American Journal of Emergency Medicine (2009) xx, xxx-xxx



1

2

01

YAJEM-51961; No of Pages 6

The American Journal of Emergency Medicine

www.elsevier.com/locate/ajem

Original Contribution

Pigtail catheter for the management of pneumothorax in mechanically ventilated patients $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$

Yu-Chao Lin MD, Chih-Yen Tu MD, Shinn-Jye Liang MD, Hung-Jen Chen MD,
 Wei Chen MD*, Te-Chun Hsia MD, Chuen-Ming Shih MD, PhD, Wu-Huei Hsu MD

Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine, China Medical University Hospital,
 Taichung, Taiwan

9 Received 16 December 2008; revised 16 January 2009; accepted 27 January 2009

12 Abstract

10

Purpose: There has been a paucity of data regarding the efficacy and safety of small-bore chest tubes (pigtail catheter) for the management of pneumothorax in mechanically ventilated patients.

15 **Methods:** We conducted a retrospective review of mechanically ventilated patients who underwent

pigtail catheter drainage as their initial therapy for pneumothorax in the emergency department and intensive care unit from January 2004 through January 2007 in a university hospital.

Results: Among the 62 enrolled patients, there were 41 men (66%) and 21 women (34%), with a mean 18 age of 63.8 ± 20.3 years. A total of 70 episodes of pneumothoraces occurred in the intensive care unit, 19and 48 episodes of pneumothoraces (68.6%) were successfully treated with pigtail catheters. The 20average duration of pigtail drainage was 5.9 days (1-27 days). No major complications occurred 21through use of this procedure, except for pleural infections (n = 3, 4.2%) and clogged tube (n = 1, 1.4%). 22 Comparing the variables between the success and failure of pigtail treatment, the failure group had a 23significantly higher proportion of FIO2 <60% requirement (45.5% vs. 14.6%, P = .005) and higher 24positive end-expiratory pressure levels (8.7 \pm 3.0 vs. 6.2 \pm 2.3 mm Hg, P = .001) at the time of 25pneumothorax onset than the success group. Further comparing the efficacy of pigtail drainage between 26

- 27 barotraumas and iatrogenic pneumothorax, pigtail catheters for management of iatrogenic pneumothor-
- ax had a significantly higher success rate than barotraumas (87.5% vs. 43.3%, P < .0001).

29 Conclusion: Pigtail catheter drainage is relatively effective in treating iatrogenic but less promising for 30 barotraumatic pneumothoraces.

© 2009 Published by Elsevier Inc.

31

☆ Conflicts of interest: The authors have no actual or potential conflicts of interest.

E-mail address: peteralfa2004@yahoo.com.tw (W. Chen).

0735-6757/\$ – see front matter @ 2009 Published by Elsevier Inc. doi:10.1016/j.ajem.2009.01.033

1. Introduction

Pneumothorax is a potentially life-threatening complication in the emergency department and intensive care unit (ICU), which usually requires prompt management. Previous studies have reported that the incidence of pneumothorax in the ICU ranges from 4% to 15% [1-4] and that most pneumothoraces can be classified into 2 categories: 38

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +886 4 2205 2121x2010; fax: +886 4 2203 8883.

2

ARTICLE IN PRESS

procedure-related pneumothoraces and mechanical ventila-39 tion-related barotraumas [5,6]. The procedure that most 40 commonly causes pneumothoraces is thoracentesis, followed 41 by vein/pulmonary artery catheterization and transbronchial 42lung biopsy [5,7]. Barotrauma is usually associated with the 43 patients' underlying lung diseases, such as acute respiratory 44 distress syndrome (ARDS) [8-11], aspiration pneumonia [3], 4546 Pneumocvstis carinii pneumonia [12], and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease [4,13]. Overall, patients with 47 48 procedure-related pneumothoraces have better outcomes than barotrauma-related pneumothoraces [5]. In addition, 49tension pneumothorax, concurrent septic shock, and pro-50longed ICU stay were significant independent risk factors for 51mortality [5.6]. 52

Reviewing the literature, there has been a paucity of data 53regarding the management of pneumothorax in mechanically 54ventilated patients [14,15]. The US guidelines recommend 55use of larger (24F-28F) chest drains for patients with 56spontaneous pneumothorax who may later need mechanical 57ventilation, which is to allow a high flow state to manage 58 large air leaks generated by positive pressure ventilation 59[15]. However, few data are available with regard to small-60 bore chest tubes in the management of pneumothorax in 61 patients receiving positive pressure ventilation. 62

We have previously reported our experience in treating 63 patients in a general ward with primary and secondary 64 spontaneous pneumothorax using small-caliber chest tube 65 (pigtail) drainage with excellent outcomes [16-18]. We 66 also had experience in draining pleural effusions in 67 critically ill patients using ultrasound-guided pigtail 68 catheters [19]. The aim of this study was to evaluate 69 the clinical outcome, efficacy, and safety of pigtail, 70 catheters in the treatment of pneumothorax in mechani-71 cally ventilated patients in the ICU and to investigate 72whether there are any differences between treating 73 iatrogenic or barotraumatic pneumothoraces. 74

75 2. Materials and methods

76 2.1. Patients

From January 2004 through January 2007, the medical 77 records and chest roentgenograms of all mechanically 78 ventilated patients whose conditions were diagnosed as 79 pneumothorax in the emergency room and medical ICU of 80 China Medical University Hospital (a 1700-bed tertiary 81 medical center with a 44-bed medical ICU) were reviewed 82 carefully. Patients who required mechanical ventilation and 83 underwent insertion of a pigtail catheter as their initial 84 therapy for pneumothorax were enrolled in the study. The 85 diagnosis of pneumothorax required that the following 86 criteria were met: evidence of air accumulation in the 87 pleural space by chest roentgenograms or chest tomogra-88 89 phy, and air drainage by thoracentesis or chest tube

thoracostomy. All patients with mechanical ventilation 90 were screened daily by the intensivists, respiratory 91 therapists, and nursing staff according to the weaning 92protocol [20]. The ventilator settings, including tidal 93 volume and positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP) 94 titrated for patients with acute lung injury or ARDS were 95 guided by a protocol that included a lung protection 96 strategy [21]. In mechanically ventilated patients, tidal 97 volume was set to maintain a plateau pressure below 30 cm 98 H₂O in most cases and no greater than 35 cm H₂O in all 99 patients. Sedation and neuromuscular blockers were also 100 used according to protocol [22]. The institutional review 101 board at China Medical University Hospital approved the 102 study protocol. 103

2.2. Data collection

The following information was collected for each patient 105receiving mechanical ventilation: demographic data (age, 106 sex, height, weight, smoking history, and underlying 107 diseases), acute physiology and chronic health evaluation 108 (APACHE) II scores [23] at the time of ICU admission, 109 primary indication for mechanical ventilation, type of 110 pneumothorax, location and size of pneumothorax, ARDS 111 event [24], ventilator setting; primary and secondary 112 management for pneumothorax, length of stay in the ICU 113 and total hospitalization, duration of pigtail drainage, and 114 management outcomes. Ventilator parameters including peak 115inspiratory pressure, plateau pressure, PEEP, fraction of 116 inspired oxygen (FIO₂), and tidal volume were recorded 117 during the onset of the pneumothorax. 118

2.3. Definition

The pneumothoraces were classified as "barotraumatic" 120and "iatrogenic." Iatrogenic pneumothorax was defined as 121 the development of extrapulmonary air resulting from an 122 invasive procedure to the chest, such as thoracentesis, central 123 venous catheterization, bronchoscopy, and pericardiocent-124 esis [5,7]. Ventilator-associated barotrauma was defined as 125the development of air outside the tracheobronchial tree 126resulting from presumptive alveolar rupture and manifested 127by at least one of the following: interstitial emphysema, 128 pneumothorax, pneumomediastinum, pneumoperitoneum, or 129 subcutaneous emphysema [6,8]. The occurrence of venti-130 lator-associated barotrauma is increased in patients with 131 severe underlying lung disease, especially in patients with 132ARDS, pneumonia, or chronic obstructive lung disease. The 133 pigtail catheter was removed if the lung expanded well after 134 drainage with no more air drained from the tube. Pigtail tube 135removal was considered successful if no more residual air 136 was seen in the follow-up chest radiographs. On the other 137 hand, treatment failure was defined as progression of 138 pneumothorax size despite pigtail drainage or no significant 139 improvement in chest radiographs with persistent air leak in 140

104

Pigtail catheter for pneumothorax

3

205

219

220

the 48 hours of follow-up. If resolution of the pneumothorax
failed using pigtail drainage, insertion of a large-bore chest

143 tube (24F-32F) was performed.

02

144 **2.4. Procedure for pigtail catheter insertion**

The entire procedure, including examination by chest 145ultrasound and insertion of the pigtail catheter, was 146performed by an experienced emergency physician or 147intensivist (also a pulmonologist) at the bedside in the 148 emergency room or ICU. Percutaneous pigtail catheters 149(SKATER, PBN Medicals, Denmark) were all single-lumen 150polyurethane coiled catheters, sized 12F to 16F, used in 151conjunction with a wire and dilator and connected to a 152negative-pressure drainage system with 10 cm H₂O pressure 153applied. Before inserting the catheter, a chest ultrasonic 154examination was performed to locate the puncture site and 155further confirm the diagnosis of pneumothorax by ultra-156sonographic pattern (gliding sign, lung point sign, and comet 157tail artifact) [25-29]. After determining the insertion site, the 158catheter was inserted using the modified Seldinger techni-159que, with insertion of the needle and syringe over a rib and 160 gentle aspiration of a syringe to locate the pneumothorax in 161 the pleural space. Finally, the pigtail catheter was attached to 162a water seal chest drain system. The pigtail tubes were 163 secured properly, and the nursing staff was trained to monitor 164the tubes vigilantly and to continuously inspect to prevent 165tension pneumothorax. A chest radiograph was performed 166immediately after the procedure. Generally, a chest radio-167 graph was performed every day for the first 3 days. 168 Thereafter, chest radiographs were obtained every 3 days 169 or when clinical conditions changed. 170

171 **2.5. Statistical analysis**

Demographic and descriptive data are given as mean ± 1 172SD and were compared using a two-tailed Student t test. 173Categorical variables were compared using the chi-square or 174 Fisher's exact test, when appropriate (SPSS statistical 175software for Windows, Chicago, Ill; statistical significance, 176 P < .05). The clinical outcomes of the treatments with the 177 pigtail catheters were determined by evaluating resolution of 178the pneumothorax. 179

180 **3. Results**

From January 2004 through January 2007 (3-year 181 interval), a total of 5358 patients were admitted to our 182 ICU. Eighty mechanically ventilated patients (1.5%) 183 received diagnoses of pneumothorax in the emergency 184 room and the ICUs during this period of time. In our 185hospital, physicians routinely perform insertion of a pigtail 186 catheter as initial treatment for pleural disease (pneu-187 188mothorax or pleural effusions) in the emergency room and

medical ICUs [19], and surgeons customarily perform the 189 insertion of large-bore chest tubes for pleural diseases. As a 190 result, 71 ventilated patients received pigtail catheters as 191 initial therapy for pneumothorax in the emergency room 192and medical ICUs, and the other 9 patients received large-193 bore chest tubes as their initial therapy for pneumothorax in 194 the surgical ICU. Among the 71 mechanically ventilated 195patients who received the pigtail catheter as the initial 196 therapy, 9 patients were excluded because the patients 197 expired as a result of their underlying diseases on the same 198 day as the pigtail insertion and, thus, could not be 199 evaluated. All these 9 patients died of shock, and their 200 follow-up chest radiographs all showed full lung expansion. 201 Eventually, 62 patients were enrolled in this study, and 202 there were a total of 70 episodes of pneumothorax 203 occurring during the emergency room and ICU stay. 204

3.1. Characteristics and underlying diseases

Among the enrolled 62 patients, there were 41 men (66%) 206 and 21 women (34%), with ages ranging from 17 to 91 years 207 (mean, 63.8 years). The mean APACHE II score on the first day 208of ICU admission was 19.6 ± 8.3 . Thirty-five patients (56.5%) 209had right-side pneumothoraces, 19 patients (30.6%) had left-210side, and 8 patients (12.9%) had bilateral pneumothoraces. The 211 most common primary indication for endotracheal intubation 212 was pneumonia (n = 37, 59.7%), followed by sepsis (n = 7, 213 11.3%), and neurological disorder (n = 8, 12.9%). Concomitant 214 underlying diseases were frequently found at the time of 215 admission, and the most common was malignancy (n = 18, n)21629.0%) followed by hypertension (n = 15, 24.2%) and diabetes 217mellitus (n = 15, 24.2%) (Table 1). 218

3.2. Treatment outcome, complications, and mortality

As shown in Fig. 1, 48 episodes of pneumothorax 221 (68.6%) were successfully treated with pigtail catheters. 222 Among 22 of the 70 episodes that were not resolved with 223pigtail catheter drainage, one patient subsequently underwent 224 thoracoscopy with pleurodesis, five patients later died of 225complications from their underlying disease, and 16 patients 226underwent large-bore chest tube drainage. Of these 16 227 patients who underwent large-bore chest tube drainage, 2 228 patients also failed a subsequent 28F to 32F thoracostomy 229 tube and were required to undergo surgical pleurodesis. The 230average duration of pigtail drainage was 5.9 days (1-27 231 days). Overall, 37 patients (59.7%) died during their ICU 232 stay, but none of them died of pneumothorax. No major 233 complications occurred through the use of this procedure, 234except for 3 patients who developed pleural infections and 1 235patient in whom the tube was occluded by a blood clot. The 236pathogens associated with the 3 pleural infections were all 237Staphylococcus aureus, and these patients were all immu-238 nocompromised (2 malignancies and 1 uremia). Complica-239

Y.-C. Lin et al.

t1.1	Table 1	Characteristics of 62 patients with pneumothoraces in
	the emergency department and ICU	

t1 2		
ŧ1:3	Characteristics	Patient no. (%)
t1.4	Age (y) (mean \pm SD)	63.8 ± 20.3
t1.5	Sex (male/female)	41/21
t1.6	Smoking	23 (37.1)
t1.7	APACHE II score (mean \pm SD)	19.6 ± 8.3
t1.8	Primary indication for intubation	
t1.9	Pneumonia	37 (59.7)
t1.10	Sepsis	7 (11.3)
t1.11	Neurological disorder	8 (12.9)
t1.12	Upper airway obstruction	4 (6.5)
t1.13	Post-surgery	3 (4.8)
t1.14	COPD	3 (4.8)
t1.15	Miscellaneous	1 (1.6)
t1.16	Underlying diseases	
t1.17	Malignancy ^a	18 (29.0)
t1.18	Hypertension	15 (24.2)
t1.19	Diabetes mellitus	15 (24.2)
t1.20	Chronic airway disease ^b	13 (21.0)
t1.21	Congestive heart failure	7 (11.3)
t1.22	Uremia	6 (9.7)
t1.23	Cerebral vascular disease	6 (9.7)
t1.24	Previous tuberculosis	5 (8.1)
t1.25	Hepatic cirrhosis	5 (8.1)
t1.26	AIDS	2 (3.2)
t1.27	COPD indicates chronic obstructive pulmonary	disease.
t1.28	^a Included lung cancer ($n = 2$), extra-pulmo	nary cancer $(n = 16)$.
	^b Included asthma (n = 1), chronic obstruct	ive pulmonary disease
+1.20	(n = 11) and bronchiectasis $(n = 1)$	

240	tion events were managed with adequate drainage, antibiotic
241	use, correct coagulopathy, and replacement or adjustment of
242	the tube by a physician. Analyzing the variables between the



Fig. 1 Flow chart representing the treatment outcomes of 62 patients with 70 episodes of pneumothoraces undergoing pigtail catheter as their initial management in the ICU.

Table 2	Comparison of successful and failed pigtail treatment	t2.1
in 70 epis	odes of pneumothoraces in the ER and ICU	

	Success	Failure	Р	$t_{2:3}^{2:2}$
	(n = 48)	(n = 22)		
Age	63.3	61.7	.765	t2.4
Sex (male/female)	27/21	20/2	.004	t2.5
Body mass index	19.6 ± 4.0	19.2 ± 3.6	.726	t2.6
APACHE II scores	20.0 ± 9.0	19.0 ± 7.4	.637	t2.7
Smoking	15	12	.063	t2.8
Pneumothorax side (right/left)	30/18	13/9	.221	t2.9
Days from start of mechanical ventilation to pneumothorax	5.5 ± 7.5	10.1 ± 9.6	.034	t2.10
ARDS event	13 (27.1)	11 (50.0)	.061	t2.11
Ventilator setting				t2.12
Fio ₂ <60%	7 (14.6)	10 (45.5)	.005	t2.13
PEEP	6.3 ± 2.3	8.7 ± 3.0	.001	t2.14
Tidal volume	520.7 ± 105.3	483.8 ± 115.1	.207	t2.15
PIP	30.7 ± 5.9	32.3 ± 5.7	.329	t2.16
Plateau pressure	25.4 ± 3.9	24.7 ± 3.7	.548	t2.17
ICU stay (d)	12.4 ± 8.2	18.8 ± 10.3	.007	t2.18
Total hospitalization (d)	34.1 ± 30.4	42.3 ± 39.5	.346	t2.19
Pigtail intubation time (d)	6.0 ± 5.3	5.8 ± 6.3	.901	t2.20
Complications	3 (6.3)	1 (4.5)	.775	t2.21
Mortality	28 (57)	13 (61)	.952	t2.22
PIP indicates peak inspiratory	pressure.			t2.23

2 groups (Table 2), more of the patients who failed the pigtail 243 drainage required $F_{10_2} < 60\%$ (45.5% vs 14.6%, P = .005), 244 and they had higher PEEP setting $(8.7 \pm 3.0 \text{ vs } 6.2 \pm 2.3, P =$ 245.001) at the time of pneumothorax onset than patients whose 246pigtail drainage was successful. 247

3.3. Barotraumatic and iatrogenic pneumothoraces 248

Among the 70 episodes of pneumothorax, 30 (42.9%) 249were associated with barotraumas and the other 40 were 250iatrogenic pneumothoraces. Underlying lung diseases for 251patients with barotraumas included pneumonia (n = 25, 25283%), chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (n = 3, 10%), 253interstitial lung disease (n = 1, 3%), and asthma (n = 1, 3%)2543%). The 40 episodes of iatrogenic pneumothorax were 255caused by transbronchial lung biopsy (n = 3, 7.5%), central 256 venous/pulmonary artery placement (n = 31, 77.5%), and 257thoracentesis (n = 6, 15%). Comparing the efficacy of 258pigtail drainage between barotraumatic and iatrogenic 259pneumothorax, use of pigtail catheters for management of 260iatrogenic pneumothorax had a significantly higher success 261rate than for barotraumatic (87.5% vs. 43.3%, P < .0001). 262In addition, patients who developed ventilator-associated 263barotraumatic pneumothoraces had a significantly longer 264 interval from the start of mechanical ventilation to 265occurrence of pneumothorax (10.4 \pm 8.4 vs 4.3 \pm 2667.5 days, P < .05), a higher incidence of ARDS events 267(67% vs 10%, P < .05), and a longer ICU stay (19.5 ± 9.3 268

Pigtail catheter for pneumothorax

t3.1	Table 3 Clinical characteristics and outcomes of barotrauma
	and iatrogenic pneumothoraces undergoing pigtail catheter
	drainage in the ER and ICU

t3.2 t3.3		Barotrauma $(n = 30)$	Iatrogenic $(n = 40)$	Р
t3.4	Age	56.6	67.5	.027
t3.5	Sex	23/7	24/16	.142
t3.6	BMI	20.2 ± 3.2	18.9 ± 4.2	.199
t3.7	Smoking	15 (50)	12 (30)	.089
t3.8	Pneumothorax side (right/left)	15/15	28/12	.089
t3.9	Pneumothorax size (large)	25 (83)	34 (85)	.683
t3.10	APACHE II score	17.5 ± 6.8	21.4 ± 9.3	.054
t3.11	Successful pigtail treatment	13 (43.3)	35 (87.5)	.001
t3.12	Days from start of mechanical	10.4 ± 8.4	4.3 ± 7.5	<.05
	ventilation to pneumothorax			
t3.13	FIO ₂ <60%	9 (30)	8 (20)	.334
t3.14	ICU stay (d)	19.5 ± 9.3	10.6 ± 7.3	<.05
t3.15	Total hospitalization (d)	41.1 ± 34.7	33.3 ± 32.5	.337
t3.16	Duration of pigtail	6.2 ± 5.2	5.8 ± 5.9	.742
	intubation (d)			
t3.17	Mortality	20 (67)	21 (52.5)	.234
	BMI indicates body mass index.			
	· · · · ·			

Q3 t3.19 P < .05 compared with the pneumonia subgroup.

vs 10.6 \pm 7.3 days, P < .05) than patients who had iatrogenic pneumothoraces (Table 3).

4. Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first retrospective 272273study reporting the efficacy and safety of drainage using a small-bore chest tube (pigtail catheter) as the initial treatment 274in mechanically ventilated patients who developed pneu-275mothoraces in the emergency room and medical ICUs. Our 276study showed that the overall success rate was 68.6%, 277(barotraumatic: 43.3%, iatrogenic: 87.5%), and the compli-278cation rate was 5.7%. Therefore, in our series, the use of 279pigtail catheters with a water seal drainage system appears to 280be a safe and promising technique in the treatment of 281 pneumothoraces under positive pressure ventilation. 282

In this study, patients who failed pigtail catheter 283drainage seemed to have significantly higher PEEP levels 284 $(8.7 \pm 3.0 \text{ vs. } 6.3 \pm 2.3 \text{ mm Hg}, P = .001)$ than patients 285who were successfully treated with pigtail catheters. 286 According to our ventilator setting protocol for ARDS 287 [30], high PEEP levels were usually applied for those 288 patients. Therefore, higher PEEP levels may reflect more 289severe underlying pulmonary conditions. In this vicious 290 cycle, the effects of PEEP may raise airway pressures and 291aggravate air leakage [31]. 292

The interval from start of mechanical ventilation to occurrence of pneumothorax caused by barotrauma was 10.4 days, which was significantly longer than that in the iatrogenic pneumothoraces (4.3 days). This was because most invasive procedures were performed within 297 the first three days of ICU admission, but the patients 298 with ARDS usually developed pneumothorax in the late 299 stages (>2 weeks) [32]. 300

Little is known about the small-bore chest tube for 301 treating iatrogenic pneumothoraces in patients under positive 302 pressure ventilation. Reviewing the literature, the success 303 rate of small-bore chest tubes for treating iatrogenic 304 pneumothoraces was about 71% to 85% [33-35]. Our data 305 showed an 87.5% success rate for treatment with the pigtail 306 catheter in patients who developed iatrogenic pneu-307 mothoraces in the ICU. Thus, we strongly suggest that the 308 pigtail catheter can be used as first-line therapy for iatrogenic 309 pneumothoraces under positive pressure ventilation. 310

Traditional large-bore chest tubes usually produce much 311 more chest wall trauma than pigtail catheters because the 312 physician must make an incision into the chest wall, bluntly 313 dissect the intercostal tissues, and place the tube into the 314 pleural space. Furthermore, insertion requires significant 315force, which may cause inadvertent damage to the chest wall 316 and the underlying organs. The advantage of the pigtail 317 method is that it is easy and simple to perform for the 318 physician and involves less trauma and discomfort for the 319 patient. To further enhance safety, we performed this 320 procedure under ultrasonic guidance. A chest ultrasonic 321 examination was performed before the procedure for the 322 following reasons: (1) to confirm the diagnosis of pneu-323 mothorax with an echo finding (lung sliding or gliding sign, 324 lung point sign, comet tail artifact) [25-29] and (2) to 325 evaluate the presence of pleural effusion and locate the solid 326 organ positions to prevent hollow organ perforation. 327

There were some limitations to our study. First, this was a 328 retrospective study, and we do not have comparative results 329 from large-bore chest tubes in mechanically ventilated 330 patients with pneumothoraces. However, the results in our 331 series are still promising and similar to those of a previous 332 study that showed a success rate of 55% when large-bore 333 chest tubes were inserted to treat pneumothoraces in the ICU 334 [5]. Second, the number of enrolled patients in our series was 335 too small to further analyze possible factors predicting 336 treatment failure. A larger prospective and randomized trial 337 is necessary to confirm our results. 338

In conclusion, this is the first report of the utility of pigtail 339 catheters in the management of mechanically ventilated 340 patients with pneumothoraces. Our study shows that 341 drainage using pigtail catheters is relatively effective in 342 iatrogenic pneumothoraces but less promising in barotraumatic pneumothoraces. 344

References

- Strange C. Pleural complications in the intensive care unit. Clin Chest 346 Med 1999;20:317-27. 347
- [2] Petersen GW, Baier H. Incidence of pulmonary barotrauma in a 348 medical ICU. Crit Care Med 1983;11:67-9. 349

Y.-C. Lin et al.

[3] de Latorre FJ, Tomasa A, Klamburg J, et al. Incidence of
 pneumothorax and pneumomediastinum in patients with aspiration
 pneumonia requiring ventilatory support. Chest 1977;72:141-4.

[4] Zwillich CW, Pierson DJ, Creagh CE, et al. Complications of assisted
 ventilation. A prospective study of 354 consecutive episodes. Am J
 Med 1974;57:161-70.

- [5] Chen KY, Jerng JS, Liao WY, et al. Pneumothorax in the ICU: patient
 outcomes and prognostic factors. Chest 2002;122:678-83.
- [6] Anzueto A, Frutos-Vivar F, Esteban A, et al. Incidence, risk factors and
 outcome of barotrauma in mechanically ventilated patients. Intensive
 Care Med 2004;30:612-9.
- [7] de Lassence A, Timsit JF, Tafflet M, et al. Pneumothorax in the
 intensive care unit: incidence, risk factors, and outcome. Anesthesiol ogy 2006;104:5-13.
- Weg JG, Anzueto A, Balk RA, et al. The relation of pneumothorax and
 other air leaks to mortality in the acute respiratory distress syndrome.
 N Engl J Med 1998;338:341-6.
- [9] Amato MB, Barbas CS, Medeiros DM, et al. Effect of a protectiveventilation strategy on mortality in the acute respiratory distress syndrome. N Engl J Med 1998;338:347-54.
- [10] Stewart TE, Meade MO, Cook DJ, et al. Evaluation of a ventilation
 strategy to prevent barotrauma in patients at high risk for acute
 respiratory distress syndrome. Pressure- and Volume-Limited Ventilation Strategy Group. N Engl J Med 1998;338:355-61.
- Brochard L, Roudot-Thoraval F, Roupie E, et al. Tidal volume reduction for prevention of ventilator-induced lung injury in acute respiratory distress syndrome. The Multicenter Trail Group on Tidal Volume reduction in ARDS. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 1998;158: 1831-8.
- [12] Sepkowitz KA, Telzak EE, Gold JW, et al. Pneumothorax in AIDS.Ann Intern Med 1991;114:455-9.
- [13] Kumar A, Pontoppidan H, Falke KJ, et al. Pulmonary barotrauma
 during mechanical ventilation. Crit Care Med 1973;1:181-6.
- [14] Henry M, Arnold T, Harvey J. BTS guidelines for the management of
 spontaneous pneumothorax. Thorax 2003;58(Suppl 2):ii39-52.
- [15] Baumann MH, Strange C, Heffner JE, et al. Management of
 spontaneous pneumothorax: an American College of Chest Physicians
 Delphi consensus statement. Chest 2001;119:590-602.
- [16] Chen CH, Chen W, Hsu WH. Pigtail catheter drainage for secondary
 spontaneous pneumothorax. QJM 2006;99:489-91.
- [17] Liu CM, Hang LW, Chen WK, et al. Pigtail tube drainage in the
 treatment of spontaneous pneumothorax. Am J Emerg Med 2003;21:
 241-4.
- [18] Tsai WK, Chen W, Lee JC, et al. Pigtail catheters vs large-bore chest
 tubes for management of secondary spontaneous pneumothoraces in
 adults. Am J Emerg Med 2006;24:795-800.

- [19] Liang SJ, Tu CY, Chen HJ, et al. Application of ultrasound-guided 396
 pigtail catheter for drainage of pleural effusions in the ICU. Intensive 397
 Care Med 2008. 398
- [20] Ely EW, Baker AM, Dunagan DP, et al. Effect on the duration of 399 mechanical ventilation of identifying patients capable of breathing spontaneously. N Engl J Med 1996;335:1864-9.
 401
- [21] Ventilation with lower tidal volumes as compared with traditional tidal volumes for acute lung injury and the acute respiratory distress syndrome. The Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome Network. N 404 Engl J Med 2000;342:1301-8.
- [22] Brook AD, Ahrens TS, Schaiff R, et al. Effect of a nursingimplemented sedation protocol on the duration of mechanical ventilation. Crit Care Med 1999;27:2609-15.
- [23] Knaus WA, Draper EA, Wagner DP, et al. APACHE II: a severity of 409 disease classification system. Crit Care Med 1985;13:818-29.
 410
- Bernard GR, Artigas A, Brigham KL, et al. The American-European 411
 Consensus Conference on ARDS. Definitions, mechanisms, relevant outcomes, and clinical trial coordination. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 1994;149:818-24. 414
- [25] Lichtenstein D, Meziere G, Biderman P, et al. The "lung point": an 415 ultrasound sign specific to pneumothorax. Intensive Care Med 2000; 416 26:1434-40.
- [26] Lichtenstein D, Meziere G, Biderman P, et al. The comet-tail artifact: 418
 an ultrasound sign ruling out pneumothorax. Intensive Care Med 1999; 419
 25:383-8. 420
- [27] Lichtenstein DA, Menu Y. A bedside ultrasound sign ruling out 421 pneumothorax in the critically ill. Lung sliding. Chest 1995;108:1345-8. 422
- [28] Targhetta R, Bourgeois JM, Chavagneux R, et al. Diagnosis of 423 pneumothorax by ultrasound immediately after ultrasonically guided aspiration biopsy. Chest 1992;101:855-6.
- [29] Wernecke K, Galanski M, Peters PE, et al. Pneumothorax: evaluation by ultrasound—preliminary results. J Thorac Imaging 1987;2:76-8. 427
- [30] Gattinoni L, Caironi P, Cressoni M, et al. Lung recruitment in patients
 with the acute respiratory distress syndrome. N Engl J Med 2006;354: 429
 1775-86. 430
- [31] Ricard JD. Barotrauma during mechanical ventilation: why aren't we seeing any more? Intensive Care Med 2004;30:533-5.432
- [32] Gattinoni L, Bombino M, Pelosi P, et al. Lung structure and function in different stages of severe adult respiratory distress syndrome. JAMA 434 1994;271:1772-9.
- [33] Conces Jr DJ, Tarver RD, Gray WC, et al. Treatment of pneumothoraces utilizing small caliber chest tubes. Chest 1988;94:55-7. 437
- [34] Horsley A, Jones L, White J, et al. Efficacy and complications of 438 small-bore, wire-guided chest drains. Chest 2006;130:1857-63. 439
- [35] Collop NA, Kim S, Sahn SA. Analysis of tube thoracostomy performed by pulmonologists at a teaching hospital. Chest 1997;112:709-13.
 441
 442

6