared to the 2000 m to 3000 m mean (family, 231 46.3 times higher; genus, 28.8; species, 18.8; endemic species, 36.2).

- 232
- 233
- 234

#### 235 Discussion

236

237 Comparison of historical and recent distributional data indicate that the upper

238 distributional limits of the studied plant species in the Central Mountain Range have shifted upward in elevation (Fig. 3) by  $3.6 \text{ m y}^{-1}$  based on repeated measures analysis, 239

240 in parallel with the rise in temperatures of 1.05 °C experienced in this region during

241 the latter part of the last century (Fig. 1). This rate of change is rapid, but not

242 unprecedented in studies of recent range shifts in mountain plant species. Kullman

(2006) reports a mean rise of up to 4.5 m  $y^{-1}$  from sites in the southern Scandes 243

Mountains in Sweden, while le Roux and McGeoch (2008) and Parolo and Rossi 244

(2008) report rate of altitudinal shift of  $3.4 \text{ m y}^{-1}$  for plant species occurring on the 245

sub-Antarctic Marion Island and the Alps, respectively. In a recent investigation of 246

247 elevational shifts in the mean distribution of 38 Andean tree genera, Feeley et al. (In

press) report a mean migration rate of  $2.5-3.5 \text{ m y}^{-1}$ . In some cases, however, rates 248 have been much slower (le Roux and McGeoch 2008), such as the rate of 0.1 m  $y^{-1}$ 

249

250 reported by Grabherr et al. (1994), although this example may be an underestimate,

251 given the work of Parolo and Rossi (2008) from the same region.

252

253 Although shifts in plant distribution have been reported only rarely for tropical 254 mountains, reports of distributional shifts in other organisms demonstrate that changes 255 in climate are having a significant impact on the altitudinal distribution of biota in 256 these regions. The upward shift in the mean distribution of tree genera reported by 257 Feeley et al. (In press) is paralleled by upward shifts of the distributional limits of 258 tropical Lepidoptera (Chen et al. 2009) as well as reptiles and amphibians (Raxworthy 259 et al. 2008), although retractions in the upper distributional limits of tropical 260 Lepidoptera have also been recorded, potentially as a result of reduced cloud cover 261 linked to elevated temperatures (Chen et al. 2011).

262

263 The upward shift in isotherms in the central Mountain Range is approximately 210 m based on the 1.05°C temperature rise shown in Fig. 1 and the regional altitudinal 264 temperature lapse rate of -0.5 °C 100 m<sup>-1</sup> (Guan et al. 2009). According to the data 265 266 shown in Fig. 2, the mean altitude shift (bold line) of the upper range limit of the 24 267 species assessed rose 213 m during the survey period, broadly in line with the range 268 change that might be expected based on the temperature increase noted above if we 269 assume that upper range limits can track shifting temperatures without a lag. This 270 apparent equilibrium of the mean shift in upper range limits of these 24 species with 271 climate is unlike that reported by le Roux and McGeoch (2008) and Feeley et al. (In 272 press) who found that, even despite the rapid altitudinal migration detected, the 273 majority of taxa were still unable to keep pace with the observed changes in regional 274 climate. However, it should be noted that using the mean resample interval and extent 275 of range change for the assessed species might overestimate the rate of shift  $(4.1 \text{ m y}^{-1})$ 276 when compared with the rate calculated from the repeated measures analysis (3.6 m y <sup>1</sup>). 277

278

279 Plant biodiversity often shows a hump-shaped relationship with altitude, increasing 280 initially at low altitudes and then declining from approximately 1500 - 2000 m a.s.l. 281 (Rahbek 1995, Kessler 2000, Vetaas and Grytnes 2002, Grau et al. 2007, Wang et al. 282 2007, Nogués-Bravo et al. 2008). The support for declining diversity with increasing 283 elevation beyond 2000 m in the Central Mountain Range is clear (Fig. 3), although 284 our data do not allow us to comment on patterns at lower altitudes. Species richness

285 of the highest altitudinal zone (3750-3952 m) is only 8 % of that found in the lowest 286 zone investigated (2000-2249 m). This pattern of declining diversity with elevation is 287 also seen at the genus and family levels where diversity at the highest altitudinal zone 288 falls to 12 % and 22 % of the values reported from the lowest altitudes respectively. 289 The rate of decline of richness between different taxonomic ranks is not equal, such 290 that the mean number of species per represented family declines with increasing 291 elevation from 9.1 at 2000-2249 m to 3.2 at 3750-3952 m, in support of the 292 observation that families typically include more species at lower altitudes (Gaston 293 2000).

294

295 When standardized by land availability (untransformed land area), diversity remains 296 roughly constant across the first four altitudinal zones and then increases slowly 297 before rising rapidly at high altitudes. The degree of endemism of the flora also rises 298 with increasing altitude (Fig. 3), with species endemism more than doubling from 23 299 % to 55 % between the lowest and highest zones investigated. A positive correlation 300 between species endemism and altitude has been reported for other mountain regions throughout the world, including the Himalayas (Vetaas and Grytnes 2002, Grau et al. 301 302 2007), Gaoligong Mountains (Wang et al. 2007), Andes (Kessler 2000) and the Alps 303 (Ozenda and Borel 2003), although this proportion may plateau or even decline at the 304 highest altitudes (Vetaas and Grytnes 2002, Grau et al. 2007, Wang et al. 2007).

305

306 The upward elevational shift that we report raises particular concerns given the 307 distribution of diversity with altitude in Taiwan (Fig. 3). Given that plant diversity 308 decreases with increasing elevation, upward shifts in the distribution of species is 309 likely to lead to increased biodiversity at higher altitudes (Grabherr et al. 1994, 310 Klanderud and Birks 2003, Walther et al. 2005, Pauli et al. 2007). However, whilst 311 species richness declines with altitude, species endemism shows the opposite 312 relationship. The upward migration of species from lower altitudes is, therefore, more 313 likely to include widespread species, resulting in a reduction of the proportion of endemic species found at higher altitudes. The net effect of such a change is likely to 314 315 be that survey sites at higher altitude will increase in their floristic similarity, the 316 process of biological homogenization described by McKinney and Lockwood (2001). Indeed, evidence of this proposed homogenization of alpine floras has been reported 317 318 recently based on comparison of recent and historical floristic surveys in Scottish 319 mountains (Britton et al. 2009) and the Swiss Alps (Jurasinski and Kreyling 2007).

320

321 A further threat to the endemic flora of the high mountain areas comes from the 322 interaction between the effects of rising temperatures on the distribution of species 323 and the decrease in land area with increasing elevation. As species are pushed up in 324 elevation, whether directly by climate or by increased elevation of competitors, 325 numbers of populations and population sizes decline as the availability of suitable 326 habitat falls, rendering the species more susceptible to stochastic extinction 327 (McDonald and Brown 1992, Pauli et al. 2007, Sekercioglu et al. 2008, Chen et al. 328 2009).

328 329

Although we have no evidence of such extinctions occurring from these data, the high
proportion of endemic species and area-standardized levels of plant diversity (Fig. 3)
that occur at high altitude in the Central Mountain Range should give significant

- cause for concern. The highest altitudes in these mountains are particularly species
- dense and disproportionately important for the conservation of the endemic species of

335 Taiwan. Consequently, any reduction in area, due to rising lower distributional limits 336 increased presence of competitors from lower altitudes, or other forms of habitat 337 degradation and destruction will threaten particularly high extinction risks in this area. 338 with the loss of a disproportionately high number of endemic species (Pauli et al. 339 2007, Dirnböck et al. 2011). This risk demonstrates the pressing need for an effective 340 network of monitoring plots to be established throughout the mountains of the island 341 in order to identify fine scale changes in the presence and abundance of alpine plants 342 and to determine which species are likely to show the greatest vulnerability to 343 extinction.

344

345 Our assessment of range shifts in this analysis is based on historical and recent re-346 survey data of defined localities but not re-survey of intensively sampled historical 347 plots or transects as reported in some other studies of alpine range shifts (Klanderud 348 and Birks 2003, Walther et al. 2005, le Roux and McGeoch 2008). Consequently, we 349 take a cautious approach, analyzing the direction of implied movement and the mean 350 overall shift, rather than the magnitude of the shift of individual species. We argue 351 that an altitudinal survey bias that would favour finding species at higher altitude in 352 recent years is unlikely, given that the highest altitudes had already been well explored botanically during the early part of the 20<sup>th</sup> Century (Hayata 1908, Ito 1927, 353 Ito 1928). If, however, recent records of altitudinal ranges result from surveying areas 354 355 of the peaks that were less well explored in previous decades, then we should be 356 equally likely to identify altitudinal limits as lower, thereby finding an overall fall in 357 range limits or confirming the null hypothesis of no overall shift. Nevertheless, it 358 must be noted that the documented range shifts concern only 24 species, 1.7% of the 359 vascular plant species recorded above 2000 m in the study area; thus, we urge caution 360 in extrapolating our findings to the entire flora of these mountains.

361

362 In addition to changes in climate, other forms of environmental change through 363 increased anthropogenic activity can alter species distributions in mountain regions 364 (Körner 2003). Although the rapid shift in upper altitudinal limits that we report is 365 broadly in line with temperature changes experienced in the region and with range 366 shifts reported in other studies, it is possible that factors other than climate change may be at least partially responsible. We have no reason to believe that fire frequency 367 or grazing pressure by wild herbivores has changed over the period and the high 368 369 altitude grasslands are not used for grazing domesticated animals. However, many of 370 the peaks in the Central Mountain Range are now popular locations for 371 mountaineering and mountain tourism, activities that, along with better road access 372 and increased road usage are likely to impact the distribution of species and increase 373 realized altitudinal migration rates (McKinney and Lockwood 2001).

374

375 Although the majority of the 24 species for which upper distributional limits were 376 assessed in this study are increasing in elevation, some 20% demonstrate a decline 377 (Table 1). Species might increase in abundance at lower elevations if changes in 378 precipitation lead to increased water availability (Crimmins et al. 2011). However, 379 downslope movement of the upper elevational limit is less likely in such a scenario 380 and is unlikely to apply to the system studied here, given the changes in climate that 381 we report (Fig. 1). However, it should not be assumed that elevated temperatures will 382 automatically result in environments at high altitude becoming more favourable for species currently occurring at lower elevations. Low resource ability can restrict 383 384 elevational increases for some species whilst changing conditions might negatively

impact current populations if drought stress is increased by higher temperatures
(Grant 1984, Montesinos et al. 2010, Chen et al. 2011). Moreover, high altitude
populations might be particularly vulnerable to direct extirpation through increased
human visitation of the highest peaks.

- 389
- 390

### 391 Conclusions

392

393 Rising temperatures in the region are driving a rapid change in the upper elevational 394 limits of plant species in the Central Mountain Range. Plant diversity in this region 395 shows a strong relationship with altitude, with families, genera and species declining with increasing elevation. Area standardized levels of diversity, however, are 396 397 substantially higher at the highest elevations than at other altitudes investigated, since 398 land area at high altitudes is markedly reduced. At the highest altitudes, endemic 399 species comprise approximately 55% of the flora. Given steeply declining land area 400 with elevation and a disproportionate importance of the highest altitudes for the islands endemic species, rapid elevational shifts in plant distribution may represent a 401 402 significant threat to the plant biodiversity of Taiwan. Our work provides a vital case 403 study from mountains in the subtropical zone that adds to a growing body of evidence 404 demonstrating the impact of rising temperatures on the distribution of mountain plants 405 and the consequent threat to mountain biodiversity throughout the globe.

406 407

### 408 Acknowledgements

409

410 We thank Josep Peñuelas for comments and assistance with analyses, Guan-Liang

411 Liou for providing land area data and Jens-Christian Svenning and three anonymous

- 412 reviewers for constructive comments on a previous version of this manuscript.
- 413 Financial support was provided by an International Joint Project Grant from the Royal
- 414 Society (to ASJ) in partnership with the National Science Council of Taiwan (NSC, to
- 415 CHC), and through grants NSC 96-2625-Z-039-001, NSC 97-2625-M-039-001, NSC
- 416 97-2625-M-039-002, and NSC 98-2911-I-039-001 to CHC.

			Historical survey		Recent survey	
Family	Species	Plant type	Year	Altitude	Year	Altitude
Apiaceae	Angelica morrisonicola	Forb (endemic)	1969	3952	2006	3550
Apiaceae	Hydrocotyle setulosa	Forb (endemic)	1966	3100	1997	3100
Apiaceae	Pimpinella niitakayamensis	Forb (endemic)	1937	3233	2000	3550
Asteraceae	Anaphalis morrisonicola	Forb	1942	3005	1993	3184
Asteraceae	Artemisia morrisonensis	Forb (endemic)	1927	3476	1989	3686
Asteraceae	Aster taiwanensis	Forb	1906	2700	1985	2780
Asteraceae	Cirsium arisanense	Forb (endemic)	1951	2400	1995	2693
Asteraceae	Eupatorium formosanum	Forb	1946	2228	1989	2786
Asteraceae	Picris hieracioides subsp. morrisonensis	Forb (endemic)	1912	3024	1993	3200
Caryophyllaceae	Dianthus pygmaeus	Forb (endemic)	1906	3900	1995	3900
Clusiacaea	Hypericum nagasawai	Forb (endemic)	1906	3600	1989	3950
Crassulaceae	Sedum morrisonense	Forb (endemic)	1906	3952	1986	3800
Ericaceae	Gaultheria itoana	Shrub	1964	3200	1991	3416
Ericaceae	Lyonia ovalifolia	Shrub	1954	2300	1987	2550
Ericaceae	Rhododendron rubropilosum var. rubropilosum	Shrub (endemic)	1935	2733	1994	3118
Gentianaceae	Swertia randaiensis	Forb	1909	3000	1991	3800
Juncaceae	Luzula taiwaniana	Rush (endemic)	1937	3300	1997	3488
Ericaceae	Pyrola morrisonensis	Forb (endemic)	1966	2600	1991	3275
Rosaceae	Rubus rolfei	Shrub	1970	3200	1992	3400

Table 1. Mean survey year and altitude during repeated identification of the upper altitudinal limits of plant species in historical and recent
 surveys in the Central Mountain Range of Taiwan. Nomenclature follows Huang (2002).

Rosaceae	Spiraea formosana	Shrub (endemic)	1958	2400	1990	2300
Rosaceae	Spiraea prunifolia var. pseudoprunifolia	Shrub	1916	2400	1970	3179
Saxifragaceae	Deutzia pulchra	Shrub	1965	2400	1993	2210
Scrophulariaceae	Hemiphragma heterophyllum	Forb	1970	3179	1999	2930
Valerianaceae	Triplostegia glandulifera	Forb	1906	3000	1969	3550





Figure 1. Mean annual temperature (top) and total annual precipitation (bottom) during the period 1934-2009 from the Alishan meterological station. Temperature shows a significant warming trend ( $y = 2233.74 - 2.27x + 0.0006x^2$ , P < 0.0001), beginning approximately 1970. Precipitation also shows a significant relationship with measurement year ( $y = 2282540.20 - 2306.03x + 0.5834x^2$ , P = 0.032), although the earliest and most recent 20-year periods remain similar (see text).





432 Figure 2. Maximum reported elevation for 24 species of alpine plants across the mountains

433 Hehuanshan, Yushan and Alishan in central Taiwan. Species are listed in table 1. Upper

434 altitudinal limit of species distributions shows a significant increase with survey year (P = 0.0039, repeated measures analysis). The bold line indicates the mean change in altitude of

435 0.0039, repeated measures analysis). The bold line indicates the mean change in altitude436 all species during the survey period.





440 Figure 3. Altitudinal variation in land area (top), plant taxon richness and species endemism

441 (centre) and plant taxon density (bottom) in the Central Mountain Range of Taiwan.

#### 443 **References**

- 444
- Aitken, S. N. et al. 2008. Adaptation, migration or extirpation: climate change outcomes for
   tree populations. Evol. App. 1: 95-111.
- Britton, A. J. et al. 2009. Biodiversity gains and losses: Evidence for homogenisation of
  Scottish alpine vegetation. Biol. Conserv. 142: 1728-1739.
- Chen, I. C. et al. 2009. Elevation increases in moth assemblages over 42 years on a tropical
  mountain. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 106: 1479-1483.
- Chen, I. C. et al. 2011. Asymmetric boundary shifts of tropical montane Lepidoptera over four decades of climate warming. Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr. 20: 34-45.
- 453 Colwell, R. K. et al. 2008. Global warming, elevational range shifts, and lowland biotic
  454 attrition in the wet tropics. Science 322: 258-261.
- 455 Cox, C. B. and Moore, P. D. 2010. Biogeography: An Ecological and Evolutionary Approach,
   456 8th Edition. Wiley.
- 457 Crimmins, S. M. et al. 2011. Changes in climatic water balance drive downhill shifts in plant
   458 species' optimum elevations. Science 331: 324-327.
- Dirnböck, T. et al. 2011. Disproportional risk for habitat loss of high-altitude endemic species
   under climate change. Global Change Biol. 17: 990-996
- Feeley, K. J. and Silman, M. R. 2010. Land-use and climate change effects on population size
   and extinction risk of Andean plants. Glob. Change Biol. 16: 3215-3222.
- Feeley, K. J. et al. In press. Upslope migration of Andean trees. J. Biogeogr. DOI:
  10.1111/j.1365-2699.2010.02444.x.
- 465 Gaston, K. J. 2000. Global patterns in biodiversity. Nature 405: 220-227.
- 466 Grabherr, G. et al. 1994. Climate effects on mountain plants. Nature 369: 448.
- 467 Grant, P. J. 1984. Drought effect on high-altitude forests, Ruahine Range, North Island, New468 Zealand. N. Z. J. Bot. 22: 15-27.
- Grau, O. et al. 2007. A comparison of altitudinal species richness patterns of bryophytes with
  other plant groups in Nepal, Central Himalaya. J. Biogeogr. 34: 1907-1915.
- 471 Guan, B. T. et al. 2009. Modeling monthly mean temperatures for the mountain regions of
  472 Taiwan by generalized additive models. Agr. Forest Meteorol. 149: 281-290.
- Harsch, M. A. et al. 2009. Are treelines advancing? A global meta-analysis of treeline
  response to climate warming. Ecol. Lett. 12: 1040-1049.
- Hayata, B. 1908. Flora montana Formosae. Journal of the College of Science of the Imperial
   University of Tokyo 25: 398-820
- Huang, T.-C. (ed) 2002. Flora of Taiwan (2nd Edition).- Department of Botany, National
   Taiwan University.
- Huntley, B. 1991. How plants respond to climate change migration rates, individualism and
  the consequences for plant communities. Ann. Bot. 67: 15-22.
- 481 IPCC 2007a. Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis. Cambridge University
   482 Press.
- 483 IPCC 2007b. Climate Change 2007: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability. Cambridge
   484 University Press.
- 485 Ito, T. 1927. Illustrations of Formosan Plants (in Japanese). Mie Prefecture.
- 486 Ito, T. 1928. Supplement to Illustrations of Formosan Plants (in Japanese). Mie Prefecture.
- Jump, A. S. et al. 2009. The altitude-for-latitude disparity in the range retractions of woody
   species. Trends Ecol. Evol. 24: 694-701.
- 489 Jurasinski, G. and Kreyling, J. 2007. Upward shift of alpine plants increases floristic
  490 similarity of mountain summits. J. Veg. Sci. 18: 711-718.
- Kessler, M. 2000. Elevational gradients in species richness and endemism of selected plant
   groups in the central Bolivian Andes. Plant Ecol. 149: 181-193.

- Klanderud, K. and Birks, H. J. B. 2003. Recent increases in species richness and shifts in
  altitudinal distributions of Norwegian mountain plants. Holocene 13: 1-6.
- Körner, C. 2003. Alpine plant life: functional plant ecology of high mountain ecosystems. Springer-Verlag.
- 497 Körner, C. 2007. The use of `altitude' in ecological research. Trends. Ecol. Evol. 22: 569498 574.
- Kullman, L. 2006. Long-term geobotanical observations of climate change impacts in the
   Scandes of West-Central Sweden. Nordic J. Bot. 24: 445-467.
- La Sorte, F. A. and Jetz, W. 2010. Projected range contractions of montane species under
   global warming. Proc. Roy. Soc. B 277: 3401-3410.
- Laurance, W. F. et al. 2011. Global warming, elevational ranges and the vulnerability of
   tropical biota. Biol. Conserv. 144: 548-557.
- le Roux, P. C. and McGeoch, M. A. 2008. Rapid range expansion and community
   reorganization in response to warming. Glob. Change Biol. 14: 2950-2962.
- Lenoir, J. et al. 2008. A significant upward shift in plant species optimum elevation during the
   20th Century. Science 320: 1768-1771.
- Lloyd, A. H. and Fastie, C. L. 2003. Recent changes in treeline forest distribution and
   structure in interior Alaska. Ecoscience. 10: 176-185.
- Lomolino, M. V. 2001. Elevation gradients of species-density: historical and prospective
   views. Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr. 10: 3-13.
- McDonald, K. A. and Brown, J. H. 1992. Using Montane Mammals to Model Extinctions Due to
   Global Change. Conserv. Biol. 6: 409-415.
- McKinney, M. L. and Lockwood, J. L. 2001. Biotic homogenisation: a sequential and
  selective process. In: M. L. McKinney and J. L. Lockwood (eds), Biotic
  homogenisation. Kluwer Academic Publisher, pp. 1-17.
- Montesinos, D. et al. 2010. Relictual distribution reaches the top: Elevation constrains fertility
   and leaf longevity in *Juniperus thurifera*. Acta. Oecol. 36: 120-125.
- Nogués-Bravo, D. et al. 2008. Scale effects and human impact on the elevational species
   richness gradients. Nature 453: 216-U8.
- 522 Opdam, P. and Wascher, D. 2004. Climate change meets habitat fragmentation: linking
  523 landscape and biogeographical scale levels in research and conservation. Biol.
  524 Conserv. 117: 285-297.
- Ozenda, P. and Borel, J.-L. 2003. The alpine vegetation of the Alps. In: L. Nagy et al. (eds),
   Alpine Biodiversity in Europe. Springer-Verlag, pp. 53-64.
- Parmesan, C. and Yohe, G. 2003. A globally coherent fingerprint of climate change impacts
   across natural systems. Nature 421: 37-42.
- Parmesan, C. 2006. Ecological and evolutionary responses to recent climate change. Annu.
   Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst. 37: 637-669.
- Parolo, G. and Rossi, G. 2008. Upward migration of vascular plants following a climate
  warming trend in the Alps. Basic and Appl. Ecol. 9: 100-107.
- Pauli, H. et al. 2007. Signals of range expansions and contractions of vascular plants in the
  high Alps: observations (1994-2004) at the GLORIA\*master site Schrankogel, Tyrol,
  Austria. Glob. Change Biol. 13: 147-156.
- Rahbek, C. 1995. The elevational gradient of species richness a uniform pattern. Ecography 18: 200-205.
- Raxworthy, C. J. et al. 2008. Extinction vulnerability of tropical montane endemism from
  warming and upslope displacement: a preliminary appraisal for the highest massif in
  Madagascar. Global Change Biol. 14: 1703-1720.
- 541 Sekercioglu, C. H. et al. 2008. Climate change, elevational range shifts, and bird extinctions. 542 Conserv. Biol. 22: 140-150.

- Shoo, L. P. et al. 2006. Detecting climate change induced range shifts: Where and how should
  we be looking? Austral Ecol. 31: 22-29.
- 545 Sturm, M. et al. 2001. Climate change: Increasing shrub abundance in the Arctic. Nature 411:
  546 546-547.
- 547 Thomas, C. D. et al. 2004. Extinction risk from climate change. Nature 427: 145-148.
- Vetaas, O. R. and Grytnes, J. A. 2002. Distribution of vascular plant species richness and
  endemic richness along the Himalayan elevation gradient in Nepal. Glob. Ecol.
  Biogeogr. 11: 291-301.
- Walther, G.-R. et al. 2002. Ecological responses to recent climate change. Nature 416: 389 395.
- 553 Walther, G. R. 2004. Plants in a warmer world. Perspect. Plant Ecol. Evol. Syst. 6: 169-185.
- Walther, G. R. et al. 2005. Trends in the upward shift of alpine plants. J. Veg. Sci. 16: 541555 548.
- Wang, Z. H. et al. 2007. Altitudinal patterns of seed plant richness in the Gaoligong
   Mountains, south-east Tibet, China. Divers. Distrib. 13: 845-854.
- Williams, S. E. et al. 2003. Climate change in Australian tropical rainforests: an impending
   environmental catastrophe. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. B-Biol. Sci. 270: 1887-1892.
- 560 Woodward, F. I. 1987. Climate and plant distribution. Cambridge University Press.
- 561
- 562