# Urinary Arsenic Species and CKD in a Taiwanese Population: A Case-Control Study

Yu-Mei Hsueh, PhD,<sup>1</sup> Chi-Jung Chung, MSc,<sup>2</sup> Horng-Sheng Shiue, MD,<sup>3</sup> Jin-Bor Chen, MD,<sup>4</sup> Shou-Shan Chiang, MD,<sup>5</sup> Mo-Hsiung Yang, PhD,<sup>6</sup> Cheng-Wei Tai, MSc,<sup>2</sup> and Chien-Tien Su, MD<sup>7</sup>

**Background:** Inorganic arsenic has been linked to decreased kidney function through oxidative damage. Arsenic methylation is believed to be a pathway for arsenic metabolism. Lycopene is an antioxidant that reduces oxidative stress; however, the association between urinary arsenic species, plasma lycopene level, and chronic kidney disease (CKD) has seldom been evaluated.

Study Design: Case-control study.

Setting & Participants: 125 patients with CKD and 229 controls were recruited from a hospital-based pool.

Predictor: Urinary arsenic species and plasma lycopene level.

**Outcomes & Measurements:** CKD was defined as estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) less than 60 mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup>, calculated by using the Modification of Diet in Renal Disease Study equation. Plasma lycopene was measured by means of high-performance liquid chromatography. Urinary arsenic species, including arsenite, arsenate, monomethylarsonic acid, and dimethylarsinic acid, were determined by means of high-performance liquid chromatography and hydride generator–atomic absorption spectrometry.

**Results:** Lycopene level was associated positively with eGFR, and participants with a high serum lycopene level had a significant, inverse association with CKD (odds ratio, 0.41; 95% confidence interval, 0.21 to 0.81). Total arsenic level was associated significantly with CKD in a dose-response relationship, especially in participants with a total arsenic level greater than 20.74 compared with 11.78  $\mu$ g/g creatinine or less (odds ratio, 4.34; 95% confidence interval, 1.94 to 9.69). Furthermore, participants with a high urinary total arsenic level or participants with a low percentage of dimethylarsinic acid had a positive association with CKD when their plasma lycopene level was low.

**Limitations:** Because of the single spot evaluation of plasma antioxidants and urinary arsenic species and the small sample size, statistical significance should be interpreted with caution.

**Conclusions:** This study shows that high urinary total arsenic or low plasma lycopene level is associated positively with CKD. Results suggest that the capacity for arsenic methylation may be associated with CKD in individuals who ingest low arsenic levels in drinking water and also have a low plasma lycopene level.

Am J Kidney Dis 54:859-870. © 2009 by the National Kidney Foundation, Inc.

INDEX WORDS: Arsenic; arsenic methylation capacity; lycopene; chronic kidney disease.

**C** hronic kidney disease (CKD) now is recognized as a common condition that increases the risk of cardiovascular disease.<sup>1</sup> The national prevalence of CKD in Taiwanese patients with an estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) less than 60 mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup> is 11.93%, but only 3.54% of participants are aware of their disorder.<sup>2</sup> CKD is an important public issue because Taiwan ranks first in the world in the incidence of end-stage renal disease.<sup>3</sup> Epidemiological and clinical evidence have shown a link between hypertension, diabetes, obesity, and metabolic syndrome and the onset and progression of CKD.<sup>4,5</sup>

The metalloid arsenic is a naturally occurring element in soil, food, and water. Humans are exposed to inorganic arsenic from mining and smelting metal ores, pesticide manufacturing,

From the <sup>1</sup>Department of Public Health, School of Medicine; <sup>2</sup>School of Public Health; <sup>3</sup>Department of Chinese Medicine, Chang Gung Memorial Hospital, and Graduate Institute of Medical Sciences, College of Medicine, Taipei Medical University, Taipei; <sup>4</sup>Nephrology Division, Chang Gung Memorial Hospital-Kaohsiung Medical Center, Chang Gung University College of Medicine, Kaohsiung; <sup>5</sup>Department of Internal Medicine/Nephrology, Shin Kong Wu Ho-Su Memorial Hospital, Taipei; <sup>6</sup>Department of Nuclear Science, National Tsing-Hua University, Hsinchu; and <sup>7</sup>Department of Family Medicine, Taipei Medical University Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan.

Received December 17, 2008. Accepted in revised form June 5, 2009. Originally published online as doi: 10.1053/ j.ajkd.2009.06.016 on August 17, 2009.

Address correspondence to Yu-Mei Hsueh, PhD, Department of Public Health, School of Medicine, Taipei Medical University, No. 250 Wu-Hsing St, Taipei 110, Taiwan. E-mail: ymhsueh@tmu.edu.tw

© 2009 by the National Kidney Foundation, Inc. 0272-6386/09/5405-0011\$36.00/0 doi:10.1053/j.ajkd.2009.06.016

American Journal of Kidney Diseases, Vol 54, No 5 (November), 2009: pp 859-870

wood preservatives, and medicines. Food may contain both organic and inorganic arsenic, whereas drinking water contains primarily inorganic arsenic. Long-term exposure to inorganic arsenic has been related to risk of cancer in the skin, bladder, liver, kidney, and lung.<sup>6</sup> Historically, the arsenic concentration permitted in public water supplies in Taiwan was 50  $\mu$ g/L. However, in 2000, a new standard of 10  $\mu$ g/L was announced. Our recent study showed that individuals with an unfavorable urinary arsenic profile had increased risk of urothelial carcinoma, even at low levels of exposure.<sup>7</sup> We do not know whether a urinary arsenic profile within a low allowable range affects the risk of CKD.

In comparison to other metals, such as lead and cadmium, studies of arsenic-induced nephrotoxicity are rare. However, a report is available for arsenic-induced kidney damage.<sup>8</sup> A recent study from Michigan also has shown an increased rate of kidney disease in people exposed to arsenic-contaminated drinking water.<sup>9</sup> The mechanisms underlying arsenic-induced kidney toxicity are complex.

Absorbed arsenic undergoes complicated biomethylation to form monomethylarsonic acid (MMA<sup>V</sup> [the superscript indicates an oxidation number of 5 for arsenic]) and dimethylarsinic acid (DMA<sup>V</sup>), which are excreted by the kidneys into urine.<sup>10</sup> The presumed arsenic methylation pathway in the human body is shown in Fig 1.<sup>11-15</sup> A previously published report suggests that excessive generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) by various metals may cause kidney damage.<sup>16</sup> Because arsenic also generates ROS during the metabolic activation process,<sup>17</sup> whether arsenic metabo-



**Figure 1.** The presumed arsenic methylation pathway in the human body. The numbered steps are catalyzed by the following enzymes: (1) arsenate reductase or purine nucleoside phosphorylase (PNP), (2) arsenite methyl transferase (As3MT), (3) glutathione *S*-transferase omega 1 or 2 (GSTO1, GSTO2), and (4) arsenite methyl transferase (As3MT). Abbreviations: DMA, dimethylarsinic acid; MMA, monomethylarsonic acid; SAHC, *S*-adenosylhomocysteine; SAM, *S*-adenosylmethionine.

lites are part of the mechanism for arsenicinduced nephrotoxicity remains to be determined.

Lycopene is a potent carotenoid antioxidant. Lycopene most likely is involved in the scavenging ROS that contribute to defense against lipid peroxidation.<sup>18</sup> A recent study has shown that lycopene is able to protect against mercuric chloride–induced nephrotoxicity in rats,<sup>19</sup> as well as cisplastin-induced decreased kidney function and oxidative stress in rats.<sup>20</sup> Low plasma lycopene levels and arsenic exposure may be a risk factor for CKD. Therefore, the primary goal of the present study is to examine the association between the capacity for arsenic methylation, lycopene level, and CKD and the interaction between the capacity for arsenic methylation and lycopene level in affecting CKD.

#### **METHODS**

# Study Participants, Interview Process, and Measurements

On a weekly basis from September 2005 and December 2007, patients (age range, 22 to 88 years) with clinical evidence of CKD based on urine sample collection were recruited from the Department of Internal Medicine/Nephrology of Shin Kong Wu Ho-Su Memorial Hospital in Taipei, Taiwan, resulting in 125 participants. eGFR traditionally is considered the best overall index of kidney function in health and disease. We used the 4-variable equation from the Modification of Diet in Renal Disease (MDRD) Study<sup>1</sup> to estimate eGFR as 186.3  $\times$  (serum creatinine)<sup>-1.154</sup>  $\times$  $(age)^{-0.203} \times (0.742 \text{ for female})$  and defined the 5 stages of CKD according to the relevant Kidney Disease Outcomes Quality Initiative guidelines from the National Kidney Foundation. In this study, participants who were in stages 3 to 5  $(eGFR < 60 \text{ mL/min}/1.73 \text{ m}^2)$  for 3 months were defined as having CKD. Age frequency-matched control participants with no evidence of CKD (eGFR  $\ge 60 \text{ mL/min}/1.73 \text{ m}^2$ ) in a 2:1 ratio of controls to cases were accrued weekly from a hospital-based pool, including those receiving senior citizen health examinations at Taipei Medical University Hospital and those receiving adult health examinations at Taipei Municipal Wan Fang Hospital. A total of 229 control participants was obtained, and a urine sample was collected from each.

Well-trained personnel carried out standardized personal interviews based on a structured questionnaire. The information collected included demographic and socioeconomic characteristics and potential risk factors for CKD, such as lifestyle, alcohol consumption, cigarette smoking, exposure to potential occupational and environmental carcinogens (hair dyes and pesticides), medication history, consumption of conventional and alternative medicines, and personal and family histories of hypertension, diabetes, and CKD.

#### Arsenic Methylation and Chronic Renal Disease

The Research Ethics Committee of Taipei Medical University (Taipei, Taiwan) approved the study. All patients provided informed consent forms before sample and data collection. The study was consistent with the World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki.

A 10-mL blood sample was collected from participants on recruitment by use of EDTA-treated vacuum syringes and disposable needles. Plasma samples were centrifuged at 3,000 rpm for 15 minutes at room temperature, separated into aliquots, and stored at  $-80^{\circ}$ C until used. Spot urine samples also were collected from all participants and immediately transferred to a  $-20^{\circ}$ C freezer until further use for urinary arsenic species analysis.

#### **Determination of Urinary Arsenic Species**

It has been shown that urinary arsenic species are stable for at least 6 months when preserved at  $-20^{\circ}$ C.<sup>21</sup> Therefore, the urine assay was performed within 6 months after collection. Frozen urine samples were thawed at room temperature, dispersed by using ultrasonication, filtered through a Sep-Pak C18 column (Mallinckrodt Baker Inc, Phillipsburg, NJ) and levels of arsenite (As[III]), arsenate (As[V]), MMA<sup>V</sup>, and DMA<sup>V</sup> were determined. A urine aliquot of 200 µL was used for determination of arsenic species by using highperformance liquid chromatography (HPLC; Waters 501; Waters Associates, Milford, MA) with columns obtained from Phenomenex (Nucleosil, Torrance, CA). Inorganic arsenic and its metabolites were quantified by using hydride generator-atomic absorption spectrometry.<sup>22</sup> A standard solution of 4 arsenic species was prepared in our laboratory; the sample and sample-spiked standard solution were determined by using online HPLC-hydride generator-atomic absorption spectrometry. Recovery rates of the 4 arsenic species were calculated by using the following formula: [(sample-spiked standard solution concentration - sample concentration)/(standard solution concentration)]  $\times$  100. Recovery rates for As(III), DMA<sup>V</sup>, MMA<sup>V</sup>, and As(V) ranged between 93.8% and 102.2%, with detection limits of 0.02, 0.06, 0.07, and 0.10  $\mu$ g/L, respectively. The urinary concentration of the sum of inorganic arsenic, MMA<sup>V</sup>, and DMA<sup>V</sup> was normalized against urinary creatinine levels (micrograms per gram of creatinine). The colorimetric assay automatically determined by the Roche Modular P800 instrument (Roche Inc, Mannheim, Germany) was used to calculate creatinine level by measuring the creatinine-picric acid complex formed by the reaction of creatinine and picric acid. The standard reference material, SRM 2670, contains 480  $\pm$ 100 µg/L of inorganic arsenic and was obtained from the National Institute of Standards and Technology (Gaithersburg, MD). SRM 2670 was used as a quality standard and analyzed along with urine samples. The mean value of SRM 2670 determined by our system was 507  $\pm$  17  $\mu$ g/L (n = 4). The arsenic methylation indices were assessed by the percentages of various urinary arsenic species present in the sum of inorganic arsenic, MMA<sup>V</sup>, and DMA<sup>V</sup>. The primary methylation index was defined as the ratio of MMA<sup>V</sup> to levels of inorganic arsenic, ie, As(III) + As(V), and the secondary methylation index was defined as the ratio of DMAV to MMA<sup>V.23</sup>

### Determination of Plasma Antioxidant Micronutrient Level

Levels of  $\beta$ -carotene, lycopene,  $\alpha$ -tocopherol, and retinol in plasma samples were measured by using HPLC according to the procedure described previously.<sup>24</sup> Analysis was carried out by using reversed-phase HPLC (Hitachi Inc, Tokyo, Japan) with a mobile phase consisting of methanol:acetonitrite:chloroform (47:47:6) and multiwave length monitoring. Retinol was detected at 325 nm; α-tocopherol, at 280 nm; and lycopene and  $\beta$ -carotene, at 466 nm. Plasma samples for each case and control set were thawed from -80°C in dim light at room temperature and assayed on the same day to ensure that temporal variability in laboratory assays would affect cases and controls equally. All laboratory personnel were unaware of the disease status of participants from whom plasma samples were tested. Recovery rates for  $\beta$ -carotene, lycopene,  $\alpha$ -tocopherol, and retinol were 90% to 100% at the highest concentration and 90% to 107% at the lowest concentration of the standard solution. The precision (coefficient of variance) of  $\beta$ -carotene, lycopene,  $\alpha$ -tocopherol, and retinol was 1.0% to 6.0%. We also used an internal control ( $\alpha$ -tocopherol acetate) to reduce systematic error; the coefficient of variance for  $\alpha$ -tocopherol acetate was 2.5%.

#### **Statistical Analysis**

Continuous variables are expressed as mean ± SE. Student t test was used to compare differences in urinary arsenic profiles between case participants and controls. Analysis of variance and Scheffe multiple comparison correction were applied to compare urinary arsenic profiles between the varied exposure strata. Unconditional logistic regression models were used to estimate multivariate-adjusted odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI). Cutoff values for continuous variables were the respective tertiles of controls. Significance tests for linear trend among ORs across exposure strata were calculated by categorizing exposure variables and treating scored variables as continuous. For joint-effect analysis, cutoff values for plasma lycopene, urinary arsenic species percentage, or arsenic methylation indices were the respective medians of the controls. The synergy index proposed by Rothman<sup>25</sup> was computed to assess the additive interaction relationship between lycopene levels and urinary arsenic species percentages or arsenic methylation indices on CKD risk. An observed synergy index value that departs substantially from the expected additive null, ie, a synergy index not equal to 1, suggests an additive interaction effect. ORs and variance covariance matrixes then were used to calculate values for synergy index and 95% CIs.26

#### RESULTS

Participants who had higher educational levels had a significantly lower risk of CKD than those with lower educational levels. Participants with diabetes or hypertension had a significantly greater CKD risk than those without diabetes (OR, 4.00; 95% CI, 2.04 to 7.76) or those with normal blood pressure (OR, 2.23; 95% CI, 1.34 to 3.70). Alco-

Variables	CKD Group	Healthy Controls	Odds Ratio* (95% confidence interval)	Р
Sex				
Men	59 (47.20)	91 (39.74)	1.00	
Women	66 (52.80)	138 (60.26)	0.77 (0.49-1.20)†	0.3
Age (y)	58.81 ± 13.96	60.61 ± 13.09	0.99 (0.97-1.00)‡	0.2
Educational level				
Illiterate/elementary school	60 (48.00)	63 (27.75)	1.00	
Junior/senior high school	40 (32.00)	69 (30.40)	0.45 (0.25-0.78)	0.005
≥College	25 (20.00)	95 (41.85)	0.13 (0.07-0.27)	<0.001
Cigarette smoking	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
No	100 (80.65)	178 (77.73)	1.00	
Yes	24 (19.35)	51 (22.27)	0.65 (0.35-1.21)	0.2
Alcohol consumption	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	( , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Never	103 (82.40)	147 (64.19)	1.00	
Frequency	12 (9.60)	32 (13.97)	0.37 (0.17-0.79)	0.01
Occasional	10 (8.00)	50 (21.83)	0.20 (0.10-0.44)	< 0.001
Diabetes	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	( , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
No	81 (75.70)	210 (92.11)	1.00	
Yes	26 (24.30)	18 (7.89)	4.00 (2.04-7.76)	< 0.001
Hypertension	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
No	64 (59.81)	174 (76.32)	1.00	
Yes	43 (40.19)	54 (23.68)	2.23 (1.34-3.70)	0.002
Analgesic use	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	( , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
No	97 (77.60)	173 (75.88)	1.00	
Yes, routinely	14 (11.20)	9 (3.95)	3.00 (1.24-7.27)	0.02
Yes, as the need arises	14 (11.20)	46 (20.18)	0.53 (0.28-1.01)	0.05

Table 1. Sociodemographic Characteristics of the CKD Group and Healthy Controls

*Note:* Values expressed as number (percent) or mean  $\pm$  SE unless noted otherwise.

Abbreviation: CKD, chronic kidney disease.

\*Adjusted for age and sex, except where indicated.

†Adjusted only for age.

‡Adjusted only for sex.

hol consumption was related to a significantly lower CKD risk than for nondrinkers. Cigarette smoking was not associated with CKD risk. A significantly greater risk was shown in analgesic users than nonusers; however, analgesic use on an as-needed basis had a significantly lower CKD risk than in nonusers (Table 1). Coffee consumption, pesticide exposure, and paint or dye use did not affect risk of CKD (data not shown).

The CKD group had a significantly lower eGFR (28.40  $\pm$  1.41 mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup>; n = 125) than controls (80.17  $\pm$  1.21 mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup>; n = 229; *P* < 0.001; Fig 2). Patients with CKD had a significantly greater urinary total arsenic level, greater MMA<sup>V</sup> percentage, lower DMA<sup>V</sup> percentage, and lower plasma lycopene level than controls (Table 2).

Plasma lycopene level was positively associated and urinary total arsenic level was negatively associated with eGFR (both associations were statistically significant; Fig 3), whether adjusted for age and sex or multiple covariates. When eGFR was adjusted for multiple covariates, greater  $MMA^{V}$  percentages correlated with significantly lower eGFRs (ie, inverse correlation), and greater  $DMA^{V}$  percentages correlated with significantly greater eGFRs (data not shown).

Compared with men, women had lower  $MMA^{\vee}$  percentages, but significantly greater total arsenic levels. Cigarette smoking, alcohol consumption, and habitual analgesic use did not influence the arsenic profile (Table 3).

By performing trend analysis on urinary total arsenic level, percentage of arsenic species, or plasma lycopene strata in tertiles, total urinary arsenic level was associated significantly with the CKD OR in a dose-response relationship, as listed in Table 4. This was especially true in participants with a total arsenic level greater than 20.74  $\mu$ g/g creatinine, in whom the OR of CKD was increased 4-fold compared with those with a total arsenic



Figure 2. The distribution of estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) in the chronic kidney disease group and controls.

level of 11.78  $\mu$ g/g creatinine or less. Other arsenic species indices were not related to the CKD OR. Plasma lycopene level was related inversely to CKD in a dose-response relationship (participants with a plasma lycopene level > 18.64  $\mu$ g/dL compared with  $\leq$  8.29  $\mu$ g/dL; OR, 0.41; 95% CI, 0.21 to 0.81). Plasma retinol level was associated significantly with CKD risk (data not shown), whereas other micronutrients were not related to CKD (data not shown).

Additional analyses were carried out to assess the joint effects of the following pairs of factors on CKD risk: lycopene and total arsenic levels, lycopene level and percentage of arsenic species, or lycopene level and arsenic methylation indices (Fig 4). Trend analysis showed progressively

 Table 2. Differences in Urinary Total Arsenic, Percentages of Arsenic Species, and Arsenic Methylation Indices

 Between the CKD Group and Healthy Controls

	CKI	D Group	Health	ny Controls	
Variables	No. Tested	Value	No. Tested	Value	Р
Total arsenic (µg/g creatinine) Arsenic species (%)	124	$31.95 \pm 2.59$	229	20.71 ± 1.10	<0.001
Inorganic arsenic	125	$7.50 \pm 1.04$	229	$6.67\pm0.62$	0.5
DMA	125	$82.02 \pm 2.05$	229	$87.04 \pm 0.83$	0.03
MMA	125	$10.49 \pm 1.77$	229	$6.29\pm0.49$	0.02
Primary methylation index	120	$2.97\pm0.55$	219	$2.37\pm0.42$	0.4
Secondary methylation index	97	$29.68 \pm 5.58$	164	$26.03\pm3.63$	0.6
Lycopene (µg/dL)	125	$\textbf{6.22} \pm \textbf{1.43}$	229	$10.40\pm0.89$	< 0.001

Note: Values expressed as mean  $\pm$  SE. Total arsenic indicates inorganic arsenic + MMA + DMA.

Abbreviations: CKD, chronic kidney disease; DMA, dimethylarsinic acid; MMA, monomethylarsonic acid.



Figure 3. The association between estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) and plasma lycopene or urinary total arsenic level.

increased risks through exposure to no risk factor, 1 risk factor, or both 2 risk factors. Although plasma lycopene level tended to interact additively with total urinary arsenic level, percentage of inorganic arsenic, primary methylation index, and secondary methylation index in modifying CKD risk, the interactions were all statistically insignificant, as shown by the absence of a substantial deviation from 1 in the synergy index. We also assessed the interaction as a departure from joint multiplicative effects by using the product term of 2 risk factors and showed that total arsenic level and DMA percentage significantly interacted with lycopene level (Fig 4).

## DISCUSSION

The present study showed that patients with CKD compared with control individuals had a significantly greater total urinary arsenic level, greater MMA<sup>V</sup> percentage, and lower DMA<sup>V</sup> percentage, indicating a less efficient capacity to methylate inorganic arsenic to DMA<sup>V</sup>. In addition, it was found that only total urinary arsenic level was related to CKD risk in a dose-response

		Total Arsenic	Ars	enic Species	(%)			
Variables	No. of Participants	(μg/g creatinine)	Inorganic Arsenic	MMA	DMA	PMI	SMI	Lycopene (µg/dL)
Sex								
Men	150	21.72 ± 1.55	$6.50 \pm 0.56$	9.22 ± 1.12	84.28 ± 1.32	$2.44 \pm 0.46$	25.63 ± 4.24	13.88 ± 1.09
Women	204	$26.84 \pm 1.71$	$7.31 \pm 0.85$	6.71 ± 0.91	85.99 ± 1.24	$2.69\pm0.47$	29.01 ± 4.45	$14.83\pm0.91$
Р		0.03	0.4	0.08	0.4	0.7	0.6	0.5
Cigarette smoking								
No	277	$24.79 \pm 1.30$	$6.89\pm0.58$	$\textbf{7.27} \pm \textbf{0.79}$	$85.84 \pm 1.00$	$2.62\pm0.41$	$\textbf{27.35} \pm \textbf{3.43}$	$15.06\pm0.77$
Yes	75	$24.23 \pm 2.88$	$7.26 \pm 1.41$	$9.63 \pm 1.59$	$83.12\pm2.19$	$2.45\pm0.46$	$27.75 \pm 6.95$	$12.25 \pm 1.64$
Р		0.9	0.8	0.2	0.2	0.8	0.9	0.1
Alcohol								
consumption								
No	249	$24.89 \pm 1.93$	$7.08\pm0.65$	$7.76\pm0.91$	$85.16\pm1.15$	$\textbf{2.83} \pm \textbf{0.45}$	$\textbf{30.18} \pm \textbf{3.98}$	$14.75 \pm 0.86^{*,+}$
Yes	44	$\textbf{23.96} \pm \textbf{2.89}$	$5.30\pm0.48$	$7.64 \pm 2.06$	$87.06 \pm 2.13$	$2.09\pm0.63$	$30.98\pm10.60$	$10.26 \pm 1.20$
Occasional	60	$24.22\pm4.50$	$7.71 \pm 1.73$	$7.90\pm0.93$	$84.39 \pm 1.91$	$1.92\pm0.26$	$14.45\pm1.65$	$16.13\pm1.78$
Р		0.9	0.5	0.9	0.7	0.5	0.1	0.06
Analgesic use								
No	269	$25.12\pm1.45$	$7.00\pm0.56$	$7.47\pm0.80$	$85.53\pm0.99$	$2.49\pm0.38$	$26.65\pm3.19$	$13.66\pm0.70$
Yes, routinely	23	$25.82\pm3.74$	$10.35\pm4.34$	$7.64 \pm 3.38$	$82.01\pm5.66$	$2.40\pm0.93$	$46.12\pm23.30$	$19.25\pm3.84$
Yes, as needed	60	$\textbf{22.37} \pm \textbf{2.12}$	$5.53 \pm 1.15$	$9.29 \pm 1.73$	$85.25\pm2.09$	$\textbf{3.11} \pm \textbf{0.91}$	$24.37\pm7.18$	$15.46\pm2.15$
Ρ		0.8	0.3	0.8	0.8	0.9	0.5	0.2

Table 3. Distribution of Urinary Total Arsenic, Percentages of Arsenic Species, and Arsenic Methylation Index
According to Sex, Cigarette Smoking, Alcohol Consumption and Analgesic Use

*Note:* Values expressed as mean  $\pm$  SE. Total arsenic indicates inorganic arsenic + MMA + DMA. Cigarette smoking history and analgesic use data were unavailable for 1 and 2 participants, respectively.

Abbreviations: CKD, chronic kidney disease; DMA, dimethylarsinic acid; MMA, monomethylarsonic acid; PMI, primary methylation index; SMI, secondary methylation index.

\*Significantly different from those who consume alcohol, P < 0.05.

+Significantly different from occasional drinker, P < 0.05.

relationship adjusted for age and sex or separately adjusted for multiple risk factors. Patients with CKD had significantly lower plasma lycopene levels, indicating lower antioxidant capabilities than controls.

Upon entering the body, arsenic targets ubiquitous enzyme reactions and affects nearly all organ systems.<sup>27</sup> Several trace elements, including arsenic, cadmium, lead, and mercury, have been implicated in the decrease in kidney function.<sup>28</sup> A study in Utah has shown increased rates of nephritis and nephrosis in people drinking arsenic-contaminated well water.<sup>29</sup> According to animal studies, vacuolation of renal tubular epithelium was observed in a case of low-dose arsenic exposure, whereas pathologically moderate glomerular sclerosis and severe tubular necrosis were shown in the case of exposure to high doses of arsenic.<sup>30</sup> However, a case report by Prasad and Rossi<sup>31</sup> showed that tubulointerstitial nephritis is associated with increased urinary arsenic concentration.

According to the Taipei Water Department of the Taipei City Government, average arsenic concentration in Taipei tap water is 0.7  $\mu$ g/L and ranges from undetectable to 4.0  $\mu$ g/L. However, the concentration range of urinary arsenic of study participants of approximately 20 to 30  $\mu$ g/g creatinine in this study possibly resulted from exposure to some foods. Although our study participants drank tap water with no evidence of arsenic contamination, we also found that total urinary arsenic level and MMA<sup>V</sup> percentage were associated significantly with decreased eGFR in this study. However, the precise mechanism of arsenic-induced nephrotoxicity may be difficult to assess because of the complex biological chemistry associated with arsenic.<sup>32</sup>

Absorbed arsenic is excreted mainly through urine, suggesting that the kidney is a primary target for arsenic toxicity. Kidney arsenic toxicity may be complicated by methylation of inorganic arsenic to the less toxic MMA<sup>V</sup> and DMA<sup>V</sup>, which are excreted rapidly by the kidney.<sup>10</sup> MMA<sup>III</sup> and DMA<sup>III</sup> have been identified in human urine.<sup>33,34</sup> Many studies have shown that these trivalent methylated arsenic species are more toxic than inorganic compounds.<sup>35,36</sup> How-

•	· •	· • •	
Variables	CKD Group/Healthy Controls	Odds Ratio* (95% confidence interval)	Odds Ratio† (95% confidence interval)
Total arsenic ( $\mu q/q$ creatinine)		$P_{\rm transf} < 0.001$	$P_{\text{trand}} < 0.001$
≤11.78	19/75	1.00	1.00
11.78-20.74	30/78	1.73 (0.89-3.40)	1.41 (0.62-3.19)
>20.74	76/76	5.66 (2.96-10.85)‡	4.34 (1.94-9.69)‡
Arsenic species (%)		· · · · · ·	
Inorganic arsenic		$P_{\rm trend} = 0.8$	$P_{\rm trend} = 0.6$
≤2.75	40/75	1.00	1.00
2.75-5.86	40/78	0.86 (0.50-1.51)	1.01 (0.52-1.98)
>5.86	45/76	0.99 (0.58-1.72)	1.20 (0.61-2.36)
ММА		$P_{ m trend}=0.9$	$P_{\rm trend} = 0.7$
≤1.29	39/75	1.00	1.00
1.29-7.60	43/77	1.03 (0.60-1.78)	0.63 (0.32-1.23)
>7.60	43/77	0.97 (0.56-1.68)	0.87 (0.45-1.71)
DMA		$P_{\rm trend} = 0.7$	$P_{\rm trend} = 0.5$
≤85.62	45/76	1.00	1.00
85.62-93.40	44/77	1.00 (0.59-1.70)	0.58 (0.30-1.13)
>93.40	36/76	0.88 (0.50-1.53)	0.79 (0.40-1.55)
PMI		$P_{ m trend}=0.6$	$P_{\rm trend} = 0.7$
≤0.28	40/83	1.00	1.00
0.28-1.86	42/73	1.12 (0.65-1.93)	0.75 (0.38-1.49)
>1.86	43/73	1.14 (0.66-1.96)	0.88 (0.46-1.69)
SMI		$P_{\mathrm{trend}}=0.8$	$P_{\rm trend} = 0.4$
≤8.44	61/119	1.00	1.00
8.44-17.18	26/54	0.90 (0.51-1.58)	0.48 (0.24-0.99)§
>17.18	38/56	1.31 (0.78-2.20)	0.79 (0.42-1.50)
Plasma lycopene (µg/dL)		$P_{ m trend}$ $<$ 0.001	$P_{\rm trend} = 0.003$
≤8.29	74/76	1.00	1.00
8.29-18.64	24/76	0.31 (0.18-0.55)‡	0.33 (0.17-0.64)
>18.64	27/77	0.35 (0.21-0.61)∥	0.41 (0.21-0.81)∥

 Table 4. Dose-Response Relationship Between CKD Risk and Urinary Total Arsenic, Percentages of Arsenic

 Species, Arsenic Methylation Indices, and Plasma Lycopene

*Note:* Total arsenic indicates inorganic arsenic + MMA + DMA.

Abbreviations: CKD, chronic kidney disease; DMA, dimethylarsinic acid; MMA, monomethylarsonic acid; PMI, primary methylation index; SMI, secondary methylation index.

\*Adjusted for age and sex.

†Adjusted for age, sex, educational level, paternal and maternal ethnicity, cigarette smoking, coffee drinking, analgesic use, hypertension, and diabetes history.

‡*P* < 0.001.

§*P* < 0.05.

 $\|P < 0.01.$ 

ever, trivalent methylated arsenic metabolites have a short half-life. Whether they can be detected depends on the conditions and temperature of sample storage and concentrations in urine. The reason we did not observe trivalent methylated metabolites in the study is that the analytical method used lacks the requisite specificity. In general, arsenic methylation is considered a detoxification process in which MMA<sup>V</sup> and DMA<sup>V</sup> generally are considered nontoxic. Few studies have examined arsenic metabolism on decreased kidney function in humans. One study reported that the main detectable species were the relatively nontoxic compounds arsenobetaine and DMA, whereas levels of such toxic inorganic arsenic compounds as arsenite and arsenate were less than the detection limit in serum.<sup>37</sup> In the present study, we found that patients with CKD had significantly greater urinary total arsenic levels, greater MMA<sup>V</sup> percentages, and lower DMA<sup>V</sup> percentages than controls. Of these variables, only total arsenic level

Plasma	Total	OR (95% CI)	Synergy index	Ρ	1.0 Reference
High	Low	1.00	2.34 (0.68 - 8.09)	<0.001	1.85
Low	High	1.85 (0.66 - 5.16)	,		2.04
LOW	High	5.43 (2.19 - 13.46)***			5.43
					••
					2.34
					0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13
Plasma	% inorgani	c As OR (95% CI)	Synergy index	с Р	1.0 Reference
lycopene Hiah	Low	1.00	1.16 (0.48 - 2.8	3) 0.5	1.84
	High	1.84 (0.65 - 5.19)	)	-,	3.52
Low	Low Hiah	3.52 (1.40 - 8.86) 4.91 (1.88 - 12.84	)** L)**		•
					4.9
					• • • 1.16
					0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13
Plasma	% MN	IA OR (95% CI)	Synergy index	Р	1.0 Reference
lycopene Hiah	e Low	1.00	0.99 (0.25 - 4.01)	0.4	0.76
	High	0.76 (0.28 - 2.08)			2.58
Low	Low	2.58 (1.10 - 6.04)* 2.33 (0.98 - 5.54)*			
					2.33
					0.99
					0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13
Plasma			Company index		
Long and a second	% DMA	OR (95% CI)	Synergy index	Ρ	1.0 Reference
lycopene	% DMA	OR (95% CI)	Synergy Index	P	1.0 Reference
lycopene High	% DMA Low High	OR (95% CI) 1.00 1.62 (0.59 - 4.41)	0.76 (0.30 - 1.89)	P <0.001	1.0 Reference
lycopene High Low	% DMA Low High Low	OR (95% CI) 1.00 1.62 (0.59 - 4.41) 3.78 (1.56 - 9.05)** 2.57 (4.47 - 0.02**	0.76 (0.30 - 1.89)	P <0.001	1.0 Reference <u>1.52</u> <u>3.78</u> <u>3.78</u>
lycopene High Low	% DMA Low High Low High	OR (95% CI) 1.00 1.62 (0.59 - 4.41) 3.78 (1.56 - 9.05)** 3.57 (1.47 - 8.68)**	0.76 (0.30 - 1.89)	Р <0.001	1.0 Reference . 1.62 
lycopene High Low	% DMA Low High Low High	OR (95% Cl) 1.00 1.62 (0.59 - 4.41) 3.78 (1.56 - 9.05)** 3.57 (1.47 - 8.68)**	0.76 (0.30 - 1.89)	P <0.001	1.0 Reference <u>1.62</u> <u>3.78</u> <u>3.57</u> <u>0.76</u>
lycopene High Low	% DMA Low High Low High	OR (95% CI) 1.00 1.62 (0.59 - 4.41) 3.78 (1.56 - 9.05)** 3.57 (1.47 - 8.68)**	0.76 (0.30 - 1.89)	р <0.001	1.0 Reference . 1.62 . 3.78 . 3.57 0.76 
lycopene High Low	% DMA Low High Low High	OR (95% CI) 1.00 1.62 (0.59 - 4.41) 3.78 (1.56 - 9.05)** 3.57 (1.47 - 8.68)**	0.76 (0.30 - 1.89)	P <0.001	$1.0  Reference$ $3.78 \\ 3.57 \\ 0  1  2  3  4  5  6  7  8  9  10  11  12  13$
lycopene High Low Plasma	% DMA Low High Low High	OR (95% CI) 1.00 1.62 (0.59 - 4.41) 3.78 (1.56 - 9.05)** 3.57 (1.47 - 8.68)** OR (95% CI)	Synergy index	P <0.001 P	1.0 Reference 3.73 3.57 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 1.0 Reference
lycopene High Low Plasma lycopene High	% DMA Low High Low High PMI Low	OR (95% CI) 1.00 1.62 (0.59 - 4.41) 3.78 (1.56 - 9.05)** 3.57 (1.47 - 8.68)** OR (95% CI) 1.00	Synergy index 0.76 (0.30 - 1.89) Synergy index 0.35 (0.12 - 1.04)	P <0.001 P 0.9	1.0 Reference 3.73 3.57 0 2 3 3.57 0 2 3 3.57 0 1.62 3.57 0.765 0 1.22 1.0 Reference 1.22
Plasma lycopene Plasma lycopene High	% DMA Low High Low High	OR (95% CI) 1.00 1.62 (0.59 - 4.41) 3.78 (1.56 - 9.05)** 3.57 (1.47 - 8.68)** OR (95% CI) 1.00 1.22 (0.45 - 3.30) 4.23 (4.85 - 40.20)***	Synergy index 0.76 (0.30 - 1.89) Synergy index 0.35 (0.12 - 1.04)	P <0.001 P 0.9	1.0 Reference 3.78 3.57 0.76 0.76 0.76 1.62 3.57 0.76 0.76 1.22 4.37
Plasma Iycopene Plasma Iycopene High Low	% DMA Low High Low High Low High Low High	OR (95% CI) 1.00 1.62 (0.59 - 4.41) 3.78 (1.56 - 9.05)** 3.57 (1.47 - 8.68)** OR (95% CI) 1.00 1.22 (0.45 - 3.30) 4.37 (1.85 - 10.30)*** 2.27 (0.96 - 5.38)*	Synergy index 0.76 (0.30 - 1.89) Synergy index 0.35 (0.12 - 1.04)	P <0.001 P 0.9	1.0  Reference $3.78$ $3.57$ $0.76$ $0.76$ $1.0  Reference$ $4.37$
lycopene High Low Plasma lycopene High Low	% DMA Low High Low High PMI Low High	OR (95% Cl) 1.00 1.62 (0.59 - 4.41) 3.78 (1.56 - 9.05)** 3.57 (1.47 - 8.68)** OR (95% Cl) 1.00 1.22 (0.45 - 3.30) 4.37 (1.85 - 10.30)*** 2.27 (0.96 - 5.38)*	Synergy index 0.76 (0.30 - 1.89) Synergy index 0.35 (0.12 - 1.04)	P <0.001 P 0.9	1.0  Reference $3.78$ $3.57$ $0.76$ $0  1  2  3  4  5  6  7  8  9  10  11  12  13$ $1.0  Reference$ $4.37$ $2.27$
lycopene High Low Plasma lycopene High Low	% DMA Low High Low High Low High Low High	OR (95% Cl) 1.00 1.62 (0.59 - 4.41) 3.78 (1.56 - 9.05)** 3.57 (1.47 - 8.68)** OR (95% Cl) 1.00 1.22 (0.45 - 3.30) 4.37 (1.85 - 10.30)*** 2.27 (0.96 - 5.38)*	Synergy index 0.76 (0.30 - 1.89) Synergy index 0.35 (0.12 - 1.04)	P <0.001 P 0.9	1.0  Reference $3.78$ $3.57$ $0.76$ $4.37$ $2.27$ $4.37$ $2.27$ $2.27$ $0.35$
lycopene High Low Plasma lycopene High Low	% DMA Low High Low High Low High Low High	OR (95% Cl) 1.00 1.62 (0.59 - 4.41) 3.78 (1.56 - 9.05)** 3.57 (1.47 - 8.68)** OR (95% Cl) 1.00 1.22 (0.45 - 3.30) 4.37 (1.85 - 10.30)*** 2.27 (0.96 - 5.38)*	Synergy index 0.76 (0.30 - 1.89) Synergy index 0.35 (0.12 - 1.04)	P <0.001 P 0.9	1.0  Reference $3.78$ $3.57$ $0.76$ $4.37$ $2.27$ $4.37$ $2.27$ $2.27$ $3.78$ $3.78$ $3.78$ $3.78$ $4.37$ $2.27$ $4.37$ $2.27$ $3.78$ $3.78$ $4.37$ $2.27$ $3.78$ $3.78$ $3.78$ $3.78$ $3.78$ $4.37$ $3.78$ $3.7$
lycopene High Low Plasma lycopene High Low	% DMA Low High Low High Low High Low High	OR (95% CI) 1.00 1.62 (0.59 - 4.41) 3.78 (1.56 - 9.05)** 3.57 (1.47 - 8.68)** OR (95% CI) 1.00 1.22 (0.45 - 3.30) 4.37 (1.85 - 10.30)** 2.27 (0.96 - 5.38)*	Synergy index 0.76 (0.30 - 1.89) Synergy index 0.35 (0.12 - 1.04)	P <0.001 P 0.9	1.0  Reference $3.78$ $3.57$ $0.76$ $4.37$ $2.27$ $4.37$ $2.27$ $4.37$ $2.27$ $4.37$ $2.27$ $4.37$ $2.27$ $4.37$ $2.27$ $4.37$ $2.27$ $4.37$ $2.27$ $4.37$ $2.27$ $4.37$ $2.27$ $4.37$ $2.27$ $4.37$ $2.27$ $4.37$ $3.7$ $3.7$ $3.7$ $3.7$ $4.37$ $3.7$ $3.7$ $4.37$ $3.7$ $3.7$ $3.7$ $4.37$ $3.7$ $3.7$ $4.37$ $3.7$ $3.7$ $3.7$ $3.7$ $4.37$ $3.7$ $3.7$ $4.37$ $3.7$ $3.7$ $3.7$ $3.7$ $4.37$ $3.7$ $3.7$ $3.7$ $4.37$ $3.7$ $3.7$ $3.7$ $3.7$ $4.37$ $3.7$
Plasma Low Plasma lycopene High Low	% DMA Low High Low High Low High Low High SMI	OR (95% CI) 1.00 1.62 (0.59 - 4.41) 3.78 (1.56 - 9.05)** 3.57 (1.47 - 8.68)** OR (95% CI) 1.00 1.22 (0.45 - 3.30) 4.37 (1.85 - 10.30)*** 2.27 (0.96 - 5.38)* OR (95% CI)	Synergy index 0.76 (0.30 - 1.89) Synergy index 0.35 (0.12 - 1.04) Synergy index	P <0.001 P 0.9 P	1.0 Reference 1.62 3.78 3.57 0.76 0   1   2   3   4   5   6   7   8   9   10   11   12   13 1.0 Reference 4.37 2.27 2.27 4.37 2.27 6   7   8   9   10   11   12   13 1.0 Reference
Plasma lycopene High Low Plasma lycopene High	% DMA Low High Low High High Low High SMI High	OR (95% CI) 1.00 1.62 (0.59 - 4.41) 3.78 (1.56 - 9.05)** 3.57 (1.47 - 8.68)** OR (95% CI) 1.00 1.22 (0.45 - 3.30) 4.37 (1.85 - 10.30)*** 2.27 (0.96 - 5.38)* OR (95% CI) 1.00	Synergy index 0.76 (0.30 - 1.89) Synergy index 0.35 (0.12 - 1.04) Synergy index 1.15 (0.33 - 4.0	P <0.001 P 0.9 P 0.9	1.0 Reference 1.62 3.78 3.57 0.76 0   1   2   3   4   5   6   7   8   9   10   11   12   13 1.0 Reference 4.37 2.27 0   1   2   3   4   5   6   7   8   9   10   11   12   13 1.0 Reference 4.37 2.27 0   1   2   3   4   5   6   7   8   9   10   11   12   13
Plasma lycopene High Low Plasma lycopene High	% DMA Low High Low High Low High Low High SMI High	OR (95% CI) 1.00 1.62 (0.59 - 4.41) 3.78 (1.56 - 9.05)** 3.57 (1.47 - 8.68)** OR (95% CI) 1.00 1.22 (0.45 - 3.30) 4.37 (1.85 - 10.30)*** 2.27 (0.96 - 5.38)* OR (95% CI) 1.03 (0.38 - 2.74) 2.70 (1.00 - 6.01)*	Synergy index 0.76 (0.30 - 1.89) Synergy index 0.35 (0.12 - 1.04) Synergy index 1.15 (0.33 - 4.0	P <0.001 P 0.9 P 0.9	1.0 Reference 1.62 3.78 3.57 0.76 1.62 3.57 0.76 1.2 3.4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 1.0 Reference 4.37 2.27 4.37 2.27 0 10 11 12 13 1.0 Reference 1.22 4.37 2.27 0 10 11 12 13 1.0 Reference 1.22 4.37 2.27 0 1.0 Reference 1.0 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 1.0 Reference 1.22 2.27 0 2.27 0 2.7 2.7 2.7 2.7 2.7 3 2.7 3 2.7 2.7
Plasma lycopene High Low Plasma lycopene High Low High Low	% DMA Low High Low High Low High Low High Low High Low High Low High Low High Low	OR (95% Cl) 1.00 1.62 (0.59 - 4.41) 3.78 (1.56 - 9.05)** 3.57 (1.47 - 8.68)** OR (95% Cl) 1.00 1.22 (0.45 - 3.30) 4.37 (1.85 - 10.30)*** 2.27 (0.96 - 5.38)* OR (95% Cl) 1.00 1.03 (0.38 - 2.74) 2.70 (1.09 - 6.69)* 2.99 (1.29 - 6.93)*	Synergy index 0.76 (0.30 - 1.89) Synergy index 0.35 (0.12 - 1.04) Synergy index 1.15 (0.33 - 4.0	P <0.001 P 0.9 P ) 0.2	1.0 Reference 3.78 3.57 0.76 0.76 1.0 Reference 4.37 2.27 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 1.0 Reference 4.37 2.27 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 1.0 Reference 4.37 2.27 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13
lycopene         High         Low         Plasma         lycopene         High         Low         Plasma         Low         Low         Low         Low         Low         Low         Low	% DMA Low High Low High Low High Low High Low High Low High Low High	OR (95% Cl) 1.00 1.62 (0.59 - 4.41) 3.78 (1.56 - 9.05)** 3.57 (1.47 - 8.68)** OR (95% Cl) 1.00 1.22 (0.45 - 3.30) 4.37 (1.85 - 10.30)*** 2.27 (0.96 - 5.38)* OR (95% Cl) 1.00 1.03 (0.38 - 2.74) 2.79 (1.09 - 6.69)* 2.99 (1.29 - 6.93)*	Synergy index 0.76 (0.30 - 1.89) Synergy index 0.35 (0.12 - 1.04) Synergy index 1.15 (0.33 - 4.0	P <0.001 P 0.9 P ) 0.2	1.0 Reference 3.78 3.57 0.76 0.76 1.62 3.78 3.57 0.76 0.76 1.2 4.37 2.27 0.1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 1.0 Reference 4.37 2.27 0.1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 1.0 Reference 4.37 2.27 0.1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 1.0 Reference 3.78 2.27 0.1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13
lycopene High Low Plasma lycopene High Low Plasma lycopene High Low	% DMA Low High Low High Low High Low High Low High Low High Low High	OR (95% Cl) 1.00 1.62 (0.59 - 4.41) 3.78 (1.56 - 9.05)** 3.57 (1.47 - 8.68)** OR (95% Cl) 1.00 1.22 (0.45 - 3.30) 4.37 (1.85 - 10.30)*** 2.27 (0.96 - 5.38)* OR (95% Cl) 1.00 1.03 (0.38 - 2.74) 2.70 (1.09 - 6.69)* 2.99 (1.29 - 6.93)*	Synergy index 0.76 (0.30 - 1.89) Synergy index 0.35 (0.12 - 1.04) Synergy index 1.15 (0.33 - 4.0	P <0.001 P 0.9 P ) 0.2	1.0 Reference 3.78 3.57 0.76 0.76 1.0 Reference 4.37 2.27 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 1.0 Reference 4.37 2.27 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 1.0 Reference 1.22 4.37 2.27 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13
lycopene         High         Low         Plasma         lycopene         High         Low	% DMA Low High Low High Low High Low High Low High Low High Low	OR (95% Cl) 1.00 1.62 (0.59 - 4.41) 3.78 (1.56 - 9.05)** 3.57 (1.47 - 8.68)** OR (95% Cl) 1.00 1.22 (0.45 - 3.30) 4.37 (1.85 - 10.30)*** 2.27 (0.96 - 5.38)* OR (95% Cl) 1.00 1.03 (0.38 - 2.74) 2.79 (1.09 - 6.69)* 2.99 (1.29 - 6.93)*	Synergy index 0.76 (0.30 - 1.89) Synergy index 0.35 (0.12 - 1.04) Synergy index 1.15 (0.33 - 4.0	P <0.001 P 0.9 P ) 0.2	1.0 Reference 3.78 3.57 0.76 0.76 0.76 1.0 Reference 4.37 2.27 0.1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 1.0 Reference 4.37 2.27 0.1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 1.0 Reference 1.0 Reference 1.0 Reference 1.03 2.7 2.99 1.15
lycopene         High         Low         Plasma         lycopene         High         Low         Plasma         Low         Low         Low         Low         Low         Low         Low         Low	% DMA Low High Low High Low High Low High Low High Low High Low	OR (95% Cl) 1.00 1.62 (0.59 - 4.41) 3.78 (1.56 - 9.05)** 3.57 (1.47 - 8.68)** OR (95% Cl) 1.00 1.22 (0.45 - 3.30) 4.37 (1.85 - 10.30)*** 2.27 (0.96 - 5.38)* OR (95% Cl) 1.00 1.03 (0.38 - 2.74) 2.79 (1.09 - 6.69)* 2.99 (1.29 - 6.93)*	Synergy index 0.76 (0.30 - 1.89) Synergy index 0.35 (0.12 - 1.04) Synergy index 1.15 (0.33 - 4.0	P <0.001 P 0.9 P ) 0.2	1.0  Reference $3.78$ $3.57$ $0.76$ $4.37$ $2.27$ $4.37$ $3.4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13$

**Figure 4.** Multiple logistical regression analysis of the combination of urinary total arsenic, arsenic species percentage, and plasma lycopene on chronic kidney disease. \* P < 0.05; \*\* P < 0.01; \*\*\* P < 0.001. The unit of total arsenic is  $\mu$ g/g creatinine. The relative proportion of each arsenic species (% inorganic As, % MMA and % DMA) was calculated by dividing the levels of each species by the total arsenic level. Abbreviations: PMI, primary methylation index; SMI, secondary methylation index. High is defined as a value greater than the median; low, as a value equal to the median or less. Odds ratios (ORs) based on analyses adjusted for age, sex, educational level, paternal and maternal ethnicity, cigarette smoking, coffee drinking, hypertension, and diabetes history. *P* represents statistical interaction as a departure from joint multiplicative effects.

was associated significantly with CKD risk. Whether the capacity of arsenic methylation is related to patients with CKD when they ingest low arsenic levels in drinking water needs further investigation.

Inorganic arsenic-induced oxidative damage results in chronic kidney pathological states involving ROS production, reduction/oxidationrelated gene expression, and cytotoxicity.<sup>38</sup> However, oxidative stress has been identified as an important mechanism in arsenic-induced decreased kidney function through accumulation of arsenic in kidney tissue; increased levels of serum urea nitrogen, creatinine, and lipid peroxidation end products; and reduced glutathione in a mouse model.<sup>39</sup> Our recent study showed that arsenic methylation species were associated with oxidative damage assessed by using urinary 8-hydroxy-2'-deoxyguanosine,<sup>40</sup> suggesting that arsenic metabolites are related to oxidative stress.

Antioxidants could be considered an alternative approach to mitigate arsenic-induced oxidative damage.<sup>41</sup> In our previous study, a significant inverse dose-response relationship was observed between arsenic-related ischemic heart disease and serum  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -carotene levels.<sup>42</sup> Our study also showed that serum  $\beta$ -carotene level was related negatively to arsenic-induced skin cancer.<sup>43</sup> In the present study, we found that participants with high plasma lycopene levels had a significantly decreased risk of CKD compared with patients with low plasma lycopene levels. Additionally, participants with low plasma lycopene levels were at greater risk of having CKD when they presented with at least 1 of high total arsenic level or low DMA<sup>V</sup> percentage. Although these data suggest that participants with low antioxidant capacity may not easily mitigate oxidative stress produced by arsenic metabolites and therefore may be at risk of CKD, these findings need additional study.

Our study had some important limitations that need to be considered when interpreting results. First, there is the possibility of selection bias because cases and controls were recruited from 2 different hospitals; however, bias was minimized because these hospitals both belonged to medical centers and were located in Taipei. Furthermore, the majority of cases and controls lived in Taipei and were similar in age and sex distribution (Table 1) with respect to demographic characteristics. Possible selection bias may have occurred because the recruited CKD cases more often had an elementary school education than controls. However, in a large-scale screening program, it has been reported that participants with a high level of education had lower CKD risk than those with a low level of education in Taiwan.<sup>2</sup> Second, the accuracy of a single spot evaluation of plasma antioxidants and urinary arsenic species may be in doubt. However, the values might be reliable because all participants had no change in lifestyle and appeared to maintain their homeostatic metabolism. Third, because of the small sample size, statistical significance should be interpreted with caution. Fourth, CKD cases were recruited in this study; however, we cannot exclude that the findings of an association between lycopene or arsenic and its various metabolites and CKD might be the result and not the cause of CKD.

In conclusion, this is the first study showing that high urinary total arsenic levels or low plasma lycopene levels are associated positively with CKD. Similarly, our data suggest that the capacity for arsenic methylation may be associated with CKD in individuals who also had low plasma lycopene levels when they ingested low arsenic levels in drinking water.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

*Support:* The study was supported by grants SKH-TMU-95-23, NSC- 96-2314-B038-003 and NSC 97-2314-B-038-015-MY3 from Shin Kong Wu Ho-Su Memorial Hospital in Taipei, Taiwan and National Science Council of the ROC, Taiwan.

Financial Disclosure: None.

#### REFERENCES

1. National Kidney Foundation: K/DOQI Clinical Practice Guidelines for Chronic Kidney Disease: Evaluation, classification, and stratification. Am J Kidney Dis 39:S1-S266, 2002 (suppl 1)

2. Wen CP, Cheng TY, Tsai MK, et al: All-cause mortality attributable to chronic kidney disease: A prospective cohort study based on 462 293 adults in Taiwan. Lancet 371:2173-2182, 2008

3. US Renal Data System: USRDS 2007 Annual Data Report. Available at http://www.usrds.org/. Accessed December 31, 2007

4. Haroun MK, Jaar BG, Hoffman SC, et al: Risk factors for chronic kidney disease: A prospective study of 23,534 men and women in Washington County, Maryland. J Am Soc Nephrol 14:2934-2941, 2003

5. Higashikuni Y, Ishizaka N, Ishizaka Y, et al: Relationship between blood pressure and chronic kidney disease in the Japanese population: The lower the better even in individuals without hypertension? Hypertens Res 31:213-219, 2008

6. Chen CJ, Kuo TL, Wu MM: Arsenic and cancers. Lancet 1:414-415, 1988

7. Pu YS, Yang SM, Huang YK, et al: Urinary arsenic profile affects the risk of urothelial carcinoma even at low arsenic exposure. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 218:99-106, 2007

8. Hong F, Jin T, Zhang A: Risk assessment on renal dysfunction caused by co-exposure to arsenic and cadmium using benchmark dose calculation in a Chinese population. Biometals 17:573-580, 2004

9. Meliker JR, Wahl RL, Cameron LL, et al: Arsenic in drinking water and cerebrovascular disease, diabetes mellitus, and kidney disease in Michigan: A standardized mortality ratio analysis. Environ Health 6:4, 2007

10. Thompson DJ: A chemical hypothesis for arsenic methylation in mammals. Chem Biol Interact 88:89-114, 1993

11. Aposhian HV, Zakharyan RA, Avram MD, et al: A review of the enzymology of arsenic metabolism and a new potential role of hydrogen peroxide in the detoxication of the trivalent arsenic species. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 198:327-335, 2004

12. Aposhian HV, Aposhian MM: Arsenic toxicology: Five questions. Chem Res Toxicol 19:1-15, 2006

13. De CS, Ghosh P, Sarma N, et al: Genetic variants associated with arsenic susceptibility: Study of purine nucleoside phosphorylase, arsenic (+3) methyltransferase, and glutathione *S*-transferase omega genes. Environ Health Perspect 116:501-505, 2008

14. Kitchin KT: Recent advances in arsenic carcinogenesis: Modes of action, animal model systems, and methylated arsenic metabolites. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 172:249-261, 2001

15. Schmuck EM, Board PG, Whitbread AK, et al: Characterization of the monomethylarsonate reductase and dehydroascorbate reductase activities of omega class glutathione transferase variants: Implications for arsenic metabolism and the age-at-onset of Alzheimer's and Parkinson's diseases. Pharmacogenet Genomics 15:493-501, 2005

16. Scibior A, Zaporowska H: Effects of vanadium(V) and/or chromium(III) on L-ascorbic acid and glutathione as well as iron, zinc, and copper levels in rat liver and kidney. J Toxicol Environ Health A 70:696-704, 2007

17. Nesnow S, Roop BC, Lambert G, et al: DNA damage induced by methylated trivalent arsenicals is mediated by reactive oxygen species. Chem Res Toxicol 15:1627-1634, 2002

18. Stahl W, Sies H: Antioxidant activity of carotenoids. Mol Aspects Med 24:345-351, 2003

19. Augusti PR, Conterato GM, Somacal S, et al: Effect of lycopene on nephrotoxicity induced by mercuric chloride in rats. Basic Clin Pharmacol Toxicol 100:398-402, 2007

20. Atessahin A, Ceribasi AO, Yilmaz S: Lycopene, a carotenoid, attenuates cyclosporine-induced renal dysfunction and oxidative stress in rats. Basic Clin Pharmacol Toxicol 100:372-376, 2007

21. Chen YC, Amarasiriwardena CJ, Hsueh YM, et al: Stability of arsenic species and insoluble arsenic in human urine. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 11:1427-1433, 2002

22. Hsueh YM, Huang YL, Huang CC, et al: Urinary levels of inorganic and organic arsenic metabolites among residents in an arseniasis-hyperendemic area in Taiwan. J Toxicol Environ Health A 54:431-444, 1998

23. Tseng CH, Huang YK, Huang YL, et al: Arsenic exposure, urinary arsenic speciation, and peripheral vascular disease in blackfoot disease-hyperendemic villages in Taiwan. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 206:299-308, 2005

24. Miller KW, Lorr NA, Yang CS: Simultaneous determination of plasma retinol, alpha-tocopherol, lycopene, alpha-carotene, and beta-carotene by high-performance liquid chromatography. Anal Biochem 138:340-345, 1984

25. Rothman KJ: Modern Epidemiology. Boston, MA, Little Brown, 1986

26. Hosmer DW, Lemeshow S: Confidence interval estimation of interaction. Epidemiology 3:452-456, 1992

27. Ratnaike RN: Acute and chronic arsenic toxicity. Postgrad Med J 79:391-396, 2003

28. Vanholder R, Cornelis R, Dhondt A, et al: The role of trace elements in uraemic toxicity. Nephrol Dial Transplant 17:S2-S8, 2002 (suppl 2)

29. Lewis DR, Southwick JW, Ouellet-Hellstrom R, et al: Drinking water arsenic in Utah: A cohort mortality study. Environ Health Perspect 107:359-365, 1999

30. Tsukamoto H, Parker HR, Gribble DH, et al: Nephrotoxicity of sodium arsenate in dogs. Am J Vet Res 44:2324-2330, 1983

31. Prasad GV, Rossi NF: Arsenic intoxication associated with tubulointerstitial nephritis. Am J Kidney Dis 26:373-376, 1995

32. Fowler BA: Mechanisms of kidney cell injury from metals. Environ Health Perspect 100:57-63, 1993

33. Le XC, Ma M, Cullen WR, et al: Determination of monomethylarsonous acid, a key arsenic methylation intermediate, in human urine. Environ Health Perspect 108:1015-1018, 2000

34. Mandal BK, Ogra Y, Suzuki KT: Identification of dimethylarsinous and monomethylarsonous acids in human urine of the arsenic-affected areas in West Bengal, India. Chem Res Toxicol 14:371-378, 2001

35. Mass MJ, Tennant A, Roop BC, et al: Methylated trivalent arsenic species are genotoxic. Chem Res Toxicol 14:355-361, 2001

36. Petrick JS, Ayala-Fierro F, Cullen WR, et al: Monomethylarsonous acid (MMA(III)) is more toxic than arsenite in Chang human hepatocytes. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 163: 203-207, 2000

37. Zhang X, Cornelis R, De KJ, et al: Accumulation of arsenic species in serum of patients with chronic renal disease. Clin Chem 42:1231-1237, 1996

38. Sasaki A, Oshima Y, Fujimura A: An approach to elucidate potential mechanism of renal toxicity of arsenic trioxide. Exp Hematol 35:252-262, 2007

39. Sinha M, Manna P, Sil PC: Arjunolic acid attenuates arsenic-induced nephrotoxicity. Pathophysiology 15:147-156, 2008

40. Chung CJ, Huang CJ, Pu YS, et al: Urinary 8-hydroxydeoxyguanosine and urothelial carcinoma risk in low arsenic exposure area. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 226:14-21, 2008

41. Bongiovanni GA, Soria EA, Eynard AR: Effects of the plant flavonoids silymarin and quercetin on arseniteinduced oxidative stress in CHO-K1 cells. Food Chem Toxicol 45:971-976, 2007

42. Hsueh YM, Wu WL, Huang YL, et al: Low serum

carotene level and increased risk of ischemic heart disease related to long-term arsenic exposure. Atherosclerosis 141: 249-257, 1998

43. Hsueh YM, Chiou HY, Huang YL, et al: Serum beta-carotene level, arsenic methylation capability, and incidence of skin cancer. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 6:589-596, 1997