



Production and diagnostic application of a purified, E. coli-expressed, serological specific chicken anemia virus antigen VP3

Journal:	<i>Transboundary and Emerging Diseases</i>
Manuscript ID:	TBED-OA-096-10.R1
Manuscript Type:	Original Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	26-Nov-2010
Complete List of Authors:	<p>Lee, Meng-Shiou; China Medical University, School of Chinese Medicine Resources</p> <p>Chou, Yu-Mei; China Medical University, School of Chinese Medicine Resources; China Medical University, School of Chinese Medicine Resources</p> <p>Lien, Yi-Yang; National Pingtung University of Science and Technology, Dept. of Veterinary Medicine</p> <p>Lin, Ming-Kuem; China Medical University, School of Chinese Medicine Resources; China Medical University, School of Chinese Medicine Resources</p> <p>Chang, Wen-Te; China Medical University, School of Chinese Medicine Resources</p> <p>Lee, Hong-Zin; China Medical University, School of Pharmacy Undergraduate Program, Master Degree Program, Ph.D Program, Graduate Institute of Drug Safety</p> <p>Lee, Meng-Shiunn; Tung's Taichung MetroHarbor Hospital, Department of Medical Research</p> <p>Lai, Guan-Hua; China Medical University, School of Chinese Medicine Resources</p> <p>Chen, Hsi-Jien; MingChi University of Technology, Dept. of Safety, Health and Environmental Engineering</p> <p>Huang, Chi-Hung; Hung kuang University, Graduate school of Biotechnology</p> <p>Lin, Wen-Hsin; China Medical University, School of Pharmacy Undergraduate Program, Master Degree Program, Ph.D Program, Graduate Institute of Drug Safety</p>
Subject Area:	Diagnostics, Virus, Assay

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

For Peer Review Only

1 Production and diagnostic application of a purified, *E. coli*-expressed,
2 serological specific chicken anemia virus antigen VP3

3 Meng-Shiou Lee^{1*}, Yu-Mei Chou¹, Yi-Yang Lien², Ming-Kuem Lin¹, Wen-Te Chang¹,
4 Hong-Zin Lee³, Meng-Shiunn Lee⁴, Guan-Hua Lai¹, Hsi-Jien Chen⁵, Chi-Hung
5 Huang^{6 Ψ *}, Wen-Hsin Lin^{3 Ψ *}

6 ¹School of Chinese Medicine Resources, China Medical University, Taichung, Taiwan;

7 ²Dept. of Veterinary Medicine, National Pingtung University of Science and

8 Technology, Pingtung, Taiwan; ³China Medical University, School of Pharmacy

9 Undergraduate Program, Master Degree Program, Ph.D Program, Graduate Institute

10 of Drug Safety, Taichung, Taiwan; ⁴Department of Medical Research, Tung's

11 Taichung Metro Harbor Hospital, Taichung, Taiwan; ⁵Dept. of Safety, Health and

12 Environmental Engineering, Ming Chi University of Technology, Taipei, Taiwan;

13 ⁶Graduate school of Biotechnology, Hung Kuang University, Taichung, Taiwan.

14
15 * **Correspondence:** Meng-Shiou Lee, School of Chinese Medicine Resources,
16 China Medical University, Taichung 40402, Taiwan.

17 E-mail: leemengshiou@mail.cmu.edu.tw; Telephone: +886-4-2205-3366#5208; Fax:
18 +886-4-22078083.

19 Ψ * **Co-correspondence:** Dr. Chi-Hung Huang. and Dr. Wen-Hsin Lin

20 Dr. Chi-Hung Huang, Graduate school of Biotechnology, Hung kuang University, 34
21 Chung-Chie Rd, Sha Lu, Taichung, Taiwan.

1 E-mail: huangchihung@gmail.com; Telephone: +886-4-2284-0468#222; Fax:
2 +886-4-22853487.

3 Dr. Wen-Hsin Lin, China Medical University, School of Pharmacy Undergraduate
4 Program, Master Degree Program, Ph.D Program, Taichung, Taiwan.

5 E-mail: wslin@mail.cmu.edu.tw; Telephone: +886-4-2205-3366#5111; Fax:
6 +886-4-22078083.

7

8

9 **Summary**

10 The aim of this study was to evaluate the production of chicken anemia virus VP3
11 protein in different *E. coli* strains and to address the diagnostic application of purified
12 *E. coli* expressed VP3 protein for the detection of CAV infection and the development
13 of an ELISA kit. Three *E. coli* strains, BL21, BL21 codonplus RP and BL21 pLysS,
14 each harboring a VP3 protein expressing plasmid, were investigated after induction to
15 produce recombinant VP3 protein. After IPTG induction, VP3 protein was
16 successfully expressed in all three *E. coli* strains. The BL21 pLysS strain gave the
17 best performance in terms of protein productivity and growth profile. In addition, the
18 optimal culture temperature and IPTG concentration were found to be 0.25 mM and
19 20°C, respectively. Using Ni-NTA-purified VP3 protein as an ELISA coating antigen,
20 the purified VP3 was shown to be highly antigenic and able to discriminate sera from
21 chickens infected with CAV from those that were uninfected during an evaluation of
22 CAV infection serodiagnosis. A VP3-based ELISA demonstrated 100% (6/6 × 100%)
23 specificity and sensitivities of 91.3% (21/23 × 100%) and 82.6% (19/23 × 100%)
24 using cut-off values of the mean plus 2 S.D. and the mean plus 3 S.D., respectively.

25 **Keywords:** chicken anemia virus, VP3, recombinant protein, production, diagnosis

26

1 Introduction

2 Chicken anemia virus (CAV) is an important veterinary pathogen worldwide that
3 infects young chickens. This virus was first isolated in Japan and has been identified
4 as the major chicken anemia agent responsible for the induction of various clinical
5 disease including anemia, aplasia of bone marrow and atrophy of thymus (Adair,
6 2000). The virus belongs to the genus Gyrovirus of the family Circoviridae and
7 contains a circularized negative sense single-stranded DNA genome of about 2.3 kb,
8 which consists of three open reading frames (ORFs) (Claessens et al., 1991; Kamada
9 et al., 2006; Koch et al., 1995). The three ORFs respectively encode VP1 (51 kDa),
10 VP2 (28 kDa) and VP3 (13 kDa), which partially overlap on the CAV genome.
11 During CAV infection, the accumulation of VP2 and VP3 in host cell has been
12 reported to occur within 12 hours post infection, while VP1 is detected only after 24
13 hours (Lucio et al., 1990). Epidemiological studies have shown that almost all
14 new-born chicks are susceptible to CAV infection (Deng et al., 2009). Generally,
15 young chicks less than two weeks old are very susceptible to CAV infection through
16 the vertical transmission. In Taiwan, a mortality rate as high as 55% and a morbidity
17 rate of 80% have been reported when chicks are infected with CAV (Hsu et al., 2002).
18 Therefore, the monitoring of CAV infection is a critical step when controlling CAV
19 infection.

20 At present, there are several conventional methods that can be used to
21 diagnose the CAV pathogen (McNulty et al., 1989 & 1990; Todd et al., 1991 & 1992;
22 Noteborn et al., 1991 & 1998; Brentano et al., 2005; Iwata et al., 1998).
23 Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) is a popular assay for detecting CAV
24 infection and screens for CAV-specific antibodies in the sera of the chickens
25 (McNulty et al., 1990; Noteborn et al., 1991; Iwata et al., 1998). However, the
26 propagation of CAV in cell culture or chicks is not convenient, is time-consuming and

1
2
3
4 1 has a low yield. Moreover, virion purification is also a tedious and cost-ineffective
5
6 2 process. Therefore, DNA recombinant technology has been chosen as a better way to
7
8 3 produce CAV viral protein for use as an ELISA antigen. Previously several expression
9
10 4 systems, including *E. coli*, baculovirus-insect cells and plant cells, have been
11
12 5 exploited to express CAV viral proteins (Noteborn et al., 1991; Iwata et al., 1998;
13
14 6 Lacorte et al., 2007; Wang et al., 2007; Pallister et al., 1994; Lee et al., 2009). Among
15
16 7 these, the *E. coli* expression system is easier to operate and more economic to apply
17
18 8 for viral protein production. In terms of cytotoxicity, it is still difficult to express
19
20 9 full-length VP1 protein in *E. coli* because this protein is rich in highly charged amino
21
22 10 acids (Pallister et al., 1994; Lee et al., 2009). Therefore, VP2 and VP3 protein
23
24 11 expression in *E. coli* has been employed in a number of previous studies. Among
25
26 12 these two non-structural proteins, the lower molecular weight of VP3 at 13 kDa
27
28 13 makes it more suitable for expression in *E. coli* than the VP2 protein at 26 kDa
29
30 14 (Lacorte et al., 2007; Pallister et al., 1994; Nogueira-Dantas et al., 2007). However,
31
32 15 recombinant VP3 protein has rarely been explored for protein production in *E. coli*
33
34 16 and neither has this protein been exploited to any great extent for use in ELISA
35
36 17 systems that examine chicken sera.

37
38
39
40
41
42
43 18 In this study, we examine a number of different recombinant *E. coli* strains
44
45 19 harboring a CAV VP3 protein expression cassette that can be used to produce
46
47 20 recombinant VP3 protein. This was done with the aim of systematically assessing
48
49 21 protein productivity under various operational parameters and treatments. Moreover,
50
51 22 the purified *E. coli*-expressed VP3 was then used as coating antigen in an ELISA
52
53 23 assay and gave good performance in terms of antigenicity and specificity when
54
55 24 detecting VP3 antibodies.

56
57
58
59
60 25

1 **Materials and methods**

2 **Construction of VP3 expression vectors**

3 The VP3 cDNA was amplified from our previous plasmid pGEX-1-6P-VP2 by PCR
4 (Huang et al., 2010). Standard recombinant DNA methods were used and followed the
5 approaches used in previous studies for plasmid construction (Sambrook et al., 1989).
6 To introduce an *Nde* I and *Xho* I cleavage site into the 5' and the 3' end of the CAV
7 VP3 gene, respectively, one specifically designed PCR primer set, CH103 (5'-
8 ggaattccatgaacgctctccaagaag-3') and CH54 (5'- ccgctcgagcagctctatacaccttcttg-3')
9 was used to perform PCR using the plasmid pGEX-6P-1-VP2 as template DNA. The
10 resultant PCR product was cloned into plasmid pVI127 (modified from pET21b by
11 adding the TAT sequences from HIV at the 5' terminus of the multi-cloning site and
12 introducing His-tags at the 5' and 3' ends of the multi-cloning site. The resultant
13 construct, pVI127-VP3, was analyzed by restriction enzyme digestion and sequencing
14 before it was used for further protein expression.

16 **VP3 protein expression and purification**

17 Three recombinant *E. coli* strains harboring the VI127-VP3 plasmid were used to
18 express VP3 protein under induction by 1 mM isopropyl- β -D-thiogalactoside (IPTG)
19 for 4 hours. The recombinant strains were cultured in LB medium and the culture
20 condition used were as described in previous studies (Lee at al., 2009). For
21 purification of the recombinant VP3 protein under denaturing conditions, the detailed
22 procedures and the buffer solutions were the same as described in our previously work
23 (Lee at al., 2009). The VP3 proteins were analyzed by 15% SDS-PAGE and
24 visualized by staining with Coomassie blue.

26 **Quantification of the *E. coli*-expressed VP3 proteins**

1
2
3
4 1 The *E. coli* cell pellets expressing VP3 protein were collected by centrifugation at
5
6 2 12000xg for 10 mins and dissolved in PBS. The dissolved volume was determined
7
8 3 according to the OD₂₈₀. After disrupting the cells by sonication using a 20% pulsed
9
10 4 activity cycle (Vibra cell, Sonics & Materials, Inc.), the soluble protein extract and
11
12 5 insoluble protein pellet was separated by centrifugation at 20000xg for 30 mins. Both
13
14 6 soluble and insoluble protein were resolved on 15% SDS-PAGE, and then transferred
15
16 7 to PVDF membrane. Western blot analysis was performed using an antibody targeting
17
18 8 the His-tags. The banded protein intensity was analyzed by ImageQuant TL software
19
20 9 (GE Healthcare) using purified VP3 as standard for protein content calibration. The
21
22 10 concentration of recombinant VP3 proteins was measured by BCA protein assay (Lee
23
24 11 at al., 2009).
25
26
27
28
29
30
31

32 **Recombinant VP3 protein based enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay**

33
34 14 ELISA plates (Nunc) were coated with 100µl volume of 10µg/ml purified
35
36 15 recombinant VP3 protein in coating buffer (0.35 mM NaHCO₃, 0.15 mM Na₂CO₃,
37
38 16 0.1% NaN₃, pH 9.6). After coating at 4°C for 16 h, blocking was carried out with
39
40 17 200µl of blocking buffer (5% skim milk in PBS) at 37°C for 1 h. Each well was then
41
42 18 washed thoroughly with PBS containing 0.1% Tween-20 (PBST) three times. After
43
44 19 washing, each well received 100 µl of 1000x diluted serum samples in PBS and the
45
46 20 plates were incubated at 37°C for 1 h. Subsequently, the plates were washed with
47
48 21 PBST five times, each for 5 min; the plates were then treated with horseradish
49
50 22 peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated rabbit anti-chicken IgG (Jackson) diluted 1: 4000 in
51
52 23 PBS at 37°C for 45 min. Following three similar washes, 100 µl of freshly prepared
53
54 24 chromogen/substrate solution (ABTS single solution, Zymed) was added into each
55
56 25 well and the plate was incubated at room temperature for 20 min. The optical density
57
58 26 of each well was read at 405 nm using a microplate reader (Thermo). Each sample
59
60

1 was repeated three times.

2

3 **Matrix-Assisted Laser Desorption Ionization Mass Spectrometric (MALDI-MS)**

4 Protein gel bands were extracted for tryptic mapping by MALDI-MS in a similar
5 manner to our previous study (Lee et al., 2009). Individual protein bands were
6 respectively excised, destained, washed, and digested with modified trypsin (Nnheim);
7 the resulting peptides were extracted with acetonitrile. After vacuum drying, each
8 sample was redissolved in 10µl reaction buffer containing 1% trifluoroacetic acid and
9 50% acetonitrile. A portion (0.5 ml) of this sample solution was loaded into the
10 MALDI-MS sample plate together with 0.5 ml of matrix solution (2,
11 5-dihydroxybenzoic acid). MALDI-MS measurements were obtained using a delayed
12 extraction time-of-flight mass spectrometer (Voyager DE PRO, Applied Biosystems)
13 operated in reflector mode.

15 **Results**

16 **Construction of the expression plasmid**

17 In the CAV DNA genome, the three open reading frames (ORFs) partially overlap
18 (Claessens et al., 1991; Kamada et al., 2006; Koch et al., 1995). As ORF1 within the
19 VP2 gene, the full-length VP3 gene, which consists of 323 nucleotides, is present in
20 this ORF as illustrated in Fig. 1A. To express the VP3 protein of CAV, the VP3 cDNA
21 was created by PCR using the VP2 cDNA of CAV as the template DNA. By PCR with
22 the specifically designed primers, the VP3 gene was amplified and cloned into
23 pVII127 using the *NdeI* and *XhoI* restriction sites, which created a protein with
24 in-frame His-Tags. The resultant construction, pVII127-VP3, is shown in Fig. 1B. This
25 plasmid was then transformed into the various *E. coli* strains for further testing of

1
2
3
4 1 protein expression.
5
6

7 2

8
9 3 **Expression and production of VP3 protein using different recombinant *E. coli***

10 4 **strains**

11
12 To examine the expression of VP3 protein in *E. coli*, three *E. coli* strains, BL21,
13 BL21 codonplus RP and BL21 pLysS, each harboring the pVI127-VP3 plasmid, were
14 induced with 1 mM IPTG at 37°C for 4 hours. As illustrated in Fig. 2B, the protein
15 expression patterns of the bacterial total extract of the three *E. coli* strains were
16 examined by SDS-PAGE and Western-blot assay. The results demonstrated that the
17 different *E. coli* strains used are able to successfully express the recombinant VP3
18 under IPTG induction. The total expressed VP3 protein, including soluble and
19 insoluble portions, of BL21 pLysS was found to be greater than that produced by
20 BL21 or BL21 codonplus RP (Fig. 2C). In terms of soluble protein, the VP3 protein
21 productions of the three different strains were almost the same (0.037, 0.038, 0.055
22 mg/mL by BL21, BL21 codonplus RP and BL21 pLysS , respectively). In contrast, in
23 terms of insoluble VP3 protein, the protein productivity of the BL21 pLysS strain was
24 found to be much higher (0.37 mg/mL) than the BL21 (0.01 mg/mL) or codonplus RP
25 strains (0.01 mg/mL), respectively. In terms of the growth profiles of the *E. coli*
26 strains, there were significant differences in the effect of IPTG on the growth of three
27 *E. coli* strains in terms of the OD value at 600 nm (OD₆₀₀) (Fig 2A). The OD₆₀₀
28 growth of BL21 pLysS was higher than that of either BL21 or BL21 codonplus RP
29 (Fig. 2A).
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

24 **Effect of IPTG concentration on the production of VP3 protein in *E. coli***

25 To examine the effect of IPTG concentration on protein productivity, various
26 concentrations of IPTG were used for induction. Increasing the concentration of IPTG

1 (from 0.05 to 0.25 mM) with *E.coli* BL21 pLysS obviously improved the production
2 of insoluble VP3 protein from 0.27 to 0.86 mg/mL (Fig. 3A, 3B). However, when a
3 level higher than 0.25 mM IPTG was used, the highest productivity reached was only
4 about 0.5mg/mL. Therefore there was no significant improvement in protein
5 productivity at these high levels of IPTG. In contrast to the situation in terms of
6 insoluble VP3 protein, increasing the concentration of IPTG (from 0.05 to 1 mM) had
7 no effect on the productivity of soluble VP3 protein with *E.coli* BL21 pLysS. Among
8 the above, therefore, 0.25 mM IPTG was determined to be the optimal concentration
9 for VP3 protein production using *E.coli* BL21 pLysS strain.

11 **Effect of temperature on the production of VP3 protein in *E. coli***

12 To evaluate the effect of temperature on production of VP3 protein, the recombinant
13 BL21 pLysS strain was used. Figure 4A shows the various levels of productivity for
14 soluble and insoluble VP3 protein at three different temperatures, 20°C, 30°C and
15 40°C. Under IPTG induction, both insoluble and soluble VP3 increased with
16 declining temperature. Moreover, there were significantly differences in the growth
17 profiles of the *E. coli* strains across three different temperatures in terms of OD value
18 at 600 nm (OD₆₀₀), which are illustrated in Fig. 4C. The growth (OD₆₀₀) of *E. coli*
19 BL21 pLysS at 30°C was higher than at 20°C or 40°C at 4 hrs post-induction. After 8
20 hr post-induction, it was no obviously difference in OD₆₀₀ over the three
21 temperatures.

23 **Purification, characterization and antigenicity of *E. coli*-expressed VP3 protein**

24 To purify the *E. coli*-expressed VP3 protein, Ni-NTA affinity resin was used.
25 After affinity chromatography purification, the presence of purified denatured VP3
26 protein was confirmed (Fig. 5A). The purity of the VP3 protein was close to

1
2
3
4 1 homogeneity in the elution fraction, as shown by SDS-PAGE (Fig 5A). In addition,
5
6 2 the His-tag fused VP3 protein was recognized by anti-His-tag antibody using
7
8 3 Western-blot analysis (Fig. 5A). This confirms that the purification of His-tag fused
9
10 4 VP3 protein is feasible by Ni-NTA resin. Moreover, after examining the purified VP3
11
12 5 protein by mass spectrometry, six peptides from VP3 were identified from the trypsin
13
14 6 digest. These demonstrated a good alignment with a high score. The longest peptide
15
16 7 fragment, RSCDPSEYRVSELKENLITTTSPSRPR, consisted of 26 amino acid
17
18 8 residues (Fig. 5B). The identity of this peptide sequence completely matched that of
19
20 9 CAV VP3 protein. All together the MS results provided 52% (64/121 amino acids)
21
22 10 exact match coverage of the previous reported amino acid sequences of VP3
23
24 11 (Genbank Accession No. M55918.1 for VP3). Therefore, we concluded that the *E.*
25
26 12 *coli* expressed VP3 protein had indeed originated from CAV. In addition, the purified
27
28 13 protein also reacted with CAV positive serum specifically (Fig. 5A) and there was no
29
30 14 cross reaction with CAV negative serum (data not shown). Taken together these
31
32 15 results demonstrated that the purified *E. coli*-expressed VP3 protein will be able to act
33
34 16 as a potential antigen candidate with high antigenicity when used for the development
35
36 17 of a detection kit for CAV infection.
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45

19 **Application of VP3 protein based ELISA for diagnosis of CAV infection**

20 To apply the *E. coli*-expressed VP3 as coating antigen for the diagnosis of CAV
21 infection, an CAV VP3-based indirect ELISA was set up to evaluate the possibilities
22 in terms of detection kit development. As shown as in Fig. 6, five CAV-negative and
23 23 CAV-positive specific chicken sera, which were collected from an experimental
24 farm, showed different levels of reactivity against the VP3 protein as measured by the
25 OD value at 405 nm (OD₄₀₅). Purified VP3 protein demonstrated poor reactivity

1
2
3
4 1 against CAV-negative sera. In contrast, purified VP3 protein demonstrated a high
5
6 2 antigenicity and there was a significant difference in the OD values between the CAV
7
8 3 negative and positive sera ($p < 0.01$). This indicated the VP3 protein is highly antigenic
9
10 4 and can discriminate chicken sera that have been CAV infected from those that have
11
12 5 not been infected. Additionally, the individual OD₄₀₅ values of the six CAV-negative
13
14 6 chicken sera against VP3, as shown in Table 1, were averaged to define a positive
15
16 7 threshold. The OD₄₀₅ values obtained from the CAV-positive sera were all higher than
17
18 8 this cut-off value. In addition, when the positive/negative cut-off values were
19
20 9 determined as the mean plus 2 standard deviations (mean + 2 S.D.) or plus 3 standard
21
22 10 deviations (mean + 3 S.D.), all VP3-based ELISAs demonstrated 100% (6/6 × 100%)
23
24 11 specificity. The sensitivities of the VP3-based ELISA were 91.3% (21/23 × 100%)
25
26 12 and 82.6% (19/23 × 100%) when the cut-off values were set at mean plus 2 S.D. and
27
28 13 plus 3 S.D., respectively.
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39

15 Discussion

16 The VP3 protein of CAV was successfully produced by the three *E. coli* strains
17 used in this study. The production of VP3 protein has been reported for various
18 eukaryotic and prokaryotic systems (Noteborn et al., 1998; Iwata et al., 1998;
19 Nogueira-Dantas et al., 2007) and the reason for this is that the VP3 protein has
20 important serodiagnostic applications. The cost of antigen production is related to the
21 source of the antigen. Using recombinant antigen for serodiagnosis has several
22 advantages, such as lower costs, ease of production and the fact that the antigenicity
23 of recombinant proteins is simpler to characterize. Indeed, the final factor is a critical
24 consideration when developing a diagnostic kit to detect a pathogenic infection. The
25 prokaryotic expressing system would seem to be more suitable as an expression

1
2
3
4 1 system for the production of CAV VP3 protein than plant or insect cell-baculovirus
5
6 2 system (Lacorte et al., 2007; Noteborn et al., 1998; Iwata et al., 1998). A previous
7
8 3 study showed the VP3 of CAV, when expressed in *E. coli*, is able to produce
9
10 4 200µg/100 ml of protein (Nogueira-Dantas et al., 2007). Nonetheless, up to the
11
12 5 present, how to obtain the optimal productivity of VP3 in any of the above systems
13
14 6 has not been addressed. To the best of our knowledge, this is first report to evaluate
15
16 7 the production of VP3 protein in different *E. coli* strains and to determine the optimal
17
18 8 parameters for culturing these three *E. coli* strains to produce VP3. In this study, the
19
20 9 three different recombinant *E. coli* strains used for protein production were BL21,
21
22 10 BL21 codonplus RP and BL21 pLysS, each harboring pVI127-VP3. BL21 pLysS was
23
24 11 found to give the best performance in terms of both protein productivity and growth
25
26 12 profile (Fig 2A, 2B and 2C). The VP3 protein of CAV is a nuclear protein with DNA
27
28 13 binding activity (Tavassoli et al., 2005). Previous studies have been demonstrated that
29
30 14 expression of a DNA binding protein might be harmful to the growth of the host cell
31
32 15 (Pallister et al., 1994; Saïda et al., 2007; Miller et al., 1989). In addition to this
33
34 16 potential problem, expression of VP3 protein at high levels may result in a metabolic
35
36 17 burden on the host that could influence maximal growth rate or protein productivity,
37
38 18 especially this may be true for the BL21 and BL21 codonplus RP strains (Pallister et
39
40 19 al., 1994; et al., Saïda et al., 2006; Miller et al., 1989). In addition to this potential
41
42 20 problem, expression of VP3 protein at high levels may result in a metabolic burden on
43
44 21 the host that could influence maximal growth rate or protein productivity, especially
45
46 22 this may be true for the BL21 and BL21 codonplus RP strains (Kurland et al., 1996).
47
48 23 The present study demonstrates that, notwithstanding the above potential problems,
49
50 24 BL21 pLysS strain has good potential when used to produce large amounts of
51
52 25 recombinant VP3. This is possibly because growth rate and protein productivity
53
54 26 characteristics of this strain are more suitable than those of the other strains.

1
2
3
4 1 Because of the cost of inducer, contamination of the end-product and the
5
6 2 toxicity of the inducer have been suggested as problems that may limit its use in terms
7
8 3 of protein production, the quality of the final product and an efficient recovery
9
10 4 process (Figge et al., 1988; Baneyx et al., 1999). Under IPTG induction, both
11
12 5 insoluble and soluble VP3 protein production by BL21 pLysS increased with
13
14 6 declining temperature. Combining the results of protein productivity and growth rate,
15
16 7 a culture temperature between 20°C and 30°C would seem to be optimal for VP3
17
18 8 protein production (Fig. 4B, 4C). Using the optimized conditions for VP3 production
19
20 9 in terms of different bacterial strains, temperature and induction conditions, the
21
22 10 productivity of VP3 was estimated to be 1.99mg/mL approximately (data not shown)
23
24 11 for BL21 pLysS, which is a much higher productivity than published in previous
25
26 12 studies (Nogueira-Dantas et al., 2007).

27
28
29
30
31
32 13 Importantly, the purified *E.coli* expressed VP3 protein possesses discriminating
33
34 14 immunorelevant epitopes and has high sensitivity when reacting with CAV specific
35
36 15 antibodies. This suggested that VP3 protein described here has the potential to
37
38 16 become a valuable candidate as an ELISA coating antigen for developing CAV
39
40 17 serodiagnostic kits. In addition to using the full length VP3 protein for such kits,
41
42 18 antigenic domains screening of the VP3 protein in the future will be helpful in
43
44 19 improving the sensitivity of the indirect ELISA.

45
46
47
48 20 In summary, these results provide useful information that will help the
49
50 21 large-scale production of recombinant VP3 protein for routine serodiagnosis, for
51
52 22 epidemiological investigations of CAV infection and will help with assessing the
53
54 23 immunization efficacy after vaccination of farm-bred young chickens.

55
56
57
58
59
60 24
25 **Acknowledgements**

1 This work was supported by the grant from the National Science Council (NSC
2 95-2313-B-039-004-, NSC96-2313-B-276-001-MY3) and China Medical University
3 (CMU-97-273) of Taiwan, Republic of China.

5 **References**

6 Adair, B. M., 2000: Immunopathogenesis of chicken anemia virus infection. *Dev.*

7 *Comp. Immunol.* 24, 247–255.

8 Baneyx, F., 1999: Recombinant protein expression in *Escherichia coli*. *Curr. Opin.*

9 *Biotechnol.* 10, 411–421.

10 Brentano, L., S. Lazzarin, S. S. Bassi, T. A. P. Klein and K. A. Schat, 2005: Detection
11 of chicken anemia virus in the gonads and in the progeny of broiler hens with high
12 neutralizing antibody titers. *Vet. Microbiol.* 105, 65-72.

13 Claessens, J. A., C. C. Schrier, A. P. Mockett, E. H. Jagt and P. J. Sondermeijer, 1991:

14 Molecular cloning and sequence analysis of the genome of chicken anaemia agent.

15 *J. Gen. Virol.* 72, 2003–2006.

16 Deng, M., F. Li, B. A. Ballif, S. Li, X. Chen, L. Guo and X. Ye, 2009: Identification

17 and functional analysis of a novel cyclin e/cdk2 substrate ankrd17. *J. Biol. Chem.*

18 284, 7875-88.

19 Figge, J., C. Wright, C. J. Collins, T. M. Roberts and D. M. Livingston, 1988:

- 1 Stringent regulation of stably integrated chloramphenicol acetyl transferase
2 genes by *E. coli lac* repressor in monkey cells. *Cell* 52, 713–722.
- 3 Hsu, J.P., M. L. Lee, Y. P. Lu, H. T. Hung, H. H. Hung and M. S. Chein, 2002:
4 Chicken infectious anemia in layer. *J. Chin. Soc. Vet. Sci.* 28, 153-160.
- 5 Huang, C. H., G. H. Lai, M. S. Lee, W. H. Lin, Y. Y. Lien, S. C. Hsueh, J. Y. Kao, W.
6 T. Chang, T. C. Lu, W. N. Lin, H. J. Chen and M. S. Lee, 2010: Development and
7 evaluation of a loop-mediated isothermal amplification assay for rapid detection
8 of chicken anaemia virus. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* 108, 917-924.
- 9 Iwata, N., M. Fujino, K. Tuchiya, A. Iwata, Y. Otaki and S. Ueda, 1998: Development
10 of an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay using recombinant chicken anemia
11 virus proteins expressed in a baculovirus vector system. *J. Vet. Med. Sci.* 60,
12 175-80.
- 13 Kamada, K., A. Kuroishi, T. Kamahora, P. Kabat, S. Yamaguchi and S. Hino, 2006:
14 Spliced mRNAs detected during the life cycle of chicken anemia virus. *J. Gen.*
15 *Virool.* 87, 2227–2233.
- 16 Koch, G., D. J. van Roozelaar, C. A. Verschueren, A. J. van der Eb and M. H. M.
17 Noteborn, 1995: Immunogenic and protective properties of chicken anemia virus
18 proteins expressed by baculovirus. *Vaccine* 13: 763–770.
- 19 Kurland, G. C., and H. Dong, 1996: Bacterial growth inhibition by overproduction of

- 1 protein. *Molecular Microbiol.* 21, 1-4.
- 2 Lacorte, C., H. Lohuis, R. Goldbach and M. Prins, 2007: Assessing the expression of
3 chicken anemia virus proteins in plants. *Virus Res.* 129, 80-6.
- 4 Lee, M. S., Y. Y. Lien, S. H. Feng, R. L. Huang, M. C. Tsai, W. T. Chang and H. J.
5 Chen, 2009: Production of chicken anemia virus (CAV) VP1 and VP2 protein
6 expressed by recombinant *Escherichia coli*. *Process Biochem.* 44, 390-395.
- 7 Lucio, B.A., K. A. Schat and H. L. Shivaprasad, 1990: Identification of the chicken
8 anemia agent reproduction of the disease and serological survey in the United
9 States. *Avian Dis.* 34, 146–153.
- 10 McNulty, M. S., T. J. Connor, F. McNeilly and D. Spackman, 1989: Chicken anemia
11 agent in the United States: isolation of the virus and detection of antibody in
12 broiler breeder flocks. *Avian Dis.* 33, 691-694.
- 13 McNulty, M. S., W. L. Curran, D. Todd and D. P. Mackie, 1990: Chicken anemia
14 agent: an electron microscopic study. *Avian Dis.* 34, 736-43.
- 15 Miller, K. W., R. J. Evans, S. P. Eisenberg and R. C. Thompson, 1989: Secretary
16 leukocyte protease inhibitor binding to mRNA and DNA as a possible cause of
17 toxicity to *Escherichia coli*. *J. Bacteriol.* 171, 2166-2172.
- 18 Nogueira-Dantas, E. O., A. J. P. Ferreira, C. S. Astolfi-Ferreira and L. Brentano, 2007:
19 Cloning and expression of chicken anemia virus VP3 protein in *Escherichia coli*.

- 1
2
3
4 1 *Comp. Immun. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* 30, 133-142.
5
6
7
8 2 Noteborn, M. H., G. F. de Boer, D. J. van Roozelaar, C. Karreman, O. Kranenburg, J.
9
10
11 3 G. Vos, S. H. Jeurissen, R. C. Hoeben, A. Zantema, G. Koch, 1991:
12
13
14 4 Characterization of cloned chicken anemia virus DNA that contains all elements
15
16
17 5 for the infectious replication cycle. *J. Virol.* 65, 3131-9.
18
19
20
21 6 Noteborn, M. H., V C. A.erschueren, G. Koch, , A. J. Van der Eb, 1998: Simultaneous
22
23
24 7 expression of recombinant baculovirus-encoded chicken anemia virus (CAV)
25
26
27 8 proteins VP1 and VP2 is required for formation of the CAV-specific neutralizing
28
29
30 9 epitope. *J. Gen. Virol.* 79, 3073–3077.
31
32
33 10 Pallister, J., K. J. Fahey and M. Sheppard, 1994: Cloning and sequencing of the
34
35 11 chicken anaemia virus (CAV) ORF-3 gene, and the development of an ELISA for
36
37 12 the detection of serum antibody to CAV. *Vet. Microbiol.* 39, 167-78.
38
39
40 13 Sambrook, J., E. F. Fritsch and T. Maniatis, 1989: *Molecular cloning: a*
41
42 14 *laboratory manual*, 2nd ed. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor,
43
44 15 N.Y.
45
46
47 16 Saïda, F., M. Uzan, B. Odaert and F. Bontems, 2006: Expression of Highly Toxic
48
49 17 Genes in *E. coli*: Special Strategies and Genetic Tools. *Curr. Protein Pept. Sci.* 7,
50
51 18 47-56.
52
53
54 19 Tavassoli, M., L. Guelen, B. A. Luxon and J. Gäken, 2005: Apoptin: specific killer of
55
56
57 20 tumor cells? *Apoptosis* 10, 717-24.
58
59
60

- 1
2
3
4 1 Todd, D., J. L. Creelan, M. S. McNulty, 1991: Dot blot hybridization assay for
5
6
7 2 chicken anemia agent using a cloned DNA probe. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 29, 933-939.
8
9
10
11 3 Todd, D., K. A. Mawhinney, M. S. McNulty, 1992: Detection and differentiation of
12
13
14 4 chicken anemia virus isolates by using the polymerase chain reaction. *J. Clin.*
15
16
17 5 *Microbiol.* 30, 1661-1666.
18
19
20
21 6 Wang, X., H. Gao, Y. Gao, C. Fu, Z. Wang, G. Lu, Y. Cheng and X. Wang, 2007:
22
23
24 7 Mapping of epitopes of VP2 protein of chicken anemia virus using monoclonal
25
26
27 8 antibodies. *J. Virol. Methods* 143, 194-199.
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5 **1 Legends**
6

7
8 2 Figure 1. Construction of recombinant CAV VP3 gene in an *E. coli* expression system
9

10
11 3 (A). A 323-bp of VP3 gene was amplified from VP2 gene; and cloned into *E. coli*
12
13
14 4 expression vector pVII127 under control of T7 promoter (B). The primer set used for
15
16
17 5 amplification of VP3 gene was illustrated by arrows. Two six-His tags fused to the N-
18
19
20 6 and C-terminus of VP3 protein, respectively, was shown as hatched box.
21
22

23
24
25
26 8 Figure 2. (A) Growth profiles of recombinant *E. coli* BL21 (DE3), BL21 (DE3)
27
28
29 9 codonplus RP and BL21 (DE3) pLysS, respectively, harboring pVII127-TAT-VP3 in
30
31
32 10 LB medium during post-induction by adding of IPTG. (B) Expression of recombinant
33
34
35 11 VP3 in three different recombinant *E. coli* strains was analyzed by SDS-PAGE and
36
37
38 12 Western blot, respectively, during post-induction by adding of IPTG. (C) Production
39
40
41 13 yield of recombinant VP3 in three different recombinant *E. coli* strains.
42
43
44
45
46
47

48 15 Figure 3. Effect of used IPTG concentration on the expression level of total VP3
49
50
51 16 protein. (A) SDS-PAGE and Western Blot were performed for analysis of
52
53
54 17 recombinant VP3 expression under different concentration of IPTG induction. (B)
55
56
57 18 The relative quantity of produced soluble and insoluble VP3 protein was respectively
58
59
60 19 determined at different IPTG concentration used for induction. The band-intensity

1 was analyzed by AlphaDigiDocRT software.

2

3 Figure 4. Effect of the cultivation temperature on expression of recombinant VP3
4 protein in soluble form. (A) Western Blot analysis of expressed soluble and insoluble
5 protein at various culture temperatures. All samples were analyzed under the same
6 amount of cells loading; S, Soluble fraction; In, insoluble fraction. (B) The solubility
7 of VP3 protein at different cultivation temperature was calculated as the ratio of
8 band-intensity of soluble VP3 protein to total VP3 protein. The band-intensity was
9 analyzed by AlphaDigiDocRT software. (C) Growth profiles of recombinant *E. coli*
10 BL21 (DE3) pLyss harboring pV1127- VP3 in LB medium during post-induction by
11 adding of IPTG at various culture temperatures.

12

13 Figure 5. Purification of *E. coli* expressed recombinant VP3 by Ni-NTA resin. (A) The
14 purity of recombinant VP3 was determined by SDS-PAGE and Western Blot analysis.
15 The antibodies against His-tag (middle panel) and CAV (right panel) were used
16 respectively. (B) Amino acid sequence of the VP3 protein determined by
17 MALDI-TOF. The bold letters represent the actual amino acid sequence matched.

18

19 Figure 6. (A) Reactivity of chicken serum with recombinant VP3 protein determined

1
2
3
4 1 by ELISA. Five CAV-negatives and 23 CAV-positive chicken sera were respectively
5
6
7 2 used to react with VP3-based ELISA assay. The reactivity was determined in terms of
8
9
10 3 obtained OD value at 405 nm (OD405). (B) Determination of cut-off value to
11
12
13 4 reactivity of ELISA on CAV-negative chicken serum. Sera no.1-5 was obtained from
14
15
16 5 SPF chickens in the experimentally farm. These sera were all identified as negative
17
18
19 6 using commercial ELISA kit purchased from IDEXX laboratory Inc. Serum no.6 was
20
21
22 7 negative serum obtained from IDEXX commercial kit. S.D., standard deviations.
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1

For Peer Review Only




Figure 1. Construction of recombinant CAV VP3 gene in an *E. coli* expression system (A). A 323-bp of VP3 gene was amplified from VP2 gene; and cloned into *E. coli* expression vector pVI127 under control of T7 promoter (B). The primer set used for amplification of VP3 gene was illustrated by arrows. Two six-His tags fused to the N- and C-terminus of VP3 protein, respectively, was shown as hatched box.

276x127mm (72 x 72 DPI)




Figure 2. (A) Growth profiles of recombinant *E. coli* BL21 (DE3), BL21 (DE3) codonplus RP and BL21 (DE3) pLyss, respectively, harboring pVI127-TAT-VP3 in LB medium during post-induction by adding of IPTG. (B) Expression of recombinant VP3 in three different recombinant *E. coli* strains was analyzed by SDS-PAGE and Western blot, respectively, during post-induction by adding of IPTG. (C) Production yield of recombinant VP3 in three different recombinant *E. coli* strains. 145x334mm (72 x 72 DPI)




Figure 3. Effect of used IPTG concentration on the expression level of total VP3 protein. (A) SDS-PAGE and Western Blot were performed for analysis of recombinant VP3 expression under different concentration of IPTG induction. (B) The relative quantity of produced soluble and insoluble VP3 protein was respectively determined at different IPTG concentration used for induction. The band-intensity was analyzed by AlphaDigiDocRT software.
159x233mm (72 x 72 DPI)






Figure 4. Effect of the cultivation temperature on expression of recombinant VP3 protein in soluble form. (A) Western Blot analysis of expressed soluble and insoluble protein at various culture temperatures. All samples were analyzed under the same amount of cells loading; S, Soluble fraction; In, insoluble fraction. (B) The solubility of VP3 protein at different cultivation temperature was calculated as the ratio of band-intensity of soluble VP3 protein to total VP3 protein. The band-intensity was analyzed by AlphaDigiDocRT software. (C) Growth profiles of recombinant *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) pLys harboring pVI127-VP3 in LB medium during post-induction by adding of IPTG at various culture temperatures.
153x278mm (72 x 72 DPI)




Figure 5. Purification of *E. coli* expressed recombinant VP3 by Ni-NTA resin. (A) The purity of recombinant VP3 was determined by SDS-PAGE and Western Blot analysis. The antibodies against His-tag (middle panel) and CAV (right panel) were used respectively. (B) Amino acid sequence of the VP3 protein determined by MALDI-TOF. The bold letters represent the actual amino acid sequence matched.

218x132mm (72 x 72 DPI)




Figure 6. (A) Reactivity of chicken serum with recombinant VP3 protein determined by ELISA. Five CAV-negatives and 23 CAV-positive chicken sera were respectively used to react with VP3-based ELISA assay. The reactivity was determined in terms of obtained OD value at 405 nm (OD₄₀₅). (B) Determination of cut-off value to reactivity of ELISA on CAV-negative chicken serum. Sera no.1-5 was obtained from SPF chickens in the experimentally farm. These sera were all identified as negative using commercial ELISA kit purchased from IDEXX laboratory Inc. Serum no.6 was negative serum obtained from IDEXX commercial kit. S.D., standard deviations.
216x182mm (72 x 72 DPI)